# **Supporting Information**

### 1. Synthesis procedures

Synthesis of 2-[2-(*N*,*N*'-di-*tert*-butoxycarbonylguanidino)ethoxy] ethanol (donated as BocGEE) GEEMA was prepared according to established methods<sup>1</sup>. A solution of *N*,*N*'-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-1*H*-pyrazole-1-carboxamidine (4.00 g, 12.89 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 2-(2aminoethoxy) ethanol (1.36 g, 12.89 mmol) and *N*,*N*-diisopropylethylamine (4.99 g, 38.7 mmol) in DCM (50 mL). The reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature, and then washed with 0.15 N HCl, 0.15 N NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. Silica gel column purification with DCM/EtOAc (2/1, v/v) gave the produce as a colorless oil (4.17 g, 93%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.50 (s, 9H), 1,51 (s, 9H), 2,45 (br, s, OH, 1H), 3.62 (m, 4H), 3.67 (m, 2H), 3.76 (m, 2H), 8.83 (br, s, NH, 1H), 11.50 (br, s, NH, 1H).

# Synthesis of the monomer 2-[2-(*N*,*N*'-di-*tert*-butoxycarbonylguanidino)ethoxy] ethyl methacrylate (donated as BocGEEMA)

The synthesis of BocGEEMA was described in our previous work<sup>1</sup>. BocGEE (4.17g, 12.00mmol) and TEA (2.5 ml, 18.00 mmol) was dissolved in 25ml anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$ . Methacryloyl chloride (1.38 g, 13.20 mmol) in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  was added dropwise to the flask at 0 °C under nitrogen atmosphere and stirred for 6h at room temperature. After termination of the reaction with water, the mixture was washed successively with 0.2 N HCl, 0.2 N NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and brine. The orange organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum. The colorless oil product (3.89 g, 78%) was afforded with silica gel using DCM/EtOAc (15/1, v/v) as eluent. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.49 (s, 9H), 1.51 (s, 9H), 1.96 (s, 3H), 3.64 (m, 2H), 3.70 (m, 2H), 3.74 (t, *J* = 4.80 Hz, 2H), 4.31 (t, *J* = 4.71 Hz, 2H), 5.57 (m, 1H), 6.16 (m, 1H), 8.77 (br, s, NH, 1H), 11.48 (br, s, NH, 1H).

## Synthesis of macro-initiator CH<sub>3</sub>O-PEO<sub>114</sub>-Br

Macro-initiator CH<sub>3</sub>O-PEO<sub>114</sub>-Br was synthesized as followed<sup>2</sup>: CH<sub>3</sub>O-PEO<sub>114</sub>-OH (15.0 g, 3.00 mmol) was dissolved in 150 ml toluene. After azeotropic distillation of 50 ml solvent, the mixture was cooled to 30 °C and added TEA (3.20 g, 30.0 mmol) and 2-bromo-2-methylpropionyl bromide (6.90 g, 30.0 mmol) subsequently. The reaction was stirred for five days. The yellowish powder was

obtained after the precipitation in a ten-fold excess of petroleum ether. Then, the crude product was redissolved in about 100 ml THF and precipitated in a ten-fold excess of petroleum ether. Successively discoloring in water with charcoal, extracting with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, drying over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and precipitating in petroleum ether gave the purified product as a white powder. Gel permeation chromatography analysis results (polystyrene standards):  $M_{n, GPC} = 7200$  g/mol and the polydispersity index (PDI) = 1.06. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.94 (s, 6H; C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Br), 3.38 (s, 3H; OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.65 (bs, 456H; OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O of PEO). The degree of esterification was 100% determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

# Synthesis of the CH<sub>3</sub>O-PEO<sub>114</sub>-*b*-PBocGEEMA<sub>23</sub> diblock polymers (donated as PEO<sub>114</sub>-*b*-PBGu<sub>23</sub>)

The preparation of CH<sub>3</sub>O-PEO<sub>114</sub>-b-PBocGEEMA<sub>23</sub> was an ATRP procedure. Macro-initiator CH<sub>3</sub>O- $PEO_{114}$ -Br (0.23g, 4.62 × 10<sup>-5</sup>), monomer BocGEEMA (0.46 g, 1.11 × 10<sup>-3</sup>mol), copper (I) bromide (13.24 mg,  $9.23 \times 10^{-5}$  mol), PMDETA (15.59 mg,  $9.23 \times 10^{-5}$  mol), and dry THF (3 mL) were introduced into a glass tube equipped with a magnetic stirring bar. The mixture was degassed by three freeze-vacuum-thaw cycles and the tube was sealed before initiating the polymerization at 35 °C. Three hours later, the reaction was quenched by cooling down in liquid nitrogen and introducing air. The mixture was then diluted with THF and precipitated in petroleum ether/ethyl ether (2/1, v/v). After dissolving the precipitation in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and washing with EDTA solution twice, the copper catalyst was removed. The organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated under reduced pressure, dissolved in 10 ml THF, and precipitated in petroleum ether/ethyl ether (2/1, v/v). Polymer was afforded as a white power after drying under vacuum. Gel permeation chromatography analysis results (polystyrene standards):  $M_{n, GPC} = 13600$  g/mol and the polydispersity index (PDI) = 1.14. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz): δ (ppm), 0.96 (m, 3H; CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.49 (s, 18 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C), 1.85 (m, 2H; CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.38 (s, 0.13; OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.65 (bs, 26H; OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O of both EO and BocGEEMA units), 4.09 (s, 2H, COOCH<sub>2</sub>), 8.58 (s, 1H; NH), 11.50 (s, 1H; NH). The number of BocGEEMA units was 23, calculated from its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum.

Synthesis of the CH<sub>3</sub>O-PEO<sub>114</sub>-*b*-PGEEMA<sub>23</sub> diblock polymers (donated as PEO<sub>114</sub>-*b*-PGu<sub>23</sub>)

The removing of Boc-group from diblock polymer  $CH_3O-PEO_{114}$ -*b*-PBocGEEMA<sub>23</sub> ( $M_{n, GPC} = 13600$  g/mol, PDI = 1.14) was completed using trifluoroacetic acid (5 ml) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 ml) for 6 hours at room temperature. The resulting Boc-deprotected polymer,  $CH_3O-PEO_{114}$ -*b*-PGEEMA<sub>23</sub>, was

isolated by rotary evaporation and washed with ethyl ether three times. The completeness of the deprotection was comfirmed from the disappearance of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR signal at  $\delta$  = 1.49 ppm (*tert*-Boc). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  (ppm), 1.00 (m, 3H; CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.85 (m, 2H; CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.36 (s, 2H; CH<sub>2</sub>-N), 3.64 (bs, 24H; OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O of both EO and GEEMA units), 4.13 (s, 2H, COOCH<sub>2</sub>).

#### Reference

- H. B. Wei, S. M. Du, Y. Liu, H. X. Zhao, C. Y. Chen, Z. B. Li, J. Lin, Y. Zhang, J. Zhang and X. H. Wan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 1447-1450.
- M. Lemmers, J. Sprakel, I. K. Voets, J. van der Gucht and M. A. C. Stuart, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, 50, 708-711.

#### 2、 Figure and Tables



Fig. S1, GPC traces of PEO<sub>114</sub>-Br macroinitiator and PEO<sub>114</sub>-*b*-PBG<sub>23</sub> diblock copolymers.



**Fig. S2**, Emission spectra of the original solution before centrifugation (-), the supernatant solution after centrifugation (-), and the re-dispersed precipitates after centrifugation (-) of  $TbW_{10}/EuW_{10}/Quinine = 50/1/5$  excited 280nm. The concentration of  $TbW_{10}$  is  $1.75 \times 10^{-3}$  M and  $PEO_{114}$ -*b*-PGu<sub>23</sub> is  $6.85 \times 10^{-4}$ .



Fig. S3, Absorption and emission spectra of R1, G1, and B1 ( $\lambda_{ex} = 280$  nm,  $\lambda_{em} = 400$  nm) hybrid solutions. The excitation wavelength is 280 nm.



Fig. S4, Emission spectra of  $EuW_{10}$  in  $H_2O$  (-) and R1 primary solution (-) at an excitation wavelength of 280 nm.



Fig. S5, Emission decay curves of  $EuW_{10}$  in  $H_2O$  ( $\blacksquare$ ) and R1 ( $\bullet$ ) at an excitation wavelength of 280 nm and monitored at 587 nm.



**Fig. S6**, Emission spectra of TbW<sub>10</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>O (-), D<sub>2</sub>O (-), and G1 primary solution (-) at an excitation wavelength of 280 nm.



**Fig. S7**, Emission decay curves of TbW<sub>10</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>O ( $\blacksquare$ ), D<sub>2</sub>O ( $\blacktriangle$ ) and G1 ( $\bigtriangledown$ ), and TbW<sub>10</sub> crystal ( $\bullet$ ) at an excitation wavelength of 280 nm. TbW<sub>10</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>O and D<sub>2</sub>O, and TbW<sub>10</sub> crystal was monitored at 542 nm, G1 was monitored at 587 nm.



Fig. S8, Emission spectra of Quinine in  $H_2O$  (-) and B1 primary solution (-) at an excitation wavelength of 280 nm.



Fig. S9, Emission decay curves of B1 primary solution (•) and quininesolution (•) at an excitation

wavelength of 333 nm and monitored at 400 nm.



**Fig. S10**, Emission spectra of EuW<sub>10</sub> ( $3.50 \times 10^{-5}$  M) complexed with PEO<sub>114</sub>-*b*-PGu<sub>23</sub> ( $1.37 \times 10^{-5}$  M) upon different excitation wavelengths.



**Fig. S11**, Emission spectra of TbW<sub>10</sub> ( $3.50 \times 10^{-5}$  M) complexed with PEO<sub>114</sub>-*b*-PGu<sub>23</sub> ( $1.37 \times 10^{-5}$  M) upon different excitation wavelengths.



**Fig. S12**, Emission spectra of Quinine  $(3.50 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$  complexed with PEO<sub>114</sub>-*b*-PGu<sub>23</sub>  $(1.37 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$  upon different excitation wavelengths.



Fig. S13, Emission spectra of the hybrid solution mixtures  $TbW_{10}$  - quinine series at different molar ratios at the excitation wavelength of 280 nm. Solid lines indicate the measured spectra and unfilled circles represent expected ones.



Fig. S14, Emission spectra of the hybrid solution mixtures  $EuW_{10} - TbW_{10}$  series at different molar ratios at the excitation wavelength of 280 nm. Solid lines indicate the measured spectra and unfilled circles represent expected ones.



Fig. S15, Emission spectra of the hybrid solution mixtures  $EuW_{10}$  – Quinine series at different molar ratios at the excitation wavelength of 280 nm. Solid lines indicate the measured spectra and unfilled circles represent expected ones.



**Fig. S16**, Emission decay curves of  $TbW_{10}$  - quinine,  $EuW_{10}$  - quinine, and  $TbW_{10}$  -  $EuW_{10}$  series solutions at different ratios, and White1 solution excited at 280 nm and monitored at the co-emission wavelength 587 nm.



Fig. S17, a) Measured (solid line) and estimated (unfilled circles) emission spectra and b) Emission color coordinates of the  $EuW_{10}$  – quinine series mixtures solutions at different ratios excited at 310nm.



Fig. S18, Emission decay curves of  $EuW_{10}$  – Quinine series solutions at different ratios excited at 310 nm and monitored at 587 nm.

		EuW10/Quinine									
	1/1	2/1	5/1	10/1	20/1						
$\tau_1(ms)$	0.843	0.959	0.791	1.073	0.863						
α1(%)	3.30	3.44	2.75	3.69	2.38						
$\tau_2$ (ms)	4.314	4.364	4.215	4.239	4.324						
α2(%)	96.70	96.56	97.25	96.31	97.62						
CIE (estimation)	(0.22, 0.12)	(0.27, 0.15	(0.36, 0.21)	(0.44, 0.26)	(0.50, 0.31)						
CIE (experiment)	(0.22, 0.12)	(0.27, 0.15)	(0.36, 0.20)	(0.44, 0.26)	(0.50, 0.31)						

**Table S1**, Fluorescent lifetimes and CIE chromaticity coordinates of  $EuW_{10}$  – quinine series mixtures solutions at different ratios excited at 310 nm.

**Table S2**, Fluorescent lifetimes of  $TbW_{10}$  – quinine,  $EuW_{10}$  – quinine, and  $TbW_{10}$  –  $EuW_{10}$  – quinine series mixtures solutions at different excitation wavelengths.

	TbW <sub>10</sub> /Quinine			EuW <sub>10</sub> /Quinine				TbW <sub>10</sub> / EuW <sub>10</sub> /Quinine								
λex (nm)	280	290	300	310	280	290	300	305	310	270	280	285	290	295	300	305
$\tau_1$ (ms)	1.171	1.134	1.136	0.671	0.948	0.694	1.008	0.614	1.540	1.254	1.602	1.343	1.330	1.408	1.313	0.985
α <sub>1</sub> (%)	25.52	23.81	25.19	5.76	1.76	1.31	2.26	1.36	3.89	10.35	16.83	15.71	12.71	16.03	14.46	8.35
$\tau_2$ (ms)	2.570	2.531	2.521	2.058	4.344	4.318	4.380	4.313	4.450	4.155	4.385	4.367	4.173	4.311	4.195	3.715
$a_2(\%)$	74.48	76.19	74.81	94.24	98.24	98.69	97.74	98.64	96.11	89.65	83.17	84.29	87.29	83.97	85.54	91.65

**Table S3**, ICP data of  $TbW_{10}$  –  $EuW_{10}$  series solution and White1. The concentration of the every solution was adjusted for precise measurement.

Q L		Tb	Eu		
5:	ampie	(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)		
	10/1	56.3492	5.3669		
тьм/ /	25/1	67.3183	2.5538		
ΠΟ <b>νν</b> <sub>10</sub> /	50/1	48.6238	0.9091		
Euw <sub>10</sub> /	75/1	59.0093	0.7512		
	150/1	136.2037	0.8746		
W	/hite1	52.1606	0.9281		



Fig. S19, Emission spectra of  $EuW_{10}/quinine = 3/1$  hybrid solution mixture upon different excitation wavelengths. Solid lines indicate the measured spectra and unfilled circles represent expected ones.



Fig. S20, Emission spectra of  $TbW_{10}/quinine = 30/1$  hybrid solution mixture upon different

excitation wavelengths. Solid lines indicate the measured spectra and unfilled circles represent expected ones.



Fig. S21, Emission spectra of  $TbW_{10}/EuW_{10}/quinine = 50/1/3$  hybrid solution mixture upon different excitation wavelengths. Solid lines indicate the measured spectra and unfilled circles represent expected ones.



Fig. S22, Emission decay curves of  $EuW_{10}/Quinine = 3/1$ ,  $TbW_{10}/Quinine = 30/1$ , and  $EuW_{10}/TbW_{10}/Quinine = 50/1/3$  solutions excited at different Wavelengths and monitored at 587 nm.

R		G		В	Y		М	С		W	
PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU
PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKJ	PKL	PKU	PKU
PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKJ	PKU	PKU	PKU
PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU
PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU
PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU
PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU
PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	FKU
PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU
PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU	PKU I	РКИ Р	KU P	KU PK	CU PK	U		

Fig. S23, Photographs of representative films under daylight.



Fig. S24, Emission decay curves of representative films excited at 280 nm.