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Scheme S1. Synthesis of the host DMeP5 and the guest TImPor.

Fig. S1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of DMeP5 recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Fig. S2 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY NMR spectrum of DMeP5 recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Fig. S3 The MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of DMeP5.

Fig. S4 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of TImPor recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Fig. S5 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY NMR spectrum of TImPor recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Fig. S6 The MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of TImPor.
Fig. S7 Partial NOESY NMR spectrum of the complex DMeP5@TImPor recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Fig. S8 (Top) Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra and (Bottom) the non-linear curve-fitting of BuIm ( 0.5 mM ) upon addition of MeP5 recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the MeP5/BuIm molar ratio: 0 (A), 0.625 (B), 1.25 (C), 1.875 (D), 3.125 (E), 4.375 (F), 5 (G), $7.5(\mathrm{H}), 10(\mathrm{I}), 12.5(\mathrm{~J}), 15(\mathrm{~K}), 17.5(\mathrm{~L}), 20(\mathrm{M}), 22.5(\mathrm{~N})$, and $25(\mathrm{O})$.

Fig. S9 Electronic absorption spectrum (A) and fluorescence spectrum (B) of TImPor $\left(2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}\right)$ upon addition of DMeP5 recorded in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ with the DMeP5/TImPor molar ratio changing from 0 to 50 .

Fig. S10 Job's plot of $\Delta \mathrm{F}$ in fluorescence intensity of guest TImPor versus the molar ratio of $[$ TImPor $] /\{[\mathrm{DMeP} 5]+[$ TImPor $]\}$.

Fig. S11 DLS data for TImPor (A), TImPor with 1.0 equiv. DMeP5 (B), and TImPor with 2.0 equiv. DMeP5 (C) at a fixed concentration of 2.0 mM recorded in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Fig. S12 AFM image of the supramolecular polymer DMeP5@TImPor.
Fig. S13 Diffusion coefficient of DMeP5@TImPor upon addition of 0, 20.0, 40.0, $60.0,80.0$, and 100.0 equiv. competitive guest ADN recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Fig. S14 DLS data for TImPor with 2.0 equiv. DMeP5 at a fixed concentration of 2.0 mM (A) and adding 100.0 equiv. competitive guest ADN into $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{B})$ recorded in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Scheme S1. Synthesis of the host DMeP5 and the guest TImPor.

1. General Remarks: All reagents were obtained from commercial sources without further purification. The compounds of $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ were prepared according to the literature procedures. ${ }^{[S 1-S 4]}$
2. Measurements: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX 400 spectrometer in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO- $d_{6}$. Electronic absorption spectra were recorded on a Hitachi U-4100 spectrophotometer. Steady-state fluorescence spectroscopic studies were performed on an F4500 (Hitachi). MALDI-TOF mass spectra were taken on a Bruker BIFLEX III ultra-high resolution Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) mass spectrometer with $\alpha$-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid as matrix. Elemental analysis was performed on an Elementar Vavio El III. DLS data were obtained on a DynaPro NanoStar at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. SEM image was obtained using a JEOL JSM-6700F field-emission scanning electron microscopy. TEM image was taken on a JEM-100CX II (JEOL Ltd., Japan) electron microscope operated at 100 kV . AFM image was collected in air under ambient conditions using the tapping mode with a Nanoscope III/Bioscope scanning probe microscope from Digital Instruments.
3. Synthesis procedure: Preparation of 1,4-bis(4-methoxyphenoxy)butane (1). ${ }^{[81]}$ To a stirred solution of 1,4-dibromobutane ( $6.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 100.0 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.022 \mathrm{~mol})$ and 4-methoxyphenol ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.015 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and the mixture was stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 d . After the reaction was completed, the solid was removed by filtration and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford 6.81 g of product as a white solid. Yield: $75 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 6.83 (s, 4H), 3.98 (s, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 1.94 (s, 2H).

Preparation of DMeP5 (2). ${ }^{[52]}$ To a solution of $\mathbf{1}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, 1,4dimethoxybenzene ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 16.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and paraformaldehyde ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(300.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for 0.5 h , the anhydrous $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ $(0.41 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for 8 h at room temperature. After the reaction was completed, the solution was diluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and washed with saturated sodium chloride solution. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and solvents removed. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent to afford 0.42 g of product as a white solid. Yield: $28 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): ~ 6.78-6.74(\mathrm{~m}$, 20 H ), 3.93-3.61 (m, 78H), $2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}):$ $151.04,150.15,128.47,114.33,114.25,68.31,55.92,31.74,29.94,29.55,26.98$, 22.80, 14.26. MS Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{102} \mathrm{O}_{20}$ : 1527.78; found: m/z 1527.18. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{102} \mathrm{O}_{20}$ : C, 72.33; H, 6.73; found C, 72.37; H, 6.69.

Preparation of TOHPor (3). ${ }^{[53]}$ 4-Hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 82.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in propionic acid ( 500.0 mL ) was stirred at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To which pyrrole $(5.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 82.0$ mmol ) dissolved in 50.0 mL propionic acid was added dropwise within 30 min . The reaction mixture was further stirred for 1 h . After the solid was filtered off, the residue was washed by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford 5.11 g of product as a dark green solid. Yield: $37 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.86(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, 7.21 (d, J = $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}$ ), -2.89 (s, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 175.14, 157.37, 135.47, 131.90, 119.96, 113.89, 79.14, 48.57, 26.87, 9.06. MS Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 678.73; found: m/z 678.33. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 77.86; H, 4.46; N, 8.25; found C, 77.77; H, 4.48; N, 8.31.

Preparation of TBrPor (4). ${ }^{[81]}$ To a 250 mL flask containing anhydrous potassium carbonate ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathbf{3}(1.4 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 100.0 mL ), 1,4dibromobutane ( $22.0 \mathrm{~g}, 100.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added under nitrogen atmosphere at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 d . After the solid was filtered off, the solvent was removed. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent to afford 202.0 mg of product as a purple solid. Yield: $8 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.86(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.29$ $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}),-2.76(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 158.86,135.77,134.89,119.88$, 112.85, 67.32, 33.71, 29.83, 28.30. MS Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{4}$ : 1218.74; found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 1219.53. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{4}$ : C, 59.13; H, 4.80; N, 4.60; found C, 59.21; H, 4.71; N, 4.53.

Preparation of TImPor (5). ${ }^{[54]} 1 \mathrm{H}$-imidazole ( $82.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaOH}(48.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $4(70.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The solvent was poured into water. After filtration, the residue was dried by air to afford 64.2 mg of product as a purple solid. Yield: $97 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.85(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}),-2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 158.74$, 137.36, 135.78, 134.99, 129.85, 119.84, 118.97, 112.82, 67.57, 47.03, 31.74, 28.42, 26.68, 22.80, 14.26. MS Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 1167.40; found: m/z 1166.77. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 74.08; H, 6.04; N, 14.40; found C, 74.16; H, 5.98; N, 14.29.


Fig. S1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of DMeP5 recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. S2 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY NMR spectrum of DMeP5 recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. S3 The MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of DMeP5. The signals at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=1527.18$ and 1567.15 correspond to the molecular ion $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]^{+}$, respectively.


Fig. S4 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of TImPor recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. $55^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY NMR spectrum of TImPor recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. S6 The MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of TImPor. The signal at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=1166.77$ corresponds to the molecular ion $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$(calculated 1167.40).


Fig. S7 Partial NOESY NMR spectrum of the complex DMeP5@TImPor recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$
at
25
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. S8 (Top) Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra and (Bottom) the non-linear curve-fitting of BuIm ( 0.5 mM ) upon addition of MeP5 recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the MeP5/BuIm molar ratio: 0 (A), 0.625 (B), 1.25 (C), 1.875 (D), 3.125 (E), 4.375 (F), 5 (G), $7.5(\mathrm{H}), 10(\mathrm{I}), 12.5(\mathrm{~J}), 15(\mathrm{~K}), 17.5(\mathrm{~L}), 20(\mathrm{M}), 22.5(\mathrm{~N})$, and $25(\mathrm{O})$. To investigate the binding affinity of DMeP5 with TImPor, 1,4-dimethoxypillar[5]arene (MeP5) and 1-Butylimidazole (BuIm) were chosen as the model compounds. ${ }^{[88]}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titrations were performed with a constant concentration of BuIm ( 0.5 mM ) and varying molar ratio of MeP5/BuIm in the range of $0-25$, Fig. S8. According to previous report, ${ }^{[8 a]}$ the stoichiometry of the complex MeP5@BuIm was determined to be $1: 1$. By a non-linear curve-fitting method, ${ }^{[80,8 e]}$ the association constant $\left(K_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ of MeP5 with BuIm was estimated to be $1.62 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$.


Fig. S9 Electronic absorption spectrum (A) and fluorescence spectrum (B) of TImPor ( $2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) upon addition of DMeP5 recorded in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ with the DMeP5/TImPor molar ratio changing from 0 to 50 .


Fig. S10 Job's plot of $\Delta \mathrm{F}$ in fluorescence intensity of guest TImPor versus the molar ratio of [TImPor]/\{[DMeP5] + [TImPor]\}. To investigate the stoichiometry of the complex between DMeP5 and TImPor, the Job's plot experiment was carried out. Fig. S10 indicates the stoichiometry of the complex between DMeP5 and TImPor is 2:1 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$.


Fig. S11 DLS data for TImPor (A), TImPor with 1.0 equiv. DMeP5 (B), and TImPor with 2.0 equiv. DMeP5 (C) at a fixed concentration of 2.0 mM recorded in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As can be seen in Fig. S11, the observation of a diameter distribution centered at 5 nm should be ascribed to TImPor. Along with mixing DMeP5 and TImPor at the molar ratio of 1:1, a diameter distribution centered at 144 nm was observed due to the formation of the low degree of polymerization. When the molar ratio between DMeP5 and TImPor was changed to $2: 1$, a new diameter distribution centered at 690 nm was observed, which is higher than those of A and B , indicating the formation of large supramolecular assemblies with high molecular weight.


Fig. S12 AFM image of the supramolecular polymer DMeP5@TImPor.


Fig. S13 Diffusion coefficient of DMeP5@TImPor upon addition of 0, 20.0, 40.0, $60.0,80.0$, and 100.0 equiv. of competitive guest ADN recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As shown in Fig. S13, upon addition of 100.0 equiv. of competitive guest ADN to the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of the supramolecular polymer DMeP5@TImPor, the average diffusion coefficient of the supramolecular assemblies increased pronouncedly from $2.90 \times 10^{-10}$ to $4.68 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which indicated the disassembly of cross-linked supramolecular polymer network and the formation of new inclusion complex between DMeP5 and ADN.


Fig. S14. DLS data for TImPor with 2.0 equiv. DMeP5 at a fixed concentration of 2.0 $\mathrm{mM}(\mathrm{A})$ and adding 100.0 equiv. competitive guest ADN into $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{B})$ recorded in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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