## Supporting information

A Solid-State Valence Tautomeric Octahedral { $Co^{II}[(BQ-N-Cat)]_2$ }<sup>0</sup> Complex Formation *via* Ligand-Centered Phenolic C-O Bond breaking and Co-O Bond making

Manas Kumar Mondal,<sup>a</sup> Archana Tiwari<sup>b</sup> and Chandan Mukherjee<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>*a*</sup> Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati, 781039, Assam, India. Fax: (+) 91-361-258-2349; Tel: (+) 91-361-258-2327; E-mail: cmukherjee@iitg.ernet.in

<sup>b</sup> Department of Physics, School of Physical Sciences, Sikkim University, Gangtok-737102, Sikkim, India.

Contents	Page		
Materials and Physical Methods	<b>S</b> 3		
Synthesis of ligand $H_4L^{S(AP/AP)}$	S4-S5		
Synthesis of complex 1 from ligand $H_4L^{S(AP/AP)}$	S6		
Figure S1: IR spectrum of ligand $H_4L^{O(AP/AP)}$	S7		
Figure S2: ESI–mass spectrum of ligand $H_4L^{O(AP/AP)}$	S7		
Figure S3: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of ligand $H_4L^{O(AP/AP)}$	<b>S</b> 8		
Figure S4: <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of ligand $H_4L^{O(AP/AP)}$	S8		
Figure S5: IR Spectrum of complex 1.0.3CHCl <sub>3</sub>	S9		
Figure S6: ESI–mass spectrum of complex 1•0.3CHCl <sub>3</sub>	S9		
Proposed mechanism of the formation of complex 1 from $H_4L^{O(AP/AP)}$	S10		
Figure S7: Characterization data for intermediate A	S11		
Figure S8: Molecular structure of ligand $H_4L^{O(AP/AP)}$ and the Co complexes	S12		
Figure S9: Crystal packing diagram of complex 1•1CHCl <sub>3</sub>	S13		
Figure S10: Crystal packing diagram of complex 1•1CH <sub>3</sub> CN	S14		
Figure S11: Temperature dependent UV-Vis spectra of complex 1 in toluene	S15		
Table S1: Selected bond distances (Å) for the Co complexes	S15		
Table S2: Crystallographic data and its quality-limitations (in red and yellow-			
highlights) for the complexes.			
Reference	S16		

**Materials:** All the chemicals and solvents were obtained from commercial sources and were used as supplied, unless noted otherwise. 3,5-di-*tert*-butylcatechol, 2-fluoronitrobenzene, 10% Pd/C, Co(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O, and NaH were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Solvents were obtained from Merck (India).

**Physical Methods:** X–ray crystallographic data were collected using a SuperNova, (Single source at offset, Eos) diffractometer, equipped with a sealed tube Mo–K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) at 293(2) K. Structure was solved with the Superflip, structure solution program using Charge Flipping and refined by direct methods using SHELXS–97 and with full–matrix least squares on  $F^2$  using SHELXL–97.<sup>1</sup> All the non–hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. IR spectra were recorded on Perkin Elmer Instrument at normal temperature making KBr pellet grinding the sample with KBr (IR Grade). UV-Vis spectra were recorded on Perkin Elmer, Lamda 750, UV/VIS/NIR spectrometer preparing a known concentration of the samples in HPLC Grade CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature using cuvette of 1 cm width. EPR spectra were measurement was performed using SQUID magnetometer at 1T external magnetic field. ESI-MS measurement were performed using either Q-TOF LC/MS mass spectrometer ('Waters, Model: Q–Tof Premier') and peaks are given in m/z (% of basis peak). NMR measurements were done using BRUKER (400 or 600 MHz) NMR machine.



Scheme S1. Synthetic route of  $H_4 L^{O(AP/AP)}$ 

Step1: Synthesis of 2–(2–nitrophenoxy)aniline; (1). To a solution of 2–fluoronitrobenzene (0.987 g, 7 mmol) in dry DMF (4 mL), *o*–aminophenol (0.763 g, 7 mmol) and sodium hydride (0.336 g, 14 mmol) were added sequentially under Ar–atmosphere at ice cold condition. The reaction mixture was turned to reddish in due course. After 15 min, the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 18 h. Then it was quenched with water under ice cold condition and the product was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3×40 mL). The combined organic portion was then washed with water and brine solution and dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Solvent was removed and the residue was dried under high vacuum. Thus obtained oily residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with an eluent consisting of hexane/ethyl acetate (9:1). 2–(2–nitrophenoxy)aniline was appeared as orange liquid. Yield: 1.432 g, 89%. FTIR (KBr pellet, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3462, 3384, 1624, 1605, 1586, 1525, 1500, 1352, 1307, 1270, 1238, 1186, 889, 777, 742, 665. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 399.853 MHz):  $\delta$  7.91 (dd, *J* = 8.0 Hz, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.15–7.11 (m, 1H), 7.08–7.04 (m, 1H), 6.96–6.93 (m, 2H), 6.86–6.84 (m, 1H), 6.77–6.73 (m, 1H), 3.95 (s, 2H) ppm. ESI–MS (+) *m/z* for [C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + H]<sup>+</sup>: Calcd, 231.08; found, 231.08.

Step2: Synthesis of bis(o-aminophenyl) ether; (II). To a methanolic solution (15 mL) of 2–(2– nitrophenoxy)aniline (1.217 g, 5.29 mmol), 10% Pd in charcoal (35 mg) was added under argon atmosphere at ice cold condition. After a while (5 min), ammoniumformate (3.066 g, 48.6 mmol) was added to the reaction solution. The reaction mixture was then allowed to stir at room temperature for 4 h under argon atmosphere. It was then filtered through a pad of celite. The pad was washed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL). The filtrate was then extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3×40 mL). The combined organic portion was washed with water and brine solution and dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After evaporating the solvent, an off–white solid was obtained as the product. Yield: 0.941 g, 89%. FTIR (KBr pellet, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3469, 3426, 3379, 3348, 3200, 3061, 3034, 1615, 1580, 1499, 1454, 1303, 1263, 1199, 1185, 1156, 1137, 1033, 886, 794, 754, 678. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (399.850 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.98–6.94 (m, 2H), 6.83–6.80 (m, 2H), 6.72–6.69 (m, 2H), 3.89 (s, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.55 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  143.9, 138.0, 124.3, 118.8, 118.3, 116.4 ppm. ESI–MS (+) for [C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O + H]<sup>+</sup>: Calcd, 201.10; found, 201.10.

*Step3: Synthesis of*  $H_4L^{O(AP/AP)}$ . To a suspension of bis(*o*-aminophenyl) ether (0.891 g, 4.45 mmol) in hexane (15 mL), 3,5-di-*tert*-butylcatechol (1.979 g, 8.90 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.05 mL) were added sequentially and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 30 min. After that the solution was stirred at room temperature (30 °C) for another 24 h. The solvent was evaporated and dried. The residue was the dissolved in hot methanol. A white precipitate was separated out from the methanolic solution. The solid was filtered and washed with methanol (10 mL) and dried. Yield: 1.731 g, 64 %. FTIR (KBr pellet, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3437, 3377, 3056, 2958, 2906, 2868, 1609, 1599, 1587, 1504, 1483, 1460, 1448, 1425, 1391, 1363, 1316, 1241, 1224, 1195, 1156, 1117, 1106, 1040, 975, 879, 824, 767, 742, 579. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (399.893 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.23 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.00–6.94 (m, 4H), 6.84–6.80 (m, 2H), 6.63–6.60 (m, 2H), 6.34 (s, 2H), 5.58 (s, 2H), 1.44 (s, 18H), 1.26 (s, 18H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150.93 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  149.7, 144.5, 142.5, 138.4, 135.7, 127.4, 124.8, 122.3, 121.7, 120.0, 117.9, 115.5, 35.2, 34.6, 31.8, 29.8 ppm. ESI–MS (+) *m*/z for [C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>52</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + H]<sup>+</sup>: Calcd, 609.40; found, 609.41.



Scheme S2. Synthetic route of complex 1; {Co<sup>II</sup>[(Cat–N–BQ)]<sub>2</sub>}.

Synthesis of complex  $C_{40}H_{48}CoN_2O_4$ ; 1. Co(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.366 g, 1.0 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.4 mL) were added sequentially to a suspension of  $H_4L^{O(AP/AP)}$  (0.608 g, 1.0 mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (20 mL). A brown precipitate was observed initially within 10 min which turned black with time. After stirring for 7 h at room temperature, the resulting precipitate was filtered and washed with acetonitrile. The solid was crystalized from a mixture of chloroform/acetonitrile (3:1) solution by slow evaporation process. Yield: 0.204 g, 57 %; 0.161 g, 45 % (when CoCl<sub>2</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O was used as the metal ion source). FTIR (KBr pellet, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3060, 2951, 2906, 2867, 1592, 1523, 1479, 1451, 1400, 1384, 1367, 1322, 1310, 1289, 1261, 1202, 1173, 1145, 1130, 1099, 1022, 978, 903, 796, 741, 585, 532, 497. ESI-MS (+) m/z for  $[C_{40}H_{48}CoN_2O_4]^+$ : Calcd, 679.29; found, 679.30. UV-Vis/NIR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 300 K)  $\lambda_{max}$ , nm ( $\varepsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1200 (1200), 1001 (6650), 762 (14400), 688<sup>sh</sup> (13700), 511 (11300), 428 (14750), 387 (25700). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>48</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Co•0.3CHCl<sub>3</sub> [crystallized from chloroform/acetonitrile (3:1) solvent mixture]: C, 67.64; H, 6.80; N, 3.91. Found: C, 67.68; H, 7.00; N, 4.01. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{40}H_{48}N_2O_4Co \cdot 0.8CH_3CN$  [crystallized from a diethyl ether/acetonitrile (2:1) solvent mixture]: C, 70.12; H, 7.13; N, 5.50. Found: C, 71.71; H, 7.00; N, 5.52. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>48</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Co (crystalized from toluene): C, 70.68; H, 7.12; N, 4.12. Found: C, 70.03; H, 6.99; N, 4.23.



Figure S1: IR spectrum of ligand  $H_4 L^{O(AP/AP)}$ .



**Figure S2:** *ESI–mass spectrum of ligand*  $H_4L^{O(AP/AP)}$  *in +ve mode corresponded to*  $[L+H]^+$  *molecular ion peak having composition*  $[C_{40}H_{52}N_2O_3+H]^+$ ; *experimental and calculated isotope distribution pattern (inset). The measurement was done in*  $CH_3CN$  *solution.* 



Figure S3: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of ligand  $H_4L^{O(AP/AP)}$  in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 399.893 MHz.



Figure S4: <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of ligand  $H_4L^{O(AP/AP)}$  in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 150.93 MHz.



Figure S5: IR Spectrum of complex 1.0.3CHCl<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S6:** *ESI–mass spectrum of complex*  $1 \cdot 0.3 CHCl_3$  *in +ve mode corresponded to*  $[M]^+$  *molecular ion peak having composition*  $[C_{40}H_{48}CoN_2O_4]^+$ ; *experimental and calculated isotope distribution pattern (inset).The measurement was done in CH*<sub>3</sub>CN solution.



Scheme S3: Proposed mechanism of the formation of complex 1 from  $H_4L^{O(AP/AP)}$ .



**Figure S7:** (A) Intermediate A; (B) FTIR (KBr pallet) spectrum of the intermediate and (C) ESI–MS (+) spectrum of the intermediate in  $CH_3CN$ .



**Figure S8:** Molecular structure of (A) ligand  $H_4L^{O(AP/AP)}$ ; (B) complex  $1 \cdot 1CHCl_3$ ;  $C_{40}H_{48}CoN_2O_4 \cdot CHCl_3$ (crystalized from a 3:1 chloroform and acetonitrile solvent mixture); (C) complex 1;  $C_{40}H_{48}CoN_2O_4$  (crystalized from toluene) and (D) complex  $1 \cdot 1CH_3CN$ ;  $C_{40}H_{48}CoN_2O_4 \cdot CH_3CN$  (crystalized from a 2:1 diethyl ether and acetonitrile solvent mixture).



**Figure S9:** Crystal packing diagram of complex **1**•1CHCl<sub>3</sub> along c-axis. The elements C, Co, Cl, H, O and N are represented as gray, blue, green, white, red and violet color, respectively.



**Figure S10:** Crystal packing diagram of complex  $1 \cdot 1CH_3CN$  along c-axis. The elements C, Co, H, O and N are represented as gray, blue, white, red and violet color, respectively.



**Figure S11:** Temperature dependent electronic absorption spectra of complex 1 in toluene over a temperature range 303–343 K.

Bond	1•1CHCl <sub>3</sub> (293 K)	<b>1</b> (100 K)	1•1CH <sub>3</sub> CN (293 K)
Co1–O1	2.113(10)	2.103(5)	2.113(6)
Co1–O2	2.027(12)	2.049(5)	2.049(7)
Co1–O3	2.089(9)	2.107(5)	2.056(9)
Co1–O4	2.017(10)	2.032(6)	2.063(9)
Co1–N1	2.082(11)	2.062(6)	2.082(6)
Co1–N2	2.083(10)	2.064(6)	2.085(7)
O1–C2	1.266(16)	1.267(8)	1.255(10)
O2C12	1.292(16)	1.299(8)	1.301(10)
O3–C14	1.271(15)	1.257(8)	1.270(10)
O4–C24	1.234(16)	1.295(9)	1.293(11)
N1-C1	1.287(16)	1.348(9)	1.314(10)
N1-C7	1.391(17)	1.345(9)	1.362(11)
N2-C13	1.355(15)	1.317(9)	1.335(12)
N2-C19	1.393(16)	1.377(9)	1.324(13)

Table S1. Selected bond distances (Å) for the Co complexes.

	1•1CHCl <sub>3</sub>	1	1•1CH <sub>3</sub> CN
Empirical formula	$C_{41}H_{49}CoN_2O_4Cl_3$	$C_{40}H_{48}CoN_2O_4$	$C_{42}H_{51}CoN_3O_4$
Formula weight	799.10	679.73	720.79
Unit cell dimensions	a = 11.6599(17) Å	a = 10.741(2)  Å	a = 11.9760(11) Å
	b = 13.780(3)  Å	b = 13.763(3) Å	b = 13.4487(11) Å
	c = 14.5966(9) Å	c = 14.309(3)  Å	c = 14.6400(7)  Å
	$\alpha = 78.503(10)^{\circ}$	$\alpha = 64.820(5)^{\circ}$	$\alpha = 67.872(6)^{\circ}$
	$\beta = 88.721(8)^{\circ}$	$\beta = 86.451(5)^{\circ}$	$\beta = 88.335(6)^{\circ}$
	$\gamma = 81.580(14)^{\circ}$	$\gamma = 72.227(6)^{\circ}$	$\gamma = 65.421(9)^{\circ}$
Volume, V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	2273.4(5)	1817.2(6)	1963.4(3)
Ζ	2	2	2
Temperature, $T(K)$	293(2)	100(2)	293(2)
$\theta$ range for data collection	2.95° to 25.00°	1.58° to 18.81°	3.02° to 25.00°
Completeness to $\theta$	99.4% ( $\theta$ = 25.00°)	97.6% (θ=18.81°)	95.6% ( <i>θ</i> =25.00°)
Goodness–of–fit on $F^2$	0.929	1.036	1.172
<i>R</i> (int)	0.1846	0.0689	0.1275
R(sigma)	0.2595	0.0950	0.1469
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.1794, wR2 =	R1 = 0.0566, wR2 =	R1 = 0.1193, wR2 =
	<mark>0.3740</mark>	0.1267	<mark>0.2998</mark>
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.2901, wR2 =	R1 = 0.0986, wR2 =	R1 = 0.2027, wR2 =
	0.4418	0.1506	<mark>0.4067</mark>

**Table S2**: Crystallographic data and its quality-limitations (in red and yellow-highlights) for the complexes.

## **Reference:**

## 1. G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., 2008, A64, 112.