Supporting Information

Hexaethylsubporphyrins: β-alkyl analogues in subporphyrin family

Brijesh Chandra, B. Sathish Kumar, Navendu Mondal, Anunay Samanta and Pradeepta K. Panda*

School of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad-500046, India

Email: pkpsc@uohyd.ernet.in; pradeepta.panda@gmail.com

Instrumentation and materials

Crystallographic data for 4 and 5.DN collected on Oxford Gemini A Ultra diffractometer with dual source. Cu-K α (λ = 1.54184 Å) radiation was used to collect the X-ray reflections of the crystal. Data reduction was performed using CrysAlisPro 171.33.55 software.^{S1} Structures were solved and refined using SHELXL-97^{S2} with anisotropic displacement parameters for non-H atoms. Hydrogen atoms on O and N were experimentally located in difference electron density maps. All C-H atoms were fixed geometrically using HFIX command in SHELX-TL with anisotropic displacement parameters for non-H atoms. All H atoms of 4 and 5.DN were fixed geometrically. Empirical absorption correction was done using spherical harmonics. implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm. A check of the final CIF file using PLATON^{S3} did not show any missed symmetry. In case of **5.DN** some solvent accessible voids were observed. The contribution to the scattering factors from this was removed by SQUEEZE^{S4} in PLATON. PLATON was used as incorporated in WinGX.^{S5} Crystallographic data (excluding the structure factor) for the structure 4 and 5.DN in this paper has been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication numbers CCDC 1414845-1414846. Copy of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: +44(0)-1223-336033 or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk) or via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.

Synthesis of 3,5-dinitrobenzylalcoxo-2,3,7,8,12,13-hexaethyl(subporphyrinato)boron(III) (5.DN).



5 (9.0 mg, 0.02 mmol) and 3,5-dinitrobenzylalcohol (4.9 mg, 0.024 mmol) were taken in R.B. and dissolved in toluene (5.0 mL) and the reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 30 min. Reaction mixture was cooled and solvent was evaporated. Crude was passed through a thin silica gel column pad and the **5.DN** was obtained as a yellow powder in quantitative yield by eluting with EtOAc/hexane (10%). Single crystal for X-ray diffraction analysis was grown from slow diffusion of hexane in to ether solution of **5.DN**.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.64 (br, 1H, -Ar*CH*), 8.62 (s, 3H, *-meso*), 7.16 (br, 2H, -Ar*-CH*), 3.30 (m, 12H, -CH₃*CH*₂), 2.00 (br, 2H, -O*CH*₂), 1.50 (m, 18H, *-CH*₃*CH*₂).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 147.4, 142.2, 133.8, 126.3, 125.1, 116.1, 98.3, 65.8, 18.7, 17.4.

¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) -15.3.

HR-ESI-MS (positive mode) m/z = 410.2764 (calcd. for C₂₇H₃₃BN₃ = 410.2768 [M-DN]⁺).

UV-Vis (in CH₂Cl₂) λ [nm] (ε [M⁻¹cm⁻¹]) 324 (12258), 339 (23623), 437 (1879), 463 (4151).

Fluorescence (in CH₂Cl₂, $\lambda_{ex} = 350 \text{ nm}$) λ_{max} [nm] 467, 482, 499.



Figure S1: ¹H NMR spectrum of 6 in CDCl₃.



Figure S2: ¹H NMR spectrum of 4 in CDCl₃.



Figure S3: (a) ¹H NMR spectrum of **5** in CDCl₃. (b) MeOH-d₄-exchang of B-OMe.



Figure S4: ¹H NMR spectrum of 5.DN in CDCl₃.



Figure S5: ¹³C NMR spectrum of 6 in CDCl₃.



Figure S6: ¹³C NMR spectrum of 4 in CDCl₃.



Figure S7: ¹³C NMR spectrum of 5 in CDCl₃.



Figure S8: ¹³C NMR spectrum of 5.DN in CDCl₃.



Figure S9: ¹¹B NMR spectra of 4 (left) and 5 (middle) and 5.DN (right) in CDCl₃.



Figure S10: HMQC NMR spectrum of 5 in CDCl₃.



Figure S11: ¹H-¹³C COSY NMR spectra of 4 in CDCl₃.

IUCR check CIF Alerts:

Alert level A PLAT026_ALERT_3_A Ratio Observed / Unique Reflections too Low 16 %

Alert level B

RFACR01_ALERT_3_B The value of the weighted R factor is > 0.35 Weighted R factor given 0.392 PLAT084_ALERT_3_B High wR2 Value (i.e. > 0.25) 0.39 Report PLAT230_ALERT_2_B Hirshfeld Test Diff for N1 -- B1 .. 7.8 su PLAT230_ALERT_2_B Hirshfeld Test Diff for N4 -- C31 .. 7.3 su PLAT340_ALERT_3_B Low Bond Precision on C-C Bonds 0.0159 Ang. PLAT782_ALERT_2_B Unusual Bond Geometry for C-NO2 Moiety Around N4 Check PLAT782_ALERT_2_B Unusual Bond Geometry for C-NO2 Moiety Around N5 Check

Author response: After several attempts of crystallization the obtained crystal was the best. Its quality was not good and it was weakly diffracting, hence we failed to get good diffraction data even after long run of data collection. We have done the structure refinement in SHELXL-97, with some constraints which gave finally the best solution so far achieved.



Figure S12: Crystal packing diagram of 5.DN.



Figure S13: Uv-vis (red) and fluorescence (blue) spectra of 5.DN in CH₂Cl₂.



| Sample | $\lambda_{\text{emission}}(\text{nm})$ | $\tau_{dacay}(a1)$ | χ^2 |
|--------|--|--------------------|----------|
| 4 | 465 | 4.68 ns (100%) | 1.17 |
| 5 | 460 | 2.19 ns (100%) | 1.06 |

Figure S14: Fluorescence decay plot for 4 (red) and 5 (cyan) with prompt (black). Table summarizes the life average S_1 life time calculated from TCSPC.

DFT calculations:^{S6}

Table S1: Transition, harmonic oscillator strength and symmetry calculated (H = HOMO, L = LUMO) from DFT for **4**.

| Wavelength (nm) | Osc. Strength | Symmetry | Major contribs |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| 427.3961069 | 0.001 | Singlet-A | H-1->LUMO (51%), HOMO->L+1 (48%) |
| 426.8075894 | 0.0007 | Singlet-A | H-1->L+1 (46%), HOMO->LUMO (52%) |
| 353.8539204 | 0.0223 | Singlet-A | H-2->LUMO (29%), H-2->L+1 (61%) |
| 348.1211193 | 0.0028 | Singlet-A | H-2->LUMO (60%), H-2->L+1 (31%) |
| 342.4008192 | 0.1645 | Singlet-A | H-3->LUMO (68%), H-1->L+1 (11%) |
| 336.0346288 | 0.6472 | Singlet-A | H-3->L+1 (10%), H-1->LUMO (19%), H-1->L+1 (20%), HOMO->LUMO (16%), HOMO->L+1 (19%) |
| 330.3755506 | 0.532 | Singlet-A | H-3->LUMO (14%), H-3->L+1 (12%), H-1->LUMO (17%), H-1->L+1 (10%), HOMO->L+1 (23%) |
| 324.054722 | 0.1744 | Singlet-A | H-3->LUMO (11%), H-3->L+1 (66%) |
| 311.8528476 | 0.0335 | Singlet-A | H-4->LUMO (50%), H-4->L+1 (45%) |
| 305.1446842 | 0.0248 | Singlet-A | H-4->LUMO (43%), H-4->L+1 (49%) |
| 297.7505683 | 0.2537 | Singlet-A | H-5->LUMO (87%) |
| 294.9877151 | 0.2342 | Singlet-A | H-5->L+1 (87%) |
| 288.4272476 | 0.001 | Singlet-A | HOMO->L+2 (21%), HOMO->L+3 (68%) |
| 286.2893681 | 0.0035 | Singlet-A | HOMO->L+2 (45%), HOMO->L+3 (16%), HOMO->L+5 (18%) |
| 284.8816356 | 0.0203 | Singlet-A | HOMO->L+2 (20%), HOMO->L+4 (27%), HOMO->L+5 (25%) |
| 284.2415843 | 0.0105 | Singlet-A | H-1->L+2 (35%), H-1->L+3 (45%) |
| 283.5136097 | 0.0081 | Singlet-A | HOMO->L+4 (46%), HOMO->L+5 (23%) |
| 282.8087058 | 0.003 | Singlet-A | H-1->L+2 (46%), H-1->L+3 (38%) |
| 281.3327357 | 0.0058 | Singlet-A | H-1->L+4 (66%), H-1->L+5 (14%) |
| 280.7975192 | 0.0011 | Singlet-A | H-1->L+6 (14%), HOMO->L+5 (26%), HOMO->L+6 (42%) |

Table S2: Transition, harmonic oscillator strength and symmetry calculated (H = HOMO, L = LUMO) from DFT for **5**.

| Wavelength (nm) | Osc. Strength | Symmetry | Major contribs |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| 419.344303 | 0.0288 | Singlet-A | H-1->L+1 (35%), HOMO->LUMO (61%) |
| 418.8626238 | 0.0258 | Singlet-A | H-1->LUMO (37%), HOMO->L+1 (59%) |
| 336.0255214 | 0.0208 | Singlet-A | H-2->LUMO (87%) |
| 329.0952292 | 0.0857 | Singlet-A | H-3->LUMO (32%), H-2->L+1 (46%) |
| 326.8396073 | 0.0591 | Singlet-A | H-3->L+1 (81%) |
| 321.4335182 | 0.2411 | Singlet-A | H-2->L+1 (40%), H-1->LUMO (24%), HOMO->L+1 (13%) |
| 317.0442813 | 0.5093 | Singlet-A | H-1->L+1 (47%), HOMO->LUMO (24%) |
| 312.3556714 | 0.3017 | Singlet-A | H-3->LUMO (53%), H-1->LUMO (22%), HOMO->L+1 (12%) |
| 307.4602273 | 0.0255 | Singlet-A | H-4->LUMO (92%) |
| 299.3176009 | 0.0338 | Singlet-A | H-4->L+1 (88%) |
| 287.3576615 | 0.2026 | Singlet-A | H-5->LUMO (91%) |
| 285.7680741 | 0.2163 | Singlet-A | H-5->L+1 (90%) |
| 239.8733466 | 0.0026 | Singlet-A | H-6->LUMO (98%) |
| 238.6773508 | 0.0048 | Singlet-A | H-6->L+1 (98%) |
| 227.4631454 | 0.0001 | Singlet-A | H-8->LUMO (24%), H-8->L+1 (23%), H-7->LUMO (27%), H-7->L+1 (24%) |
| 220.7405356 | 0.0014 | Singlet-A | H-8->LUMO (31%), H-8->L+1 (14%), H-7->LUMO (33%), H-7->L+1 (17%) |
| 218.3920252 | 0.0024 | Singlet-A | H-8->L+1 (29%), H-7->LUMO (16%), HOMO->L+2 (46%) |
| 217.5986111 | 0.0053 | Singlet-A | H-8->LUMO (19%), H-7->L+1 (35%), HOMO->L+3 (33%) |
| 212.0932252 | 0.0247 | Singlet-A | H-1->L+2 (17%), H-1->L+3 (34%), HOMO->L+2 (23%) |
| 211.9880598 | 0.0349 | Singlet-A | H-1->L+2 (46%), H-1->L+3 (12%), HOMO->L+3 (22%) |
| | | | |

Coordinates of the optimized structure **5**:

| Tag | Symbol | Χ | Y | Z |
|-----|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | Ν | 0.014161 | 1.350516 | 0.733769 |
| 2 | Ν | 1.225477 | -0.703688 | 0.464859 |
| 3 | Ν | -1.178523 | -0.708218 | 0.441754 |
| 4 | 0 | 0.104002 | -0.356784 | 2.565101 |
| 5 | С | -0.671268 | 3.426085 | 0.155624 |
| 6 | С | 1.148585 | 2.074416 | 0.495222 |
| 7 | С | 1.257773 | -1.955744 | -0.075787 |
| 8 | С | 0.038886 | -2.638849 | -0.283122 |
| 9 | С | 2.373722 | 1.389109 | 0.33342 |
| 10 | С | 2.381455 | -0.019727 | 0.225352 |
| 11 | С | -2.325997 | -0.027287 | 0.144796 |
| 12 | С | -1.188061 | -1.9622 | -0.103494 |
| 13 | С | 0.720938 | 3.427595 | 0.188373 |
| 14 | С | -1.108861 | 2.071686 | 0.442234 |
| 15 | С | -2.325516 | 1.382808 | 0.236897 |
| 16 | С | 3.302865 | -0.965903 | -0.379605 |
| 17 | С | 2.609876 | -2.159118 | -0.566043 |
| 18 | С | -3.221375 | -0.977604 | -0.490603 |
| 19 | С | 4.719171 | -0.645716 | -0.767302 |
| 20 | Н | 4.756968 | 0.362924 | -1.1991 |
| 21 | Н | 5.048685 | -1.326426 | -1.560501 |
| 22 | С | -1.589922 | 4.564369 | -0.196993 |
| 23 | Н | -1.110526 | 5.513123 | 0.071328 |
| 24 | Н | -2.495566 | 4.499891 | 0.419316 |
| 25 | С | -2.519428 | -2.170134 | -0.644341 |
| 26 | С | -4.621542 | -0.661466 | -0.936289 |
| 27 | Н | -4.917939 | -1.34732 | -1.738018 |
| 28 | Н | -4.643472 | 0.344477 | -1.375368 |
| 29 | С | 3.103911 | -3.428324 | -1.201703 |
| 30 | Н | 2.309094 | -3.851584 | -1.829377 |
| 31 | Н | 3.935781 | -3.20345 | -1.87901 |
| 32 | С | 1.651382 | 4.568134 | -0.123436 |
| 33 | Н | 2.524894 | 4.510815 | 0.53814 |
| 34 | Н | 1.154604 | 5.515941 | 0.114822 |
| 35 | В | 0.023545 | -0.109717 | 1.150364 |
| 36 | С | -2.985121 | -3.441619 | -1.296836 |
| 37 | Н | -2.168557 | -3.85866 | -1.900268 |
| 38 | Н | -3.797116 | -3.220869 | -1.999081 |
| 39 | С | -1.999728 | 4.613198 | -1.68344 |
| 40 | Н | -1.125414 | 4.740658 | -2.329293 |

| 41 | Н | -2.683818 | 5.448877 | -1.868767 |
|----|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 42 | Н | -2.501734 | 3.688997 | -1.987047 |
| 43 | С | 2.135459 | 4.610945 | -1.587703 |
| 44 | Н | 2.657784 | 3.688242 | -1.85985 |
| 45 | Н | 2.823074 | 5.449804 | -1.743072 |
| 46 | Н | 1.294252 | 4.729232 | -2.277794 |
| 47 | С | 3.556348 | -4.49364 | -0.18236 |
| 48 | Н | 4.384443 | -4.123212 | 0.430486 |
| 49 | Н | 3.890723 | -5.402981 | -0.694275 |
| 50 | Н | 2.739385 | -4.76358 | 0.494636 |
| 51 | С | 5.71346 | -0.722466 | 0.409414 |
| 52 | Н | 5.429489 | -0.033095 | 1.211123 |
| 53 | Н | 6.725764 | -0.463977 | 0.078959 |
| 54 | Н | 5.740803 | -1.730831 | 0.834885 |
| 55 | С | -3.461538 | -4.511944 | -0.293807 |
| 56 | Н | -2.663971 | -4.777194 | 0.407744 |
| 57 | Н | -3.772938 | -5.422886 | -0.817168 |
| 58 | Н | -4.311437 | -4.148966 | 0.293222 |
| 59 | С | -5.663099 | -0.733251 | 0.198956 |
| 60 | Н | -5.705464 | -1.738942 | 0.629662 |
| 61 | Н | -6.661743 | -0.479932 | -0.174214 |
| 62 | Н | -5.414762 | -0.037138 | 1.006854 |
| 63 | С | -0.921262 | 0.106611 | 3.416442 |
| 64 | Н | -1.027998 | 1.201695 | 3.375848 |
| 65 | Н | -0.6647 | -0.174073 | 4.443266 |
| 66 | Н | -1.895874 | -0.342941 | 3.170718 |
| 67 | Н | 0.046305 | -3.620473 | -0.743068 |
| 68 | Н | 3.276693 | 1.948694 | 0.117513 |
| 69 | Н | -3.219923 | 1.937942 | -0.021737 |

References:

S1) Oxford Diffraction (2008). CrysAlis CCD and CrysAlis RED. Versions 1.171.33.55. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England.

S2) Sheldrick, G. M.; SHELXS-97 and SHELXL-97, Programs for the Solution and Refinement of Crystal Structures, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

S3) (a) Spek, A. L.; PLATON, A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2002; (b) Spek, A. L.; *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2003**, *36*, 7-13.

S4) SQUEEZE. Sluis, P. v. d.; Spek, A. L. Acta Cryst. 1990, A46, 194-201.

S5) WinGX v1.80.05. Farrugia, L. J. J. Appl. Cryst. 1999, 32, 837-838.

S6) Gaussian 09, Revision C.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2010.