Supporting Information

In air a spin crossover active iron(II) complex of amine/NCBH3⁻ ligands is converted to a low spin complex of imine/CN⁻ ligands

Jian Zhou,[†] Bo-Wen Zhu,[†] Jie Luan,[†] Zhan Liu,[†] Jing-kun Fang,[†] Xin Bao,^{*†‡} Guo Peng,[§] Jiri Tucek,[†] Song-Song Bao[‡] Li-Min Zheng[‡]

[†] School of Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology,
 210094 Nanjing, P. R. China. E-mail: <u>baox199@126.com</u>.

State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Coordination Chemistry Institute,
 School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093,
 P. R. China

§ Herbert Gleiter Institute of Nanoscience, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, 210094 Nanjing, P. R. China

Regional Centre of Advanced Technologies and Materials, Department of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Science, Palacky University, Slechtitelu 11, 783 71 Olomouc, Czech Republic

Synthesis of [NiL₁(NCBH₃)₂]. To a solution of L₁ (L₁ = N,N'-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)-1,2-ethanediamine, 0.024g, 0.1mmol) in a mixed solution of MeOH (10 mL) and MeCN (5 mL) was added NiCl₂·6H₂O (0.024 g, 0.1 mmol) and NaNCBH₃ (0.013g, 0.2mmol) in the air. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h in air and then left for evaporation. Flake lavender crystals of NiL₁(NCBH₃)₂ were obtained after one week in 75% yield (0.029 g). Elemental analysis, Calcd: C, 50.48; H, 6.35; N, 22.07. Found: C, 50.71; H, 6.43; N, 21.78.



Figure S1. ESI-MS spectrum for complex **2**. The intense peak at m/z 321.98 corresponds to $[FeL_2(CN)]^+$ (calc.: 322.09).



Figure S2. ESI-MS spectrum taken immediately after mixing the reactants. The strongest peak at m/z 338.07 corresponds to [FeL₁(NCBH₃)]⁺ (calc.: 338.12) and a very weak peak at 324.04 corresponding to [FeL₁(CN)]⁺ (calc.: 324.09).



Figure S3. ESI-MS spectrum taken 5 minutes later after mixing the reactants. The peak of $[FeL_1(CN)]^+$ at 324.04 (calc.: 324.09) became the strongest and a weak peak at 322.04 corresponding to $[FeL_2(CN)]^+$ (calc.: 322.09) was observed.



Figure S4. View of the molecular structures of $[NiL_1(NCBH_3)_2]$. Color code: C, gray; Ni, orange; B, green; N, blue. H atoms are omitted for clarity.



Figure S5. DSC curve of 1 showing the baseline to obtain ΔH .



Figure S6. Variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility studies of dehydrated **2**. Data recorded in both cooling and heating modes at a scan rate of 2 K min⁻¹.



Figure S7. Time dependent TG curve for **2**. In the first 60 minutes the sample was kept at 30 °C and no weight loss was observed at this temperature.

Table S1. ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer parameters of 1 at different temperatures.

<i>T</i> (K)	Component	$\delta \pm 0.01 \text{ (mm/s)}$	$\Delta E_Q \pm 0.01 \text{ (mm/s)}$	RA ± 1(%)	Assignment
80	Singlet	0.46		100	LS Fe ^{II}
500	Singlet	0.42		5	LS Fe ^{II}
	Doublet	1.35	1.92	95	HS Fe ^{II}

Table S2. Unit cell and selected refinement parameters for NiL₁(NCBH₃)₂.

	NiL ₁ (NCBH ₃) ₂
Temperature / K	298
Empirical formula	$C_{64}H_{96}B_8N_{24}Ni_4$
Formula weight / g mol ⁻¹	1522.96
Crystal system	Orthorhombic

Space group	C2cb
<i>a</i> / Å	9.216(3)
<i>b</i> / Å	15.403(5)
<i>c</i> / Å	14.342(5)
α/ °	90
β/°	90
γ/ °	90
Volume / Å ³	2035.9(11)
Ζ	1
$ ho_{ m calc}/ m mg~mm^{-3}$	1.242
μ / mm ⁻¹	0.964
<i>F</i> (000)	800
Reflections collected	5662
Independent reflections	1840
independent reflections	$R_{\rm int} = 0.0595,$
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.05
Final <i>R</i> indexes	$R_1 = 0.0365$
$[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$	$wR_2 = 0.0580$
Final <i>R</i> indexes	$R_1 = 0.0784$
[all data]	$wR_2 = 0.0657$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³	0.26/-0.28
Flack parameter	0.03(2)

Table S3. Selected bond lengths and angles for NiL₁(NCBH₃)₂.

_

	NiL ₁ (NCBH ₃) ₂
Temperature / K	298
Ni–N _{NCBH3} / Å	2.037(6)
Ni–N _{py} / Å	2.093(4)
Ni–N _{amine} / Å	2.112(6)
$Ni-N_{average}$ / Å	2.08
N2-C6 / Å	1.463(11)
cis N–Ni–N / º	80.0(2)-93.7(2)
trans N–Ni–N / º	170.6(3) -171.9(3)
Σ _{Ni} / º	52.9

N-С-В / ° 179.0(7)