Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015

Electronic Supplementary Information

Tuning visible-light absorption properties of Ru-diacetylide complexes: a simple access to colorful efficient dyes for DSSC.

Samuel De Sousa, Siliu Lyu, Laurent Ducasse, Thierry Toupance* and Céline Olivier*

Table of Content

1. Materials and methods

- 2. Synthesis of organic precursors
- 3. Synthesis of organometallic complexes

Figure S1. Normalized electronic absorption spectra of **[Ru]1-[Ru]4** adsorbed on $3-\mu m \operatorname{TiO}_2$ transparent film (plain) and in $\operatorname{CH}_2\operatorname{Cl}_2$ solutions (dashed).

Figure S2. TD-DFT simulated absorption spectra of **[Ru]1-[Ru]4**. Absorption bands enlarged using Gaussian functions with full-width at half-height (FWHH) of 5 nm to reproduce the experimental spectra.

Figure S3a. Transition assignment for [Ru]1 (transition #1).

Figure S3b. Transition assignment for [Ru]1 (transition #2).

Figure S3c. Transition assignment for [Ru]2 (transition #1).

Figure S3d. Transition assignment for [Ru]2 (transition #2).

Figure S3e. Transition assignment for [Ru]3 (transition #1).

Figure S3f. Transition assignment for [Ru]3 (transition #2).

Figure S3g. Transition assignment for [Ru]4 (transition #1).

Figure S3h. Transition assignment for [Ru]4 (transition #2).

Figure S3i. Transition assignment for [Ru]4 (transition #3).

Figure S4. Calculated energy diagram of the main transition-involved molecular orbitals of [Ru]1-[Ru]4 (B3LYP).

Table S1. Calculated energies of the main transition involved molecular orbitals of [Ru]1-[Ru]4.

Figure S5. Electronic absorption spectra of **[Ru]1** (C = $1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}^{-1}$), **[Ru]3** (C = $1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}^{-1}$) and the mixture **[Ru]1&[Ru]3** in a 1:1 molar ratio (C_{dye} = $1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}^{-1}$) in CH₂Cl₂.

Figure S6. IPCE action spectra of a co-sensitized DSSC device including **[Ru]1&[Ru]3** in a [4:1] molar ratio (black plain line), and single-dye devices including **[Ru]1** (red dotted line) or **[Ru]3** (blue dotted line).

Figure S7. Molecular structure of the commercial dye N3 and *J*(*V*) curves (plain: light; dashed: dark) of DSSC based on this benchmark-dye.

1. Materials and methods

The reactions were carried out under inert atmosphere using the Schlenk techniques. Solvents were dried from appropriate drying agents (sodium for pentane, diethyl ether and THF; calcium hydride for dichloromethane, chloroform and methanol) and freshly distilled under nitrogen before use. All reagents were obtained from commercially available sources and used without further purification. [RuCl(dpp)₂][[TfO] ([1][TfO]),^[1] 4-trimethylsilylethynylbenzaldehyde (III)^[2] and 5'-ethynyl-[2,2']bithiophene-5-carbaldehyde^[3] were synthesized according to literature procedures. 9-(4-Ethynylphenyl)carbazole (2), 2-trimethylsilylethylcyanoethanoate, [3][TfO] and [Ru]1 were prepared as previously reported.^[4]

¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and ³¹P NMR analyses were performed on Bruker Avance III 200 MHz, Avance I 300 MHz, Avance II 400 MHz and Avance III 600 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shift values are given in ppm with reference to solvent residual signals.

HR-MS analyses were performed by the CESAMO (Bordeaux, France). Electrospray (ESI): the measurements were carried out on a QStar Elite mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems). The instrument is equipped with an ESI source and spectra were recorded in the positive mode. The electrospray needle was maintained at 4500 V and operated at room temperature. Samples were introduced by injection through a 20 μ L sample loop into a 400 μ L/min flow of methanol from the LC pump. Field desorption (FD): the measurements were carried out on a TOF mass spectrometer AccuTOF GCv using an FD emitter with an emitter voltage of 10 kV. One to two microliters solution of the compound were deposited on a 13 μ m emitter wire.

Elemental analyses were performed on a Thermo Scientific Flash 2000 Elemental Analyser.

FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 spectrometer using KBr pellets.

UV-visible absorption and emission fluorescence spectra were recorded on a UV-1650PC SHIMADZU spectrophotometer and on a FluoroMax-4 HORIBA spectrofluorometer, respectively.

Cyclic voltammetry analyses were performed using a potentiostat/galvanostat Autolab PGSTAT100 and a three-electrode system (working electrode: Pt disc; reference electrode: Ag/AgCl, calibrated with decamethylferrocene as internal reference; counter electrode: Pt) with $0.1M Bu_4NPF_6$ as salt support at a scan rate of 100 mV.s⁻¹.

The method used for dye-loading amount determination is as follows: a solution of dye (0.3 mM) and cheno-deoxycholic acid (1 mM) in dichloromethane was prepared and used to sensitize a nanoparticulate TiO₂ thin-film (thickness = 9 μ m; surface area = 1 cm⁻²) as described in the experimental section. UV-visible absorption spectrum of the dye solution was recorded prior to and after sensitization. The amount of dye loaded onto TiO₂ was deduced from the difference between the two sets of data.

- ^[1] J. R. Polam and L. C. Porter, J. Coord. Chem., 1993, 29, 109.
- ^[2] C. Teng, X. Yang, C. Yang, S. Li, M. Cheng, A. Hagfeldt and L. Sun, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 9101.

^[3] J.-L. Fillaut, J. Perruchon, P. Blanchard, J. Roncali, S. Golhen, M. Allain, A. Migalsaka-Zalas, I. V. Kityk and B. Sahraoui, *Organometallics*, 2005, **24**, 687.

^[4] S. De Sousa, L. Ducasse, B. Kauffmann, T. Toupance and C. Olivier, Chem. Eur. J., 2014, 20, 7017.

2. Synthesis of organic precursors



Scheme S1. Synthetic route to 7-ethynyl-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-4-carbaldehyde (II): i) TMSA, PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂, CuI, Et₃N, THF; ii) K₂CO₃, MeOH.

Synthesis of 7-trimethylsilylethynyl-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-4-carbaldehyde (I): To a solution of 7-bromo-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-4-carbaldehyde (1.0 g, 4.1 mmol, 1 equiv.), $PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$ (140 mg, 0.20 mmol, 5%) and CuI (19 mg, 0.10 mmol, 2.5%) in dry THF (15 mL) under inert atmosphere, were added distilled Et₃N (15 mL) and trimethylsilylacetylene (0.75 mL, 5 mmol, 1.2 equiv.). The suspension was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After removal of the solvent, the resulting solid was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and filtered. The crude product was purified on silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (90:10, v/v)) to afford I as a yellow solid in 63 % yield (0.67 g, 2.6 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 10.75$ (s, 1H), 8.17 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H} = 12 Hz), 7.90 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H} = 12 Hz), 0.35 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 191.9$ (C_{q(C=O)}), 155.3 (C_{q(C=N)}), 152.8 (C_{q(C=N)}), 142.8 (C_q), 133.6 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 117.1 (C_q), 103.0 (C_q), 100.2 (C_q), 0.08 (CH_{3(SIMe3)}).

Synthesis of 7-ethynyl-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-4-carbaldehyde (II): To a solution of I (0.65 g, 2.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in dry MeOH (20 mL) and under inert atmosphere, was added K₂CO₃ (35 mg, 0.25 mmol, 0.1 equiv.). The suspension was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into water (300 mL) and extracted with Et₂O. The organics were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was recrystallized from hot pentane and dried to afford II as a yellow solid in 72 % yield (0.34 g, 1.8 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 10.78 (s, 1H), 8.20 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H} = 12 Hz), 7.96 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H} = 12 Hz), 3.92 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 192.1 (C_{q(C=O)}), 155.1 (C_{q(C=N)}), 151.6 (C_{q(C=N)}), 142.9 (C_q), 134.2 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 123.6 (C_q), 84.4 (CH), 79.6 (C_q).



Scheme S2. Synthetic route to VI : i) rhodanine-3-acetic acid, ammonium acetate, acetic acid ; ii) K_2CO_3 , MeOH ; iii) HBTU, DIPEA, 2-trimethylsilylethanol, DMF.

Synthesis of *IV*: In a Schlenk tube under inert atmosphere, 4-trimethylsilylethynylbenzaldehyde **III** (1.00 g, 4.94 mmol, 1 equiv.), rhodanine-3-acetic acid (1.04 g, 5.44 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) and ammonium acetate (0.11 g, 1.48 mmol, 0.3 equiv.) were dissolved in acetic acid (20 mL) and the solution was stirred for 3 h at 120°C. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and a precipitate was formed that was collected by filtration. The solid was washed with water and dried under vacuum to afford **IV** as a yellow powder in 92 % yield (1.70 g, 4.53 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): $\delta = 13.47$ (br. s, 1H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.67 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 8 Hz), 7.61 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 8 Hz), 4.75 (s, 2H), 0.25 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6): $\delta = 192.9$ ($C_{q(C=S)}$), 167.2 ($C_{q(COOH)}$), 166.3 ($C_{q(C=O)}$), 133.1 (C_q), 132.7 (CH), 132.5 (CH), 130.9 (CH), 124.4 (C_q), 122.9 (C_q), 104.4 (C_q), 97.9 (C_q), 45.0 (CH₂), -0.2 (CH_{3(SiMe3)}). HR-MS ESI- (m/z): 374.0339 [M-H]⁻ (calcd. 374.0346 for [$C_{17}H_{16}NO_3SiS_2$]⁻). FT-IR (KBr): $v_{C=C} = 2152$ cm⁻¹, $v_{C=O(acid)} = 1734$ cm⁻¹, $v_{C=O(acid)} = 1716$ cm⁻¹, $v_{Si-C} = 862-844$ cm⁻¹.

Synthesis of V: To a solution of IV (1.65 g, 4.39 mmol, 1 equiv.) in dry MeOH (130 mL) and under inert atmosphere, was added K₂CO₃ (0.91 g, 6.59 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) and the resulting suspension was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was poured into 3N aqueous HCl (300 mL) at 0°C. A precipitate was formed that was collected by filtration, washed with water and dried under vacuum to afford V as an orange powder in 81 % yield (1.08 g, 3.56 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): $\delta = 13.47$ (br. s, 1H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.70 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 8 Hz), 7.65 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 8 Hz), 4.75 (s, 2H), 4.48 (s, 1H).¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6): $\delta = 193.0$ (C_{q(C=S)}), 167.2 (C_{q(COOH)}), 166.3 (C_{q(C=O)}), 133.1 (C_q), 132.7 (CH), 132.6 (CH), 130.9 (CH), 124.1 (C_q), 122.9 (C_q), 84.0 (CH_(=C-H)), 82.9 (C_q), 45.0 (CH₂). FT-IR (KBr): $v_{=C-H} = 3257$ cm⁻¹, $v_{C=C} = 2105$ cm⁻¹, $v_{C=O(acid)} = 1726$ cm⁻¹, $v_{C=O(acid)} = 1711$ cm⁻¹.

Synthesis of VI: To a solution of V (0.50 g, 1.65 mmol, 1 equiv.) and HBTU (0.75 g, 1.98 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in anhydrous DMF (20 mL) and under inert atmosphere, DIPEA (1.44 mL, 8.24 mmol, 5 equiv.) and 2-trimethylsilylethanol (0.35 mL, 2.47 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) were added and the resulting suspension was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with saturated NH₄Cl aqueous solution and pure water. The organic layers were combined, dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified on silica gel column (petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ (7:3, v/v) to (1:1, v/v)) to afford VI as a yellow powder in 51 % yield (0.34 g, 0.84 mmol). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.60 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 8.4 Hz), 7.49 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 8.4 Hz), 4.82 (s, 2H), 4.27 (m, 2H), 3.34 (s, 1H), 1.03 (m, 2H), 0.05 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 193.3 (C_{q(C=S)}), 167.3 (C_{q(COOTMSE)}), 166.3 (C_{q(C=O)}), 133.9 (C_q), 133.3 (CH), 132.9 (CH), 130.9 (CH), 124.9 (C_q), 124.1 (C_q), 83.1 (CH_(=C-H)), 80.7 (C_q), 64.9 (CH_{2(TMSE)}), 45.4 (CH₂), 17.6 (CH_{2(TMSE)}), -1.5 (CH_{3(SiMe3)}). HR-MS ESI+ (m/z): 426.0641 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 426.0624 for [C₁₉H₂₁NO₃NaSiS₂]⁺. FT-IR (KBr): v_{=C-H} = 3258 cm⁻¹, v_{C=C} = 2103 cm⁻¹, v_{C=O(ester)} = 1736 cm⁻¹, v_{C=O(amide)} = 1713 cm⁻¹.

3. Synthesis of organometallic complexes



General procedure for the preparation of Ru-diacetylide intermediate complexes **4b-c** and **6**. To a solution of **[3]**[**TfO**] (1 equiv.), ethynyl-aryl-carbaldehyde (1.1 equiv.) and NaPF₆ (2 equiv.) in dry CH_2Cl_2 and under inert atmosphere, was added distilled Et_3N (3 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The organics were further washed with degassed water and evaporated to dryness. Precipitation from a CH_2Cl_2 /pentane mixture afforded pure Ru-diacetylide intermediate complexes.

Synthesis of 4b: General procedure was applied using **[3]**[**TfO**] (0.70 g, 0.52 mmol), 5'-ethynyl-[2,2']bithiophene-5-carbaldehyde (0.13 g, 0.57 mmol), NaPF₆ (0.18 g, 1.04 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (75 mL) and Et₃N (0.21 mL, 1.56 mmol). Pure **4b** was obtained as a red solid in 90 % yield (0.64 g, 0.46 mmol). ³¹P NMR (240 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 52.63 (s, P_(dppe)). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 9.82 (s, 1H), 8.17 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 7.7 Hz), 7.73–7.69 (m, 8H), 7.68 (d, 1H), ³J_{H-H}= 3.9 Hz, 7.49 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 7.8 Hz), 7.47–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.33 (m, 10H), 7.31–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.26 (t, 4H, ³J_{H-H}= 7.4 Hz), 7.20 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H}= 3.7 Hz), 7.18 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H}= 3.9 Hz), 7.08 (t, 8H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H}=7.7 \text{ Hz}$), 7.05–7.02 (m, 10H), 6.21 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H}=3.7 \text{ Hz}$), 2.73–2.64 (m, 8H). ${}^{13}C$ NMR (150 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 182.5$ (CH_(CHO)), 150.1 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 148.8 (C_q), 141.5 (C_q), 140.3 (C_q), 138.3 (CH), 137.3 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 137.0 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 134.8 (CH), 134.5 (C_q), 134.2 (CH), 133.0 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 132.9 (C_q), 131.4 (CH), 130.0 (C_q), 129.4 (CH), 129.3 (C_q), 129.2 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 126.6 (CH), 126.2 (CH), 123.6 (C_q), 122.8 (CH), 120.5 (CH), 120.0 (CH), 117.8 (C_q), 110.3 (CH), 110.0 (C_q), 31.80 (m, CH_{2(dppe)}). HR-MS ESI+ (m/z): 1404.3 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 1404.2 for [C₈₃H₆₅NOP₄RuS₂Na]⁺). FT-IR (KBr): $v_{C=C} = 2040 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $v_{C=O} = 1658 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $v_{C=C(Thiophene)} = 1433 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $v_{P-Ph} = 1096 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Synthesis of 4c: General procedure was applied using **[3]**[**TfO**] (0.50 g, 0.33 mmol), 7-ethynyl-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-4-carbaldehyde (**II**) (0.07 g, 0.36 mmol), NaPF₆ (0.11 g, 0.66 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (35 mL) and Et₃N (0.14 mL, 1 mmol). Pure **4c** was obtained as a dark blue solid in 78 % yield (0.35 g, 0.26 mmol). ³¹P NMR (160 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 52.81$ (s, P_(dppe)). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 10.57$ (s, 1H), 8.18 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 8 Hz), 7.94 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H}= 8 Hz), 7.80 (m, 8H), 7.48 (m, 6H), 7.38 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 8 Hz), 7.30–7.06 (m, 26H), 6.76 (m, 8H), 6.25 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H}= 8Hz), 3.05 (m, 4H), 2.77 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 188.2$ (CH_(CHO)), 171.2 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 156.9 (C_q), 154.1 (C_q), 141. 2 (CH), 137.1 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 136.5 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 134.6 (CH), 133.8 (CH), 133.6 (C_q), 132.9 (C_q), 131.8 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 131.1 (CH), 129.9 (C_q), 129.6 (C_q), 129.3 (CH), 128.7 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 127.2 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 126.0 (CH), 123.3 (C_q), 122.2 (C_q), 122.0 (C_q), 120.3 (CH), 119.8 (CH), 119.2 (C_q), 110.1 (CH), 31.66 (m, CH_{2(dppe)}). HR-MS FD+ (m/z): 1351.2719 [M]⁺ (calcd. 1351.2686 for [C₈₁H₆₃N₃OP₄RuS]). FT-IR (KBr): v_{C=C} = 2042 cm⁻¹, v_{C=O} = 1665 cm⁻¹, v_{P-Ph} = 1095 cm⁻¹.

Synthesis of **6**: General procedure was applied using **[3]**[**TfO**] (0.15 g, 0.11 mmol), **VI** (0.05 g, 0.12 mmol), NaPF₆ (0.04 g, 0.22 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) and Et₃N (0.05 mL, 0.33 mmol). The crude product was dissolved in a minimum amount of CH₂Cl₂ and pentane was added under stirring. Pure **6** was obtained as a purple solid in 80% yield (0.14 g, 0.09 mmol). ³¹P NMR (120 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 52.91$ (s, P_(dppe)). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 8.17$ (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 7.8 Hz), 7.76 (s, 1H), 7.66 (m, 8H), 7.51–7.18 (m, 26H), 7.12–6.91 (m, 18H), 6.75 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 8.3 Hz), 4.85 (s, 2H), 4.28 (m, 2H), 2.69 (m, 8H), 1.05 (m, 2H), 0.07 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 193.8$ (C_{q(C=S)}), 167.6 (C_{q(COOTMSE)}), 166.6 (C_{q(C=O)}), 150.0 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 141.5 (C_q), 137.4 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 137.1 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 135.0 (CH), 134.7 (CH), 134.4 (CH), 133.3 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 132.9 (C_q), 131.4 (CH), 131.2 (CH), 130.0 (C_q), 129.4 (CH), 129.3 (C_q), 129.3 (CH), 127.8 (C_q), 127.6 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 126.6 (CH_{2(TMSE)}), 45.4 (CH₂), 31.8 (m, CH_{2(dppe)}), 17.6 (CH_{2(TMSE)}), -1.5 (CH_{3(SiM3)}). HR-MS ESI+ (m/z): 1589.4 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 1589.3 for [C₉₁H₈₀N₂O₃P₄RuS₂SiNa]⁺). FT-IR (KBr): v_{C=C} = 2046 cm⁻¹, v_{C=O(ester)} = 1750 cm⁻¹, v_{C=O(ester)} = 1709 cm⁻¹, v_{C=C(Ph π-conj.)} = 1568 cm⁻¹, v_{C-O(ester)} = 1173 cm⁻¹, v_{P-Ph} = 1098 cm⁻¹, v_{Si-C} = 833 cm⁻¹.

General procedure for Knoevenagel condensation. To a solution of carbaldehyde-functionalized Ru-complex (1 equiv.) and 2-trimethylsilylethylcyano-ethanoate (2 equiv.), in dry $CHCl_3$ and under inert atmosphere, was added piperidine (4 equiv.). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 48 h. The organics were further washed with degassed water and evaporated to dryness. Precipitation from a CH_2Cl_2 /pentane mixture afforded pure complexes **5b-c**.

Synthesis of **5***b*: General procedure for the Knoevenagel condensation was applied using **4b** (0.25 g, 0.18 mmol), 2-trimethylsilylethylcyano-ethanoate (0.07 g, 0.36 mmol), piperidine (0.07 mL, 0.72 mmol) and CHCl₃ (30 mL). Pure **5b** was obtained as a dark blue solid in 82 % yield (0.23 g, 0.15 mmol). ³¹P NMR (160 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 52.52$ (s, P_(dppe)). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 8.24$ (s, 1H), 8.17 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 7.8 Hz), 7.73–7.66 (m, 8H), 7.50–7.43 (m, 5H), 7.36–7.21 (m, 21H), 7.18 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H}= 4.1 Hz), 7.10–6.99 (m, 18H), 6.20 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H}= 3.8 Hz), 4.43–4.36 (m, 2H), 2.75–2.62 (m, 8H), 1.18–1.12 (m, 2H), 0.10 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 163.8$ (C_{q(COOTMSE)}), 152.5 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 149.4 (C_q), 146.1 (CH), 141.5 (C_q), 140.2 (CH), 137.3 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 137.0 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 135.2 (C_q), 134.8 (CH), 134.2 (CH), 133.0 (C_q), 132.9 (C_q), 132.9 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 131.4 (CH), 130.0 (C_q), 129.5 (CH), 129.2 (CH), 129.2 (C_q), 127.7 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 127.1 (CH), 126.6 (CH), 126.2 (CH), 123.1 (CH), 120.6 (CH), 120.1 (CH), 117.9 (C_{q(Ru-E-C)}), 117.1 (C_{q(Ru-E-C)}), 110.8(C_q), 110.3 (CH), 96.3 (C_{q(C=N)}), 65.0 (CH₂), 31.8 (m, CH_{2(dppe)}), 17.8 (CH₂), -1.4 (CH_{3(SiMe3)}). HR-MS FD+ (m/z): 1542.32922 [M]⁺ (calcd. 1542.33004 for [C_{91H78}N₂O₂P₄RuS₂Si]). FT-IR (KBr): v_{C=N} = 2213 cm⁻¹, v_{C=C} = 2037 cm⁻¹, v_{C=O} = 1713 cm⁻¹, v_{C=C(Thiophene)} = 1420 cm⁻¹, v_{C-O} = 1195 cm⁻¹, v_{P-Ph} = 1095 cm⁻¹, v_{Si-C} = 836 cm⁻¹.

Synthesis of 5c: General procedure for the Knoevenagel condensation was applied using 4c (0.17 g, 0.12 mmol), 2-trimethylsilylethylcyano-ethanoate (0.044 g, 0.24 mmol), piperidine (0.05 mL, 0.48 mmol) and CHCl₃ (20 mL). Pure 5c was obtained as a dark green solid in 80 % yield (0.14 g, 0.09 mmol). ³¹P NMR (240 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 52.75$ (s, P_(dppe)). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 9.13$ (s, 1H), 8.62 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H} = 8 Hz), 8.17 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H} = 8 Hz), 7.77 (m, 8H), 7.47 (m, 6H), 7.39 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H} = 8 Hz), 7.38–7.07 (m, 26H), 6.77 (m, 8H), 6.30 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H} = 8 Hz), 7.89 (d) = 0.000 \text{ mmol}

= 8Hz), 4.46 (m, 2H), 3.03 (m, 4H), 2.77 (m, 4H), 1.20 (m, 2H), 0.13 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 176.9 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 163.8 (C_{q(COOTMSE)}), 156.0 (C_q), 155.7 (C_q), 147.6 (CH), 141.2 (C_q), 137.0 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 136.3 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 134.6 (CH), 133.8 (CH), 132.9 (C_q), 131.2 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 131.1 (CH), 129.3 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 127.2 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 126.0 (CH), 123.3 (C_q), 120.3 (CH), 119.8 (CH), 117.9 (C_q), 117.4 (C_q), 110.1 (CH), 98.5 (C_{q(C=N)}), 64.8 (CH₂), 31.6 (m, CH_{2(dppe)}), 17.6 (CH₂), -1.5 (CH_{3(SiMe3)}). HR-MS FD+ (m/z): 1518.3451 [M]⁺ (calcd. 1518.3452 for [C₈₉H₇₆N₄O₂P₄RuSSi]). FT-IR (KBr): v_{C=N} = 2215 cm⁻¹, v_{C=C} = 2040 cm⁻¹, v_{C=O} = 1718 cm⁻¹, v_{C=O} = 1180 cm⁻¹, v_{P-Ph} = 1096 cm⁻¹, v_{Si-C} = 836 cm⁻¹.

General procedure for silyl-ester deprotection. To a solution of TMSE-protected complex (1 equiv.) in dry THF and under inert atmosphere was added TBAF (1M sol. in THF, 2 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After solvent removal the resulting solid was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and thoroughly washed with degassed citric acid aqueous solution (10 % m) and pure water. The organics were evaporated to dryness and the solid was further washed with pentane. Slow crystallization from a CH_2Cl_2 /pentane mixture afforded pure complexes [**Ru**]2-4.

Synthesis of [*Ru*]*2*: General procedure for silyl-ester deprotection was applied using **6** (0.1 g, 0.065 mmol), TBAF (1M sol. in THF, 0.13 mL, 0.13 mmol) and THF (10 mL). Pure [**Ru**]*2* was obtained as a purple powder (0.07 g, 0.05 mmol) in 83 % yield. ³¹P NMR (240 MHz, THF-d8): $\delta = 53.36$ (s, P_(dppe)). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, THF-d8): $\delta = 8.14$ (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 7.8 Hz), 7.75–7.67 (m, 9H), 7.48–7.43 (m, 10H), 7.40–7.34 (m, 6H), 7.25–7.16 (m, 10H), 7.09 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 8.1 Hz), 7.04 (t, 8H, ³J_{H-H}= 7.5 Hz), 6.97 (t, 8H, ³J_{H-H}= 7.5 Hz), 6.80 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 8.1 Hz), 4.82 (s, 2H), 2.78–2.67 (m, 8H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, THF-d8): $\delta = 193.8$ (C_{q(C=5)}), 167.5 (C_{q(COOH)}), 167.3 (C_{q(C=0)}), 148.9 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 141.8 (C_q), (C_q), 131.8 (CH), 131.6 (CH), 131.3 (CH), 130.5 (C_q), 129.5 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 128.5 (C_q), 127.8 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 124.1 (C_q), 123.1 (C_q), 120.7 (CH), 120.2 (CH), 119.4 (C_q), 118.1 (C_q), 110.5 (CH), 45.1 (CH₂), 32.1 (m, CH_{2(dppe)}). MS MALDI-TOF (m/z): 1431.1 [M-C₂H₂O₂+Na]⁺ (calcd. 1431.3 for [C₈₄H₆₆N₂NaOP₄RuS₂]⁺) (Perfect matching between experimental and theoretical isotopic patterns). FT-IR (KBr): v_{C=C} = 2044 cm⁻¹, v_{C=O(acid) & C=O(amide)} = 1710 cm⁻¹, v_{C=C(Ph π-conj.)} = 1567 cm⁻¹, v_{C-O(acid)} = 1173 cm⁻¹, v_{P-Ph} = 1097 cm⁻¹.

Synthesis of [*Ru*]*3*: General procedure for silyl-ester deprotection was applied using **5b** (0.20 g, 0.13 mmol), TBAF (1M in THF, 0.26 mL, 0.26 mmol) and THF (20 mL). Pure [**Ru**]*3* was obtained as a dark blue powder in 80 % yield (0.15 g, 0.10 mmol). ³¹P NMR (240 MHz, THF-d8): $\delta = 55.01$. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, THF-d8): $\delta = 8.30$ (s, 1H), 8.14 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 7.8 Hz), 7.80–7.73 (m, 9H), 7.45 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 8.2 Hz), 7.41–7.34 (m, 12H), 7.25 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H}= 3.8 Hz), 7.25–7.21 (m, 7H), 7.19 (t, 4H, ³J_{H-H}= 7.6 Hz), 7.09 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H}= 8.3 Hz), 7.06 (t, 8H, ³J_{H-H}= 7.6 Hz), 7.00 (t, 8H, ³J_{H-H}= 7.6 Hz), 6.21 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H}= 3.8 Hz), 2.71 (m, 8H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, THF-d8): $\delta = 164.2$ (C_{q(COOH)}), 151.7 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}),145.9 (CH), 148.9 (C_q), 141.8 (C_q), 140.1 (CH), 137.8 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 137.4 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 135.2 (CH), 135.1 (C_q), 134.6 (CH), 133.8 (C_q), 133.3 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 131.8 (CH), 130.5 (C_q), 129.8 (C_q), 129.6 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 124.1 (C_q), 123.1 (CH), 120.7 (CH), 120.2 (CH), 118.3 (C_q), 111.0 (C_q), 110.5 (CH), 97.9 (C_q), 32.1 (m, CH_{2(dppe)}). MS MALDI-TOF (m/z): 1447.9 [M]⁺ (calcd. 1448.3 for [C₈₆H₆₆N₂O₂P₄RuS₂]⁺) (Perfect matching between experimental and theoretical isotopic patterns). FT-IR (KBr): $v_{C=N} = 2215$ cm⁻¹, $v_{C=C} = 2035$ cm⁻¹, $v_{C=O} = 1714$ cm⁻¹, $v_{C=C(Thiophene)} = 1420$ cm⁻¹, $v_{C-O} = 1217$ cm⁻¹, $v_{P-Ph} = 1095$ cm⁻¹. Elem. Anal. Calcd for C₈₆H₆₆N₂O₂N₂P₄RuS₂: C, 71.31; H, 4.59; N, 1.93; S, 4.43; Found: C, 70.83; H, 4.59; N, 1.91; S, 4.29.

Synthesis of [**Ru**]4: General procedure for silyl-ester deprotection was applied using **5c** (0.052 g, 0.03 mmol), TBAF (1M in THF, 0.06 mL, 0.06 mmol) and THF (5 mL). Pure [**Ru**]4 was obtained as a dark blue powder in 75 % yield (0.036 g, 0.022 mmol). ³¹P NMR (240 MHz, THF-d8): $\delta = 52.68$ (s, P_(dppe)). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, THF-d8):): $\delta = 9.20$ (s, 1H), 8.67 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H} = 8 Hz), 8.17 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H} = 8 Hz), 7.79 (m, 8H), 7.48 (m, 6H), 7.31 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H} = 8 Hz), 7.28–7.06 (m, 26H), 6.78 (m, 8H), 6.30 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H} = 8 Hz), 4.46 (m, 2H), 3.09 (m, 4H), 2.78 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, THF-d8): $\delta = 173.3$ (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 163.6 (C_{q(COOH)}), 155.8 (C_q), 155.4 (C_q), 146.1 (C_q), 140.9 (CH), 137.1 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 136.2 (m, C_{q(dppe)}), 134.5 (CH), 133.6 (CH), 132.8 (C_q), 131.3 (C_q), 130.8 (CH), 130.6 (quint., C_{q(Ru-C=)}), 130.2 (C_q), 129.5 (C_q), 128.9 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 127.1 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 126.2 (C_q), 126.0 (CH), 125.7 (C_q), 125.5 (CH), 123.7 (C_q), 123.3 (CH), 120.2 (C_q), 120.0 (C_q), 119.8 (CH), 119.5 (CH), 118.1 (C_q), 116.7 (C_q), 109.6 (CH), 100.2 (C_q), 31.3 (m, CH_{2(dppe)}). HR-MS FD+: 1418.2883 [M]⁺ (calcd. 1418.2744 for [C₈₄H₆₄N₄O₂P₄RuS]). FT-IR (KBr): v_{C=N} = 2217 cm⁻¹, v_{C=C} = 2043 cm⁻¹, v_{C=O} = 1715 cm⁻¹, v_{C=O} = 1185 cm⁻¹, v_{P-Ph} = 1096 cm⁻¹.



Figure S1. Normalized electronic absorption spectra of **[Ru]1-[Ru]4** adsorbed on $3-\mu m \operatorname{TiO}_2$ transparent film (plain) and in $\operatorname{CH}_2\operatorname{Cl}_2$ solutions (dashed).

[Ru]1

[Ru]2

[Ru]3

[Ru]4

Figure S2. TD-DFT simulated absorption spectra of **[Ru]1-[Ru]4**. Absorption bands enlarged using Gaussian functions with full-width at half-height (FWHH) of 5 nm to reproduce the experimental spectra.

NB : numbers in brackets represent the calculated coefficient corresponding to each transitions.

<u>Transition #1:</u> ΔE_{ge} = 2.63 eV / λ_{ge} = 470 nm / f_{ge} = 1.044

H≫L (0.64); H-2≫L (-0.21)



Figure S3a.

<u>Transition #2:</u> $\Delta E_{ge} = 4.13 \text{ eV} / \lambda_{ge} = 300 \text{ nm} / f_{ge} = 0.911$ H%L+1 (0.15); **H%L+3 (0.38)**; **H%L+4 (0.43);** H-2%L (0.11)



Figure S3b

<u>Transition #1:</u> ΔE_{ge} = 2.50 eV / λ_{ge} = 496 nm / f_{ge} = 1.339 **H≫L (0.63)**; H-1≫L (-0.18) ; H-2≫L (-0.18)



HOMO-2

→

(0.18)

Figure S3c









Figure S3d

<u>Transition #1:</u> ΔE_{ge} = 2.13 eV / λ_{ge} = 580 nm / f_{ge} = 1.733

H≫L (0.64); H-1≫L (0.23)



Figure S3e

H-1%L+1 (-0.21); H-1%L+4 (-0.21); H-1%L+5 (-0.20); **H%L+4 (0.37)**; H%L+5 (0.30)



Figure S3f

<u>Transition #1:</u> ΔE_{ge} = 1.93 eV / λ_{ge} = 643 nm / f_{ge} = 0.861

H≫L (0.64); H-2≫L (-0.27)



HOMO-2

 \rightarrow

LUMO

(0.27)

Figure S3g

<u>Transition #2:</u> $\Delta E_{ge} = 3.16 \text{ eV} / \lambda_{ge} = 391 \text{ nm} / f_{ge} = 0.406$ **H%L+1 (0.51)**; H-1%L+1 (0.21); H-2%L+1 (-0.20)







Figure S3h

<u>Transition #3:</u> ΔE_{ge} = 4.18 eV / λ_{ge} = 296 nm / f_{ge} = 0.945

H≫L+3 (-0.17); **H≫L+4** (0.54)



Figure S3i



Figure S4. Calculated energy diagram of the main transition-involved molecular orbitals of [Ru]1-[Ru]4 (B3LYP).

	[Ru]1	[Ru]2	[Ru]3	[Ru]4
LUMO+5	-1.278	-1.456	-1.489	-1.511
LUMO+4	-1.452	-1.503	-1.542	-1.553
LUMO+3	-1.485	-1.540	-1.559	-1.575
LUMO+1	-1.858	-1.861	-1.920	-2.647
LUMO	-3.032	-3.185	-3.322	-3.672
НОМО	-5.265	-5.248	-5.246	-5.407
HOMO-1	-5.541	-5.530	-5.567	-5.746
HOMO-2	-5.855	-5.840	-5.861	-5.908

Table S1. Calculated energies of the main transition-involved molecular orbitals of [Ru]1-[Ru]4.

Note : the LUMO+1 of [Ru]4 presents a much lower energy than in the three other dyes because the orbital is located on the benzothiadiazole acceptor group, which is low in energy, while in [Ru]1-[Ru]3 this MO is located on the [Ru(dppe)₂] central motif.



Figure S5. Electronic absorption spectra of **[Ru]1** (C = $1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}^{-1}$), **[Ru]3** (C = $1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}^{-1}$) and the mixture **[Ru]1&[Ru]3** in a 1:1 molar ratio (C_{dye} = $1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}^{-1}$) in CH₂Cl₂.



Figure S6. IPCE action spectra of a co-sensitized DSSC device including **[Ru]1&[Ru]3** in a [4:1] molar ratio (black plain line), and single-dye devices including **[Ru]1** (red dotted line) or **[Ru]3** (blue dotted line).



Figure S7. Molecular structure of the commercial dye N3 and J(V) curves (plain: light; dashed: dark) of DSSC based on this benchmark-dye. The corresponding data are as follows: $J_{SC} = 16.71$ mA cm⁻², $V_{OC} = 755$ mV, ff = 71.9 % and $\eta = 9.07$ %.