Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for RSC Advances. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015

RA-ART-09-2015-017844 (R)

A family of mixed-ligand oxidovanadium(V) complexes with aroylhydrazone ligands: a combined experimental and computational study on the electronic effects of *para* substituents of hydrazone ligands on the electronic properties, 5 DNA binding and nuclease activities

Debashis Patra^a, Nirmalendu Biswas^a, Bhavini Kumari^b, Prolay Das^b, Nayim Sepay^c, Shamba Chatterjee^d, Michael G. B. Drew^e and Tapas Ghosh^{a,*}

^aPost Graduate Department of Chemistry, Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Centenary College, Rahara, Kolkata-700118, India. E-mail: ictg_64@yahoo.co.in ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Patna, India.

10 °Department of Chemistry, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India. ^dDepartment of Organic Chemistry, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Kolkata-700032, India. ^eDepartment of Chemistry, The University of Reading, P. O. Box 224, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AD, UK.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

15

Table of content

Table S1 Crystal data and structure determination summary of H₃L³, 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Table S2 Selected bond lengths (Å) of the H_3L^3 ligand in molecules A and B.

Table S3 Hydrogen bond parameters in the structure of H₃L³ ligand.

Table S4 Hydrogen bond parameters [bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)] of the complexes 1-4.

20 Table S5 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) in complexes 1-4 obtained by DFT method.

Table S6 Vertical excitation energies (E_{cal}), oscillator strengths (f_{cal}), and type of excitations of the lowest three excited singlets obtained from TD-DFT calculations of **1-4**.

Table S7 Extent of DNA SC pUC19 (500 ng) cleavage by 0.25 mM 1-4 complexes.

25 Table S8 Extent of DNA SC pUC19 (500 ng) cleavage by ligands H₃L¹⁻⁴.

Table S9 Different type of interactions between the CT-DNA and the each of the complexes obtained from molecular docking study.

- Fig. S1: ⁵¹V NMR spectra of complexes **1-4** in CDCl₃ solution.
- Fig. S2: Overlay of the electronic spectra of 1-4 complexes in CH₂Cl₂ solution.
- Fig. S3: Perspective view of the components of the crystallographic asymmetric unit of H_3L^3 .
- Fig. S4: Cyclic voltammogram of the complexes 1-4 in CH₂Cl₂ solution.
- 5 Fig. S5: Cyclic voltammogram of 1-4 complexes in CH₂Cl₂ solution at different scan rate. Concentration of the complexes: ~ 1×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³; supporting electrolyte: tetrabutylammonium perchlorate.
 - Fig. S6: Plot of λ_{max} versus $E_{1/2}$ for the complexes 1-4.
 - Fig. S7: Absorption spectra of the complexes 1-4 in the presence of increasing amounts of CT DNA. A fixed concentration of complex (10-5 M) was treated with increasing amounts of DNA over a range of (1-
- 10) × 10⁻⁶ M. (inset: The linear fit of [DNA]/(ε_a - ε_f) versus [DNA]). 10
 - Fig. S8: Fluorescence spectra of (a) EB + 10⁻⁴ M DNA control and (b)-(k) EB + DNA + (1-10) \times 10⁻⁵ M ligands H_3L^1 , H_3L^2 , H_3L^3 and H_3L^4 . The arrow shows that the intensity decreases with increasing concentration of ligands H₃L¹, H₃L², H₃L³ and H₃L⁴. (Inset: Stern-Volmer plot for the quenching of fluorescence of the ethidium bromide (EB) DNA complex caused by ligands H_3L^1 , H_3L^2 , H_3L^3 and H_3L^4 respectively).
- 15
 - Fig. S9: Nuclease activity of complexes 1-4:

pUC19 incubated with 0.25 mM of complex 1, 2, 3 and 4. Lane 1 contains pUC19 as control and lane 2, 3, 4 and 5 contain pUC19 incubated with complex 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. The upper bands correspond to the OC form and the lower bands for SC form of the Plasmid.

20 Fig. S10: Nuclease activity of ligands H_3L^{1-4} :

pUC19 incubated with 0.5 mM of ligand H₃L¹, H₃L², H₃L³ and H₃L⁴. Lane 1 contains pUC19 as control and lane 2, 3, 4 and 5 contain pUC19 incubated with ligand H_3L^1 , H_3L^2 , H_3L^3 and H_3L^4 respectively. The upper bands correspond to the OC form, the middle band for LC and the lower bands for SC form of the Plasmid.

25 Fig. S11: Docked pose of ligands H_3L^1 (top left), H_3L^2 (top right), H_3L^3 (bottom left) and H_3L^4 (bottom right) showing interaction with DNA base pairs.

	H ₃ L ³	1	2	3	4
Emperical formula	$C_{17.5}H_{19}N_2O_{4.5}$	$C_{24}H_{18}N_3O_5V$	$C_{25}H_{20}N_{3}O_{5}V$	$C_{25}H_{20}N_{3}O_{6}V$	$C_{24}H_{17}ClN_3O_5V$
Formula weight	329.35	479.35	493.38	509.38	513.80
Crystal colour	white	black	black	black	black
Temperature (K)	150(2)	150(2)	150(2)	293(2)	150(2)
Crystal system	triclinic	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
Space group	P-1	$P2_{I}/c$	P-1	$P2_l/c$	P-1
a/Å	12.1814(14)	13.5975(17)	8.7713(6)	7.668(3)	8.3143(6)
b/Å	12.8382(18)	11.4633(19)	10.1477(8)	14.153(6)	11.3015(7)
c/Å	13.3641(15)	14.148(2)	13.3468(9)	21.853(10)	12.1012(8)
α/°	112.58(12)	90	74.541(7)	90	72.559(6)
β/°	96.537(10)	105.616(16)	84.262(6)	96.860(6)	84.859(6)
γ/°	117.044(13)	90	73.896(7)	90	76.042(6)
$V/\text{\AA}^3$	1609.5(3)	2123.9(6)	1099.63(14)	2354.5(18)	1052.60(12)
Ζ	4	4	2	4	2
D_x (g cm ⁻³)	1.359	1.499	1.490	1.437	1.621
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.099	0.510	0.495	0.468	0.643
F(000)	696	984	508	1048	524
Data/restraints/parameters	8976/0/441	5923/1/300	6167/0/310	4134/0/319	5889/0/309
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.038	0.939	1.003	0.964	0.810
R indices,[I>2o(I)] R1,wR2	0.0940, 0.1706	0.0706, 0.1202	0.0572, 0.1095	0.0662, 0.1503	0.0506, 0.1275
R indices(all data) R1,wR2	0.1663, 0.2107	0.1775, 0.1676	0.0871, 0.1239	0.1241, 0.1767	0.0751,0.1513
$\Delta \rho_{max}$, min (e Å ⁻³)	0.403, -0.353	0.293, -0.339	0.402, -0.418	0.342, -0.595	0.486, -0.586

Table S1: Crystal data and structure determination summary of H_3L^3 , 1, 2, 3 and 4

5 Table S2: Selected bond lengths (Å) of the H_3L^3 ligand in molecules A and B

e ()	e e		
	Α	В	-
 C(7)-N(1)	1.292(4)	1.284(4)	-
N(1)-N(2)	1.370(3)	1.370(3)	
C(8)-N(2)	1.351(3)	1.343(4)	
C(8)-O(3)	1.243(4)	1.249(3)	

D-HA	d(D-H)	d(HA)	d(DA)	<(DHA)			
N(2A)-H(2A)O(5A)	0.86	1.92	2.600(3)	135.2			
O(2A)-H(2A)N(1A)	0.82	1.82	2.533(3)	144.9			
O(5B)-H(5B)O(3A) ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾	0.82	1.78	2.597(3)	176.4			
N(2B)-H(2B)O(5B)	0.86	1.91	2.588(3)	135.3			
O(2B)-H(2B)N(1B)	0.82	1.82	2.533(3)	144.3			
O(5A)-H(5A)O(3B) ⁽ⁱ⁾	0.82	1.80	2.597(3)	163.5			
Symmetry elements: (i) 1+x,-1+y,z; (ii) -1+x,y,z							

Table S3: Hydrogen bond parameters in the structure of H_3L^3 ligand

 Table S4: Hydrogen bond parameters [bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)] of the complexes 1-4

Comp	olex D-HA	d(D-H)	d(HA)	d(DA)	<(DHA)
1	O(5)-H(5)N(2)	0.82	1.88	2.599 (4)	145.6
2	O(5)-H(5)N(2)	0.82	1.87	2.585 (2)	145.3
3	O(5)-H(5)N(2)	0.82	1.91	2.633 (3)	145.9
4	O(5)-H(5)N(2)	0.82	1.87	2.598 (2)	145.5

_	
<u>٦</u>	
-	

 Table S5: Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) in complexes 1-4 obtained by DFT method

		6-311+-	+G**			6-31G		
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
10 V-O1	1.571	1.572	1.572	1.571	1.593	1.593	1.594	1.593
V-02	1.842	1.840	1.834	1.846	1.832	1.830	1.826	1.837
V-O3	1.945	1.947	1.951	1.944	1.929	1.931	1.933	1.927
V-O4	1.840	1.841	1.842	1.838	1.825	1.826	1.826	1.822
V-N1	2.123	2.124	2.129	2.127	2.126	2.126	2.131	2.130
V-N3	2.521	2.522	2.521	2.518	2.543	2.544	2.542	2.536
²⁰ O1-V-O2	101.36	101.39	101.58	101.23	100.82	100.82	100.95	100.59
01-V-03	101.72	101.77	101.69	101.81	101.26	101.13	101.01	101.21
01-V-04	103.67	103.67	103.64	103.66	103.80	103.86	103.86	103.85
₂₅ O2-V-O3	148.97	148.88	148.61	148.89	149.68	149.82	149.67	149.83
02-V-04	102.25	101.44	102.64	102.10	102.24	102.26	102.38	101.91
O3-V-O4	92.11	91.94	91.99	92.44	92.36	92.27	92.34	92.82
01-V-N1	96.09	95.94	95.67	95.82	95.80	95.90	95.68	95.72
³⁰ O2-V-N1	82.28	82.31	82.27	82.27	81.77	81.80	81.79	81.76
O3-V-N1	74.91	74.88	74.73	74.86	75.56	75.58	75.46	75.55
04-V-N1	158.28	158.32	158.52	158.65	158.73	158.55	158.70	158.93
³⁵ O1-V-N3	176.80	176.73	176.65	176.90	176.57	176.50	176.42	176.80
O2-V-N3	80.40	80.52	80.58	80.42	81.47	81.68	81.77	81.54
O3-V-N3	77.62	77.42	77.26	77.62	77.54	77.42	77.31	77.68
O4-V-N3	73.28	73.25	73.29	73.37	73.13	73.11	73.16	73.28
10 N1-V-N3	86.77	86.92	87.14	86.99	87.02	86.85	86.98	86.93

Complex	State	${E_{cal/nm}}$	f _{cal}	Excitation
1	S_1	642.77	0.0033	HOMO-2 → LUMO (0.16154)
				HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO (0.17784)
	\mathbf{S}_2	508.05	0.0028	HOMO-3 \rightarrow LUMO (0.17631)
				HOMO-2 \rightarrow LUMO (0.60430)
				HOMO \rightarrow LUMO (-0.30393)
	S_3	487.08	0.2004	HOMO-3 \rightarrow LUMO (0.12808)
				HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO (0.67793)
2	S_1	665.26	0.0038	HOMO-2 \rightarrow LUMO (0.16154)
				HOMO-1→ LUMO (0.17784)
				HOMO \rightarrow LUMO (0.65819)
	S_2	511.35	0.0024	HOMO-3 → LUMO (-0.19657)
				HOMO-2 \rightarrow LUMO (0.44163)
				HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO (0.45607)
				HOMO \rightarrow LUMO (-0.23424)
	S_3	486.36	0.2027	HOMO-3 \rightarrow LUMO (0.15100)
				HOMO-2 \rightarrow LUMO (-0.45876)
				HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO (0.49482)
3	\mathbf{S}_1	709.80	0.0017	HOMO \rightarrow LUMO (0.69400)
	S_2	527.55	0.0052	HOMO-3 \rightarrow LUMO (-0.23432)
				$HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO (0.65961)$
	S_3	485.80	0.1898	HOMO-3 \rightarrow LUMO (-0.19267)
				HOMO-2 \rightarrow LUMO (0.64869)
				HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO (-0.10117)
4	\mathbf{S}_1	653.55	0.0037	HOMO-2 \rightarrow LUMO (0.13330)
				HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO (0.25045)
				HOMO \rightarrow LUMO (0.63972)
	S_2	518.91	0.0028	HOMO-3 \rightarrow LUMO (-0.12311)
				HOMO-2 \rightarrow LUMO (0.30141)
				HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO (0.55649)
				HOMO \rightarrow LUMO (-0.28431)
	S_3	489.47	0.2111	HOMO-3 \rightarrow LUMO (-0.11321)
				HOMO-2 \rightarrow LUMO (0.59391)
				HOMO-1→ LUMO (-0.33682)

Table S6: Vertical excitation energies (E_{cal}), oscillator strengths (f_{cal}), and type of excitations of the lowest three excited singlets obtained from TD-DFT calculations of **1-4**

Complex	pUC form I	pUC form II	pUC form III	
	(% Super Coil)	(% Open Circular)	(% linear Coil)	
1	58.3	33.5	0.0	
2	56.8	34.9	0.0	
3	52.6	38.8	0.0	
4	53.1	38.4	0.0	

Table S7: Extent of DNA SC pUC19 (500ng) cleavage by 0.25 mM 1-4 complexes

Table S8: Extent of DNA SC pUC19 (500 ng) cleavage by 0.5 mM ligands H₃L¹⁻⁴.

Ligand	pUC form I	pUC form II	pUC form III
	(% Super Coil)	(% Open Circular)	(% linear Coil)
H_3L^1	68.8	24.2	0.0
H_3L^2	78.6	16.1	0.0
H_3L^3	69.2	19.3	5.0
H_3L^4	70.4	22.9	0.0

Table S9: Different type of interactions b	between the CT-DNA	and the each of the complexes	obtained from
--	--------------------	-------------------------------	---------------

Complex		Hydrogen	bonds				π- inter	actions	
	No.of hydrogen bonds	DNA End	Complex End	Distances Å	DH—A angle	No. of ionic interact- ions	DNA End	Complex End	Bond Distances Å
1	1	Chain A, O atom of phosphate of Thimin 8	Free OH	1.98	124.89	3	Chain B, O atom of phosphate of C21	Phenyl ring	3.60
							Chain B, H atom of Suger of T20	O18	1.88
							Chain B, H atom of Suger of T20	O18	2.93
2	3	Chain A, H atom of NH ₂ group of G4	V=O	2.10	135.3	2	Chain B, aromatic ring of C23	Phenyl ring	5.70
		Chain A, H atom of NH group of G4	V=O	1.72	144.4		Chain A, H atom of Suger of A5	V=O	2.91
		Chain A, H atom of NH group of G4	O of V-O- aromatic mojety	3.15	114.9				
3	3	Chain A, H atom of NH ₂ group of G4	V=O	1.85	136.9	2	Chain A, H atom of Suger of A5	V=O	2.53
		Chain A, H atom of NH	V=O	2.02	127.7		Chain A, H atom of Suger of A5	V=O	2.86
		Chain A, H atom of NH	O of V-O- aromatic mojety	2.63	113.5		01110		
4	1	O of T8 of Chain	Free OH	2.17	148.55	3	Chain B, O of phosphate of C21	Phenyl ring	3.18
		A					Chain B,H of sugar of T20	O of V-O- aromatic ring	1.97
							Chain B,H of sugar of T20	O of V-O- aromatic ring	2.67

molecular docking study



Fig. S1



Fig. S2



Fig. S3











Fig. S6





Fig. S8



Lane	1	2	3	4	5
Ligand		H_3L^1	H_3L^2	H_3L^3	H_3L^4



Fig. S10



Fig. S11