

Electronic Supplementary Information

Impact of structural modification of 1,2,4-thiadiazole derivatives on thermodynamics of solubility and hydration processes

A. O. Surov,^a C. T. Bui,^a T. V. Volkova,^a A. N. Proshin^b and G. L. Perlovich^{a,b,*}

^a. *Department of Physical Chemistry of Drugs, Krestov's Institute of Solution Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, 153045 Akademicheskaya str. 1, Ivanovo, Russia. E-mail: glp@isc-ras.ru*

^b. *Department of Computer-Aided Molecular Design, Institute of Physiologically Active Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, 142432, Chernogolovka, Russia.*

Table S1. Temperature dependencies of solubility, X_2 (mol. fraction), of the compounds **I–VI** in buffer pH 7.4

	I^d	II^d	III^d	IV^e	V^e	VI^e
T, K	$x_2 \cdot 10^5$	$x_2 \cdot 10^5$	$x_2 \cdot 10^5$	$x_2 \cdot 10^6$	$x_2 \cdot 10^5$	$x_2 \cdot 10^5$
293.2	7.56	3.47	0.75	2.57	6.01	1.03
298.2	9.05	3.95	1.79	3.11	6.13	1.38
303.2	10.31	4.36	2.00	3.62	6.23	2.15
310.2	12.34	5.22	2.47	4.38	6.38	3.20
315.2	14.63	5.60	2.87	5.40	6.51	4.23
A ^a	-0.3 ± 0.3	-3.2 ± 0.2	-2.3 ± 0.3	-2.2 ± 0.3	-8.6 ± 0.2	9.1 ± 0.9
B ^a	2684 ± 95	2060 ± 62	2577 ± 86	3121 ± 79	329 ± 44	6040 ± 259
R ^b	0.998	0.998	0.998	0.999	0.974	0.997
σ · 10 ^{2c}	2.68	1.37	1.66	1.50	0.85	4.96

^aParameters of the correlation equation: $\ln X_2 = A - B/T$;^bR – pair correlation coefficient;^cσ – standard deviation;^dData taken from ref. 12;^eData taken from ref. 13.

Table S2. Thermodynamic solubility and solvation functions of the compounds **I-VI** in buffer 7.4 at 298 K

χ_2^{298}	$\Delta_{\text{sln}} G^0$ kJ·mol ⁻¹	$\Delta_{\text{sln}} H^0$ kJ·mol ⁻¹	$T\Delta_{\text{sln}} S^0$ kJ·mol ⁻¹	$\Delta_{\text{sln}} S^0$ J·mol ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹	$\varsigma_{\text{Hsln}}^{\text{a}}$ [%]	$\varsigma_{\text{TSSln}}^{\text{b}}$ [%]	$\Delta_{\text{hyd}} G^0$ kJ·mol ⁻¹	$\Delta_{\text{hyd}} H^0$ kJ·mol ⁻¹	$T\Delta_{\text{hyd}} S^0$ kJ·mol ⁻¹	$\Delta_{\text{hyd}} S^0$ J·mol ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹	$\varsigma_{\text{Hhyd}}^{\text{c}}$ [%]	$\varsigma_{\text{TShyd}}^{\text{d}}$ [%]	
I^e	$9.05 \cdot 10^{-5}$	23.1	22.3 ± 0.8	-0.8	-2.7 ± 2	96.5	3.5	-35.2	-101.5	-66.2	-222	60.5	39.5
II^e	$3.95 \cdot 10^{-5}$	25.1	17.1 ± 0.5	-8.0	-27 ± 2	68.1	31.9	-37.4	-124.9	-87.5	-294	58.8	41.2
III^e	$1.79 \cdot 10^{-5}$	27.1	21.4 ± 0.7	-5.7	-19 ± 2	79.0	21.0	-33.1	-131.4	-98.3	-330	57.2	42.8
IV^f	$3.09 \cdot 10^{-6}$	31.5	25.9 ± 0.7	-5.6	-19 ± 1	82.2	17.8	-27.1	-133.7	-106.6	-358	55.6	44.4
V^f	$6.13 \cdot 10^{-5}$	24.0	2.7 ± 0.4	-21.3	-71 ± 11	11.3	88.8	-35.1	-122.1	-86.9	-291	58.4	41.6
VI^f	$1.45 \cdot 10^{-5}$	27.6	50.2 ± 2.1	22.6	76 ± 5	69.0	31.0	-44.3	-87.8	-43.5	-146	66.9	33.1

^a $\varsigma_{\text{Hsol}} = (\left| \Delta H_{\text{sol}}^0 \right| / (\left| \Delta H_{\text{sol}}^0 \right| + \left| T\Delta S_{\text{sol}}^0 \right|)) \cdot 100\%$; ^b $\varsigma_{\text{TSSol}} = (\left| T\Delta S_{\text{sol}}^0 \right| / (\left| \Delta H_{\text{sol}}^0 \right| + \left| T\Delta S_{\text{sol}}^0 \right|)) \cdot 100\%$;

^c $\varsigma_{\text{Hhyd}} = (\left| \Delta H_{\text{hyd}}^0 \right| / (\left| \Delta H_{\text{hyd}}^0 \right| + \left| T\Delta S_{\text{hyd}}^0 \right|)) \cdot 100\%$; ^d $\varsigma_{\text{TShyd}} = (\left| T\Delta S_{\text{hyd}}^0 \right| / (\left| \Delta H_{\text{hyd}}^0 \right| + \left| T\Delta S_{\text{hyd}}^0 \right|)) \cdot 100\%$.

^eData taken from ref. 12;

^fData taken from ref. 13.

Table S3. Thermodynamic characteristics of sublimation process of the compounds studied

	I^b	II^b	III^b	IV^c	V^c	VI^c
$\Delta_{\text{sub}} G^0$, kJ·mol ⁻¹	58.3	62.5	60.2	58.6	59.1	71.9
$\Delta_{\text{sub}} H^T$, kJ·mol ⁻¹	121.4 ± 1.1	139.3 ± 1.6	149.6 ± 2.2	157.2 ± 2.1	122.0 ± 0.9	133.3 ± 0.8
$\Delta_{\text{sub}} H^0$, kJ·mol ⁻¹	123.8 ± 1.1	142.0 ± 1.6	152.8 ± 2.2	159.6 ± 2.1	124.8 ± 0.9	138.0 ± 0.8
$C_{p,\text{cr}}^{298}$, J·mol ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹ ^a	288.6	316.2	392.9	349.0	304.4	348.7
$T_{\text{ref}} \cdot \Delta_{\text{sub}} S^0$, kJ·mol ⁻¹	65.5	79.5	92.6	101.0	65.6	66.1
$\Delta_{\text{sub}} S^0$, J·mol ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹	220 ± 6	267 ± 8	311 ± 7	339 ± 11	220 ± 6	222 ± 8
	VII^d	VIII^d	IX^d	X^d	XI^d	XII^d
$\Delta_{\text{sub}} G^0$, kJ·mol ⁻¹	60.8	62.6	64.5	60.2	60.4	57.6
$\Delta_{\text{sub}} H^T$, kJ·mol ⁻¹	126.1 ± 2.1	116.3 ± 2.5	128.9 ± 2.5	134.8 ± 1.9	112.4 ± 2.1	98.9 ± 1.5
$\Delta_{\text{sub}} H^0$, kJ·mol ⁻¹	129.0 ± 2.1	120.7 ± 2.5	132.6 ± 2.5	137.3 ± 1.9	115.6 ± 2.1	101.9 ± 1.5
$C_{p,\text{cr}}^{298}$, J·mol ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹ ^a	366.0	344.9	308.3	316.2	308.3	344.9
$T_{\text{ref}} \cdot \Delta_{\text{sub}} S^0$, kJ·mol ⁻¹	68.2	58.1	68.1	77.1	55.2	44.3
$\Delta_{\text{sub}} S^0$, J·mol ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹	229 ± 8	195 ± 8	228 ± 9	259 ± 8	185 ± 7	149 ± 5

^a $C_{p,\text{cr}}^{298}$ has been calculated by additive scheme^{S1};^b Data taken from ref. 12;^c Data taken from ref. 13;^d Data taken from ref. 14.

References

- S1 J. S. Chickos, W. E. Acree Jr. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data.* 2002, **31**, 537 – 698.

Table S4. Numerical values of HYBOT physicochemical descriptors used for correlation with the hydration Gibbs energy

Compound	$\sum(C_{ad})$	α	$\sum(C_{ad}) / \alpha$
I	8.36	25.451	0.328
II	8.39	27.286	0.307
III	9.07	29.395	0.308
IV	8.29	27.013	0.307
V	8.31	25.360	0.328
VI	11.38	29.758	0.382
VII	9.06	27.560	0.329
VIII	8.41	29.214	0.288
IX	8.35	27.379	0.305
X	8.31	27.286	0.305
XI	8.34	27.379	0.305
XII	8.48	29.214	0.290