Supporting Information:

Through Space Charge-Transfer Emission in Lambda (Λ)-Shaped Triarylboranes and the Use in Fluorescent Sensing for Fluoride and Cyanide ions

He Xi,^{*a,b*} Yang Liu,^{*b*} Chun-Xue Yuan,^{*c*} Ye-Xin Li,^{*d*} Lei Wang,^{*b*} Xu-Tang Tao,*^{*b*} Xiao-Hua Ma,*^a Chun-Fu Zhang*^{*e*} and Yue Hao*^{*a*, *e*}

^a School of Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology, Xidian University, 2 South Taibai Road, Xi'an 710071, P. R. China, Email: <u>xhma@xidian.edu.cn</u>

^b State Key Laboratory of Crystal Materials, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, P. R. China, Email: <u>txt@sdu.edu.cn</u>

^c College of Materials Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Caoan Road 4800, Shanghai, 201804, P. R. China.

^d School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Jinan University, Jinan 250022, P. R. China

^e Key Lab of Wide Band-Gap Semiconductor Materials and Devices, Xidian University, 2 South Taibai Road, Xi'an 710071, P. R. China, Email: cfzhang@xidian.edu.cn, yhao@xidian.edu.cn

Table of Contents

Photophysical Properties of TBBN, TBBN2, TBB and TBNN	S2
Theoretical Calculations	S4
X-ray Crystal Structure Analysis	S6
Titration Measurement	S 8
NMR Titrations of TBBN, TBBN2 and TBB with Fluoride	S20
Selectivity of TBBN, TBBN2 and TBB for Fluoride and Cyanide Ions	S23
High Resolution Mass Spectra of TBBN, TBBN2, TBB and TBNN	S26

Photophysical properties

Solvent dependent absorption and PL spectra of TBBN, TBBN2, TBB and TBNN.



Fig. S1. Absorption (a) and PL spectra (b) of TBBN in various solvents and thin film.



Fig. S2. Absorption (a) and PL spectra (b) of TBBN2 in various solvents and thin film.



Fig. S3. Absorption (a) and PL spectra (b) of TBB in various solvents and thin film.



Fig. S4. Absorption (a) and PL spectra (b) of TBNN in various solvents.

Compound	Solvent	$\lambda_{abs}(nm)$	$\lambda_{\rm em}({\rm nm})$	$\triangle v (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})^c$	${\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}}^{d}$
	Hexane	310	394 ^{<i>a</i>}	6877	0.17
	Benzene	315	445 ^a	9274	0.14
TDDN	CH_2Cl_2	317	538 ^a	12958	0.11
IBBN	THF	313	518 ^a	12607	0.09
	MeCN	314	447 ^a	9476	0.10
	Film	319	470	10071	0.07
	Hexane	329	375 ^b	3728	0.26
	Benzene	328	418 ^b	6564	0.21
TDDNA	CH_2Cl_2	330	437 ^b	7420	0.14
I BBN2	THF	327	460 ^b	8983	0.11
	MeCN	328	436 ^b	7552	0.07
	Film	335	424	6266	0.05
	Hexane	329	375	3728	0.70
	Benzene	332	405	5368	0.88
TDD	CH_2Cl_2	329	444	7873	0.78
IBB	THF	330	438	7472	0.80
	MeCN	331	463	8613	0.56
	Film	333	405	5339	0.04
	Hexane	297	371	6716	0.51
	Benzene	301	370	6196	0.65
TBNN	CH_2Cl_2	304	389	7188	0.60
	THF	303	376	6408	0.58
	MeCN	307	414	8419	0.42

Table S1. Absorption and PL Data of TBBN, TBBN2, TBB and TBNN.

^{*a*}Excited at 313 nm. ^{*b*} Excited at 328 nm. ^{*c*} Stokes shift. ^{*d*} Absolute quantum yields which were determined by a calibrated integrating sphere system.

Theoretical Calculations

The TD-DFT calculations on **TBB**, **TBBN** and **TBBN2** were performed using the Gaussian 09 program at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory using the optimized structure geometry. The time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) calculations were conducted at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory to understand their intramolecular CT transitions.



Fig. S5. Calculated molecular orbitals of (a) **TBBN**, (b) **TBBN2**, (c) **TBB** and (d) **TBNN**. The transition energies and oscillator strengths (*f*) were calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory.

Table S2. Calculated transition energies, absorption wavelengths, oscillator strength and assignments for compounds **TBBN**, **TBBN2**, **TBB** and **TBNN** calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G level of thoery.

Compound	Excited state	Transition energies (eV)	Absorption(nm) (oscillator strengths)	Assignments (%)
	1	2.95	420 (0.0194)	HOMO→LUMO (69)
				HOMO-1→LUMO (12)
	2	3.42	362 (0.161)	HOMO-1→LUMO (68)
				HOMO→LUMO (12)
	3	3.64	362 (0.161)	HOMO-3→LUMO (61)
				HOMO-2→LUMO (32)
	4	3.76	330 (0.1242)	HOMO-2→LUMO (48)
				HOMO-4→LUMO (38)
				HOMO-3→LUMO (30)
TRRN	5	3.88	320 (0.0774)	HOMO-4→LUMO (51)
				HOMO-5→LUMO (40)
				HOMO-2→LUMO (24)
	6	3.97	312 (0.0088)	HOMO-6→LUMO (70)
	7	4.04	330 (0.1026)	HOMO-5→LUMO (54)
				HOMO-2→LUMO (27)
				HOMO-4→LUMO (26)
	8	4.09	303 (0.2269)	HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1 (52)
				HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+2 (34)
	9	4.20	295 (0.4618)	HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+2 (53)
				HOMO→LUMO+1 (38)
	1	3.07	404 (0.0055)	HOMO→LUMO (68)
				HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO (17)
	2	3.37	368 (0.1674)	HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO (67)
				HOMO→LUMO (68)
TBBN2	3	3.62	342 (0.3408)	HOMO-3→LUMO (55)
				HOMO-2→LUMO (32)
				$HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1$ (28)
	4	3.66	338 (0.5517)	HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1 (61)
				HOMO-3→LUMO (33)
	1	3.34	370 (0.2215)	HOMO→LUMO (70)
	2	3.39	366 (0.0472)	$HOMO \rightarrow LUMO + 1 (70)$
TBB	3	3.67	337 (0.2693)	HOMO-2→LUMO (47)
				HOMO-3 \rightarrow LUMO+1 (37)
				HOMO-1→LUMO+1 (37)
	1	3.99	311 (0.5851)	HOMO→LUMO (66)
				HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1 (15)
TBNN	2	4.16	298 (0.0002)	HOMO-1→LUMO (51)
				HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1 (42)
	3	4.22	294 (0.2593)	HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+2 (63)

X-ray Crystallography



Fig. S6. ORTEP drawing of TBBN showing the 30% probability ellipsoids and labeling schemes.

Compound	TBBN		
Chemical formula	C ₄₁ H ₄₄ BN ₃		
Formula weight	589.60		
Crystal system	Triclinic		
Space group	Pī		
a/Å	8.660(12)		
b/Å	15.52(2)		
c/Å	25.74(4)		
α(°)	100.726(19)		
β(°)	96.95(2)		
γ(°)	93.252(19)		
Unit cell volume/ Å ³	3363(8)		
T/K	296(2)		
Z	4		
D _{calcd} /Mg m ⁻³	1.165		
Radiation type	Mo K α ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å)		
Absorption coefficient, μ/mm^{-1}	0.067		
F(000)	1264		
θ range for data collection(°)	1.34 to 27.79		
	$-11 \le h \le 11$		
Limiting indices	$-20 \le k \le 20$		
	$-33 \le l \le 33$		
Paflastians callested/unique	40641/15634		
Kenections conected/unique	[R(int) = 0.2267]		
Final R indices $(I \ge 2\sigma(I))$	$R_1 = 0.0693, wR_2 = 0.1102$		
Final R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.3894, wR_2 = 0.1964$		
Max. and min. transmission	0.9983 and 0.9879		
Data/restraints/parameters	15634 / 0 / 827		
Goodness of fit on F ²	0.832		
Largest diff. peak and hole/e Å ³	0.187 and -0.219		
CCDC number	905360		

 Table S3. Crystal data, diffraction data, and refinement data of TBBN.

Table S4. Prominent weak intermolecular forces for packing structure of TBBN.

Number	Weak intermolecular interactions	Distance/Å		Shift distance ^c /Å	Angle ^d (°)	Туре
1	$\pi \cdots \pi(C55 \sim C77)$	3.900(7) ^a	3.762(2) ^b	1.025	15.24	A*-A*
2	$\pi \cdots \pi(C14 \sim C32)$	3.727(7) ^a	3.692(2) ^b	0.505	7.78	A-A
^{<i>a</i>} the distance between the centroids of the two π rings; ^{<i>b</i>} the vertical distance between the two π rings; ^{<i>c</i>} the slippage						
distance between ring (I) and perpendicular project of ring (J) on ring (I); ^{<i>a</i>} the sliding angle of the two π rings.						

Titration Measurement

Titration of TBBN with TBAF

The titration experiments were carried out in a THF solution of **TBBN** (4.722 μ M, 2 mL in a quartz cuvette). Then the solution was titrated with incremental amounts of fluoride ion by addition of a concentrated TBAF solution in THF (4.16×10⁻⁴ M), in which **TBBN** was also included at its initial concentration to avoid the dilution effects. The fluorescence band at 518 nm and 378 nm were monitored (λ_{ex} = 313 nm).



Fig. S7. The absorption (a) and PL (b) spectral changes of **TBBN** (4.722 μ M in THF, λ_{ex} =313nm) upon addition of TBAF solution (4.16×10⁻⁴ M). (c) The enlarged view of the PL spectrum change at 518 nm (d) Plot of fluorescence intensity ratio (I_{378}/I_{518}) versus concentration of F⁻. Inset in (d): Photograph of THF solution of **TBBN** before and after addition of F⁻ with 365 nm irradiation.

To determine the binding constants, the equations described by Connors¹ were used. Based on this, a plot of $(1-I/I_0)/[F]$ vs I/I_0 was made. The intensity of the native fluorescence peak in THF at 518 nm was used as the initial intensity (I_0), and the intensity of the new fluorescence band at 378 nm as the final intensity (I).



Fig. S8. Plot of $(1-I/I_o)/[F]$ vs I/I_o of TBBN upon addition of TBAF. From the slope of $(1-I/I_o)/[F]$ vs I/I_o , a binding constant K= 2.67×10⁴ M⁻¹ was obtained.

Titration of TBBN with TBACN

The titration experiments on **TBBN** upon addition of TBACN were the same as on TBAF. A THF solution of **TBBN** (4.661 μ M, 2 mL) was placed in a quartz cuvette and titrated with incremental amounts of cyanide ion by the addition of a concentrated TBACN solution in THF (4.531×10⁻⁴ M), in which **TBBN** was also included at its initial concentration to avoid dilution effects. The fluorescence band at 518 nm and 378 nm were monitored (λ_{ex} = 313 nm).



Fig. S9. The absorption (a) and PL (b) spectral changes of TBBN (4.661 μM in THF, λ_{ex}=313nm) upon addition of TBACN solution (4.531×10⁻⁴ M). (c) The enlarged view of the PL spectrum change at 518 nm (d) Fluorescence intensity ratio (I₃₇₈/I₅₁₈) versus concentration of CN⁻. Inset in (d): Photographs of THF solution of TBBN before and after addition of CN⁻ with 365 nm irradiation.

Based on the equations described by Connors¹, a plot of $(1-I/I_0)/[CN]$ vs I/I_0 was made. The intensity of the native fluorescence peak in THF at 518 nm was used as the initial intensity (I₀), and the intensity of the new fluorescence band at 378 nm as the final intensity (I).



Fig. S10. Plot of $(1-I/I_o)/[CN]$ vs I/I_o of TBBN upon addition of TBACN. From the slope of $(1-I/I_o)/[CN]$ vs I/I_o , a binding constant $K= 5.0 \times 10^4 M^{-1}$ was obtained.

Titration of TBBN2 with TBAF

The titration experiments were carried out in a THF solution of **TBBN2** (2.099 μ M, 2 mL in a quartz cuvette). Then the solution was titrated with incremental amounts of fluoride ion by addition of a concentrated TBAF solution in THF (4.22×10⁻⁴ M), in which **TBBN2** was also included at its initial concentration to avoid dilution effects. The fluorescence band at 460 nm and 388 nm were monitored (λ_{ex} = 328 nm).



Fig. S11. The absorption (a) and PL (b) spectral changes of **TBBN2** (2.099 μ M in THF, λ_{ex} =328nm) upon addition of TBAF solution (4.22×10⁻⁴ M). Inset in (b): Photographs of THF solution of **TBBN2** before and after addition of F⁻ with 365 nm irradiation.



Fig. S12. Plot of fluorescence intensity ratio (I_{388}/I_{460}) of TBBN2 versus concentration of F⁻.

To determine the binding constants, the equations described by $Connors^1$ were used. Based on this, a plot of $(1-I/I_o)/[F]$ vs I/I_o was made. The intensity of the native fluorescence peak in THF at 460 nm was used as the initial intensity (I₀), and the intensity of the new fluorescence band at 388 nm as the final intensity (I).



Fig. S13. Plot of $(1-I/I_o)/[F]$ vs I/I_o of TBBN2 upon addition of TBAF. From the slope of $(1-I/I_o)/[F]$ vs I/I_o , a binding constant K= $6.56 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ was obtained.

Titration of TBBN2 with TBACN

The titration experiments on **TBBN2** upon addition of TBACN were the same as on TBAF. A THF solution of **TBBN2** (2.099 μ M, 2 mL) was placed in a quartz cuvette and titrated with incremental amounts of cyanide ions by the addition of a concentrated TBACN solution in THF (6.64×10⁻⁴ M), in which **TBBN2** was also included at its initial concentration to avoid dilution effects. The fluorescence band at 460 nm and 388 nm were monitored (λ_{ex} = 328 nm).



Fig. S14. The absorption (a) and PL (b) spectral changes of **TBBN2** (2.099 μ M in THF, λ_{ex} =328nm) upon addition of TBACN solution (6.64×10⁻⁴ M). Inset in (b): Photographs of THF solution of **TBBN2** before and after addition of CN⁻ with 365 nm irradiation.



Fig. S15. Plot of fluorescence intensity ratio (I_{388}/I_{460}) of TBBN2 versus concentration of CN⁻.

Based on the equations described by Connors¹, a plot of $(1-I/I_0)/[CN]$ vs I/I_0 was made. The intensity of the native fluorescence peak in THF at 460 nm was used as the initial intensity (I₀), and the intensity of the new fluorescence band at 388 nm as the final intensity (I).



Fig. S16. Plot of $(1-I/I_o)/[CN]$ vs I/I_o of TBBN2 upon addition of TBACN. From the slope of $(1-I/I_o)/[CN]$ vs I/I_o , a binding constant K= 6.66×10⁴ M⁻¹ was obtained.

Titration of TBB with TBAF

The titration experiments were carried out in a THF solution of **TBB** (4.372 μ M, 2 mL in a quartz cuvette). Then the solution was titrated with incremental amounts of fluoride ion by the addition of a concentrated TBAF solution in THF (4.24×10⁻⁴ M), in which **TBB** was also included at its initial concentration to avoid dilution effects. The fluorescence band at 388 nm was monitored (λ_{ex} = 330 nm).



Fig. S17. The absorption (a) and PL (b) spectral changes of **TBB** (4.372 μ M in THF, λ_{ex} = 330nm) upon addition of TBAF solution (4.24×10⁻⁴ M). The inset in (b) shows the photographs of THF solution of **TBB** before and after addition of F⁻ with 365 nm irradiation.



Fig. S18. Plot of fluorescence intensity change of TBB at 440 nm (I_{440}) versus concentration of F⁻.

To determine the binding constants, the equations described by $Connors^1$ were used. Based on this, a plot of $(1-I/I_o)/[F]^2$ vs I/I_o was made. The fluorescence intensity at 440 nm was used as the initial intensity (I₀), and the intensity change at 440 nm upon addition of TBAF as the final intensity (I).



Fig. S19. Plot of $(1-I/I_0)/[F]^2$ vs I/I_0 of **TBB** upon addition of TBAF. From the slope of $(1-I/I_0)/[F]^2$ vs I/I_0 , a binding constant **K**= **2.05**×10⁹ M⁻² was obtained.

Titration of TBB with TBACN

The titration experiments on **TBB** upon addition of TBACN were the same as on TBAF. A THF solution of **TBB** (4.698 μ M, 2 mL) was placed in a quartz cuvette and titrated with incremental amounts of cyanide ion by addition of a concentrated TBACN solution in THF (4.45×10⁻⁴ M), in which **TBB** was also included at its initial concentration to avoid dilution effects. The fluorescence band at 388 nm was monitored (λ_{ex} = 330 nm).



Fig. S20. The absorption (a) and PL (b) spectral changes of **TBB** (4.698 μ M in THF, λ_{ex} = 330nm) upon addition of TBACN solution(4.45×10⁻⁴ M). The inset in (b) shows the photographs of THF solution of **TBB** before and after addition of CN⁻ with 365 nm irradiation.



Fig. S21. Plot of fluorescence intensity change of TBB at 440 nm (I_{440}) versus concentration of CN⁻.

Based on the equations described by Connors¹, a plot of $(1-I/I_0)/[CN]^2$ vs I/I_0 was made. The fluorescence intensity at 440 nm was used as the initial intensity (I₀), and the intensity change at 440 nm upon addition of TBACN as the final intensity (I).



Fig. S22. Plot of $(1-I/I_0)/[CN]^2$ vs I/I_0 of TBB upon addition of TBACN. From the slope of $(1-I/I_0)/[CN]^2$ vs I/I_0 , a binding constant K= 1.06×10^{10} M⁻² was obtained.

NMR Titrations of TBBN, TBBN2 and TBB with fluoride

¹⁹F NMR Titration of TBBN with fluoride ion in CD₂Cl₂

All the ¹⁹F NMR titration experiments were recorded with a Bruker 300 spectrometer in CD₂Cl₂. To a CD₂Cl₂ solution of TBAF (0.013 M, 1 mL) in the NMR tube, incremental amounts of **TBBN** powder were added to produce **TBBN** solutions with concentrations of 0.0020 M, 0.0042 M, 0.0067 M, 0.0092 M, 0.012 M and 0.016 M. When there was no ¹⁹F NMR spectral change observed in the process, excess TBACl was added ([TBACl]/[TBAF]=2.64) to investigate the selectivity of **TBBN** for fluoride. The ¹⁹F NMR spectral change was monitored during the whole experiment, and the signals for free F⁻ and bound F⁻ were recorded. It was noted that about 1 equivalent of **TBBN** was needed to consume all of the starting fluoride ion, indicating the formation of a 1:1 complex.



Fig. S23. ¹⁹F NMR spectra of **TBBN** before and after the addition of TBAF in CD₂Cl₂. The top is the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of **TBBN** after the addition of TBACl ([TBACl]/[TBAF]=2.64).

¹⁹F NMR Titration of TBBN2 with fluoride ion in CD₂Cl₂

To a CD_2Cl_2 solution of TBAF (0.013 M, 1 mL) in the NMR tube, incremental amounts of **TBBN2** powder were added to produce **TBBN2** solutions with concentrations of 0.0020 M, 0.0042 M, 0.0065 M, 0.0086 M, 0.011 M and 0.013 M. When there was no ¹⁹F NMR spectral change observed in the process, excess TBACl was added ([TBACl]/[TBAF]=2) to investigate the selectivety of **TBBN2** for fluoride. The ¹⁹F NMR spectral change was monitored during the whole experiment, and the signals for free F⁻ and bound F⁻ were recorded. It was noted that about 1 equivalent of **TBBN2** was needed to consume all of the starting fluoride ion, indicating the formation of a 1:1 complex.



Fig. S24. ¹⁹F NMR spectra of **TBBN2** before and after the addition of TBAF in CD₂Cl₂. The top is the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of **TBBN2** after the addition of TBACl ([TBACl]/[TBAF]=2).

¹⁹F NMR Titration of TBB with fluoride ion in CD₂Cl₂

To a CD_2Cl_2 solution of TBAF (0.025 M, 1 mL) in the NMR tube, incremental amounts of **TBB** powder were added to produce the **TBB** solutions with concentrations of 0.0020 M, 0.0041 M, 0.0064 M, 0.0089 M, and 0.011 M. When there was no ¹⁹F NMR spectral change observed in the process, excess TBACl was added ([TBACl]/[TBAF]=1.98) to investigate the selectivety of **TBB** for fluoride. The ¹⁹F NMR spectral change was monitored during the whole experiment, and the signals for free F⁻ and bound F⁻ were recorded. It was noted that about 0.5 equivalent of **TBB** was needed to consume all of the starting fluoride ion, indicating the formation of a 2:1 complex.



Fig. S25. ¹⁹F NMR spectra of **TBB** before and after the addition of TBAF in CD₂Cl₂. The top is the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of **TBB** after the addition of TBACl ([TBACl]/[TBAF]=1.98).

Titration of TBBN with various anions.



Fig. S26. Absorption (a) and PL (b) spectral changes of TBBN (2.09 μ M in THF, λ_{ex} =313nm) upon addition of an excess of acetate, phosphate, bromide, chloride, fluoride and cyanide ions in THF solutions (193 μ M). All the anions were used as tetrabutylammonium salts.



Fig. S27. A comparison of fluorescence intensity ratio (I_{378}/I_{518}) of **TBBN** in THF solution (2.09 μ M) upon addition of an excess of various anions (193 μ M). Plot clearly indicates that **TBBN** shows higher selectivity for F⁻ and CN⁻ over Cl⁻, Br⁻, AcO⁻ and H₂PO₄⁻.

Titration of TBBN2 with various anions.



Fig. S28. Absorption (a) and PL (b) spectral changes of **TBBN2** (2.15 μ M in THF, λ_{ex} =328nm) upon addition of an excess of bromide, chloride, fluoride and cyanide ions in THF solutions (195 μ M).



Fig. S29. A comparison of fluorescence intensity ratio (I_{388}/I_{460}) of TBBN2 in THF solution (2.15 μ M) after addition of an excess of various anions (195 μ M).

Titration of TBB with various anions.



Fig. S30. Absorption (a) and PL (b) spectral changes of TBB (2.45 μ M in THF, λ_{ex} =330nm) upon addition of an excess of acetate, phosphate, bromide, chloride, fluoride and cyanide ions in THF solutions (167 μ M).



Fig. S31. A comparison of fluorescence intensity at 440 nm of TBB in THF solution (2.45 μ M) before and after addition of an excess of various anions (167 μ M).

High Resolution Mass Spectra.



Fig. S32. High resolution mass spectra of TBBr.



Fig. S33. High resolution mass spectra of TBBN.



Fig. S34. High resolution mass spectra of TBBN2.



Fig. S35. High resolution mass spectra of TBNN.

Reference

(1) Connors, K. A. *Binding Constants*; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1987.