Electronic Supplementary Information

CeO₂-Modified α-MoO₃ Nanorods as a Synergistic Support for Pt Nanoparticles with Enhanced CO_{ads} Tolerance during Methanol Oxidation

Yanying Liu^a, Chuntao Liu^{a,*}, Xuefeng Yu^a, Hannah Osgood, ^b and Gang Wu^{b,*}

^aSchool of Chemistry and Materials Science, Heilongjiang University, Harbin

150080, China

^b Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University at Buffalo, the State University of New York, Buffalo, NY 14260, United States

Corresponding Authors:

*E-mail: <u>liu_chuntao@163.com</u> (C. Liu) and <u>gangwu@buffalo.edu</u> (G. Wu)

Table S1. Binding energies and surface compositions from deconvolution of XPS spectra for Pt 4f in the core level region of $Pt/Ce_{0.2}Mo_{0.8}O_{3-\delta}$, Pt/MoO_3 and Pt/C catalysts.

	Pt (0)		Pt (II)		Pt (IV)	
	Binding	Relativ	Binding	Relativ	Binding	Relative
	energy	e ratio	energy	e ratio	energy	ratio (%)
	(eV)	(%)	(eV)	(%)	(eV)	1410 (70)
Pt/Ce _{0.2} Mo _{0.8}	71.9	62.6	73.3	157	75.1	20.7
$O_{3-\delta}$	75.3	05.0	76.7	13.7	78.5	20.7
Pt/MoO ₃	71.9	59.6	73.1	20.7	74.9	19.7
	75.2		76.4		78.3	
Pt/C	71.5	527	72.4	10 2	74.6	20.1
	74.9	32.7	75.7	10.2	77.9	29.1

Table S2. Binding energies and surface compositions from deconvolution of XPS

 spectra for Ce 3d and Mo 3d at the core level region of catalysts.

	Ce 3d			Mo 3d			
Sample	Specie s	Binding energy	Relative ratio	Species	Binding energy	Relative	
		(eV)	(%)	1	(eV)	ratio (%)	
Pt/Ce _{0.2} Mo _{0.8} O _{3-δ}	Ce (IV)	882.4	57.7	Mo (VI)	233.0	81.7	
	Ce (IV)	898.7		Mo (VI)	236.1		
	Ce (IV)	900.7		Mo (V)	231.5	18.3	
	Ce (IV)	917.0		Mo (V)	234.6		
	Ce (III)	886.1	42.3				
Pt/MoO ₃				Мо			
	Ce (III)	904.4		(VI)	232.8	85.7	
				Мо	235.9		
				(VI)	231.4	14.3	
				Mo (V)	234.6		
				Mo (V)			

 Table S3. Electrochemical characterizations for $Pt/Ce_{0.2}Mo_{0.8}O_{3-\delta}$, Pt/MoO_3 , and commercial Pt/C catalysts.

Sample	Onset potentials ^a (mV)	Peak potentials ^a (mV)	Onset potentials ^b (mV)	Mass activity(mA mg _{Pt} ⁻¹) ^b (mV)
$Pt/Ce_{0.2}Mo_{0.8}O_{3-\delta}$	520	817	403	585
Pt/MoO ₃	580	824	478	442
Pt/C	735	869	510	353

^a Obtained from Fig. 8; ^b Obtained from Fig. 9.



Fig. S1 XRD patterns of $Pt/Ce_{0.2}Mo_{0.8}O_{3-\delta}$ and Pt/MoO_3 catalysts.



Fig. S2 CV curves of Pt supported on Ce-doped MoO_3 with different ratios of Ce:Mo

in 0.5 mol $L^{-1}\,H_2SO_4+0.5$ mol $L^{-1}\,CH_3OH.$



Fig. S3 CA curves of Pt/Ce_{0.2}Mo_{0.8}O_{3- δ}, Pt/MoO₃ and commercial Pt/C catalysts at 0.6 V for 1000 s in 0.5 mol L⁻¹ H₂SO₄ + 0.5 mol L⁻¹ CH₃OH.



Fig. S4 Continued voltammetry cycles of (a) $Pt/Ce_{0.2}Mo_{0.8}O_{3-\delta}$, (b) Pt/MoO_3 and (c) commercial Pt/C catalysts in 0.5 mol L^{-1} H₂SO₄ at 25 °C. (d) Relative activity of the three catalysts based on cycle number during stability testing.



Fig. S5 CO stripping voltammogram for (a) commercial Pt/C, (b) Pt/MoO₃ and (c)

 $Pt/Ce_{0.2}Mo_{0.8}O_{3-\delta}$ catalysts after accelerated aging tests.



Fig. S6 CA curves of Pt/Ce_{0.2}Mo_{0.8}O_{3- δ}, Pt/MoO₃ and commercial Pt/C catalysts at 0.6 V for 1000 s after accelerated aging tests in 0.5 mol L⁻¹ H₂SO₄ + 0.5 mol L⁻¹ CH₃OH.



Fig. S7. CV comparisons of $Pt/Ce_{0.2}Mo_{0.8}O_{3-\delta}$ and Pt/MoO_3 catalysts in 0.5 mol L^{-1} H₂SO₄ solution before (a) and after ASTs (b).