Supporting Information

Non-scaling behavior for ionic transport in voltage-gated nanopores

Cheng Lian^{1,2§}, Alejandro Gallegos^{2§}, Honglai Liu^{1*}, and Jianzhong Wu^{2*}

¹ State Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering, East China University of Science and

Technology, Shanghai, 200237, P. R. China

² Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Riverside,

CA 92521, USA

^{*}Email: jwu@engr.ucr.edu or hlliu@ecust.edu.cn

[§] These authors made equal contribution to this work

Solvent velocity profiles for different pore sizes



Figure S1. The solvent velocity distribution in the nanochannels with width varying from H=2.5 to 0.6 nm. Here the gating voltage is fixed at 0.1 V.



Figure S2. The solvent velocity distribution in the nanochannels with width varying from H=2.5 to 0.6 nm. Here the gating voltage is fixed at 0.5 V.

Excess chemical potential in DFT

The excess chemical potential for *i*th ion μ_i^{ex} in Eq. (4) consists of three parts that result from the external potential, hard-sphere repulsions and electrostatic correlations. The external potential accounts for the hard wall effect of the electrode model and is given by

$$V_i(z) = \begin{cases} \infty, & z \ge 2R \text{ or } z \le 0\\ 0, & 0 < z < 2R \end{cases}$$
 * MERGEFORMAT (S1)

The local excess chemical potential due to hard-sphere repulsions is calculated from the modified fundamental measure theory (MFMT)^{1, 2}

$$\mu_i^{HS}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha,i} = \sum_{\alpha} \int d\mathbf{q}' \phi_{\alpha} \omega_i^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') \quad \forall \text{MERGEFORMAT (S2)}$$

where **q** is the coordinate vector. The summation applies to the six indices for the weighted functions $\omega_i^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{q})$. Two scalar weight functions are related to the volume and the surface area of a spherical particle of diameter σ_i :

$$\omega_i^{(3)}(\mathbf{q}) = \theta(q - \sigma_i / 2) \qquad \land * \text{ MERGEFORMAT (S3)}$$
$$\omega_i^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}) = \delta(q - \sigma_i / 2) \qquad \land * \text{ MERGEFORMAT (S4)}$$

and a surface vector weight function is related to the variance across the particle surface

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i}^{(V2)}(\mathbf{q}) = (\mathbf{q}/q)\delta(q - \sigma_{i}/2) \qquad \land * \text{MERGEFORMAT (S5)}$$

where $q = |\mathbf{q}|, \delta(q)$ is the Dirac delta function, and $\Theta(q)$ is the Heaviside step function. Other weight functions in FMT are given by

$$\omega_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{q}) = \omega_i^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}) / (\pi \sigma_i^2), \ \omega_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{q}) = \omega_i^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}) / (2\pi \sigma_i), \ \omega_i^{(V1)}(\mathbf{q}) = \omega_i^{(V2)}(\mathbf{q}) / (2\pi \sigma_i) \ \text{MERGEFORMAT (S6)}$$

In Eq.* MERGEFORMAT (S2), the coefficients are defined by the functional derivatives

of the local excess Helmholtz energy density with respect to the six weighted densities:

$$\phi_0 = -\ln(1-n_3)$$
 * MERGEFORMAT (S7)

$$\phi_1 = \frac{n_2}{1 - n_3}$$
 * MERGEFORMAT (S8)

$$\phi_2 = \frac{n_1}{1 - n_3} + \frac{n_3 + (1 - n_3)^2 \ln(1 - n_3)}{12\pi n_3^2 (1 - n_3)^2} n_2^2 (1 - \varsigma^2 - \varsigma^4 + \varsigma^6) \ \text{MERGEFORMAT (S9)}$$

$$\phi_3 = \frac{n_0}{1 - n_3} + \frac{n_1 n_2}{(1 - n_3)^2} (1 - \varsigma^2) - \frac{n_2^3 (1 - \varsigma^2)^3}{36\pi} \left[\frac{2 - 5n_3 + n_3^2}{(1 - n_3)^3 n_3^2} + \frac{2\ln(1 - n_3)}{n_3^3} \right] \land \text{MERGEFORMAT (S10)}$$

$$\phi_{\nu_1} = -\frac{\mathbf{n}_{\nu_2}}{1 - n_3} \qquad \qquad \land * \text{ MERGEFORMAT (S11)}$$

$$\phi_{\nu_2} = -\frac{\mathbf{n}_{\nu_1}}{1-n_3} - \frac{n_3 + (1-n_3)^2 \ln(1-n_3)}{6\pi n_3^2 (1-n_3)^2} n_2 \mathbf{n}_{\nu_2} (1-\varsigma^2)^2 \setminus \text{* MERGEFORMAT (S12)}$$

where $\zeta = \mathbf{n}_{v2} / n_2$, and the weighted densities are

$$n_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{i} n_{\alpha,i}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{i} \int d\mathbf{q}' \rho_{i}(\mathbf{q}') \omega_{i}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') \setminus * \text{MERGEFORMAT (S13)}$$

For systems with slab geometry, the ionic density profiles vary only in the direction perpendicular to the surface, *viz*, $\rho_i(\mathbf{q}) = \rho_i(z)$. In that case, the weighted densities are

$$n_{0,i}(z) = \frac{n_{2,i}(z)}{\pi \sigma_i^2} \qquad \qquad \land * \text{ MERGEFORMAT (S14)}$$

$$n_{1,i}(z) = \frac{n_{2,i}(z)}{2\pi\sigma_i}$$
 * MERGEFORMAT (S15)

$$n_{2,i}(z) = \pi \sigma_i \int_{z-\sigma_i/2}^{z+\sigma_i/2} dz' \rho_i(z') \qquad \land * \text{ MERGEFORMAT (S16)}$$

$$n_{3,i}(z) = \pi \int_{z-\sigma_i/2}^{z+\sigma_i/2} dz' \rho_i(z') [\sigma_i^2 / 4 - (z'-z)^2] \times \text{MERGEFORMAT (S17)}$$

$$\mathbf{n}_{V1,i}(z) = \frac{\mathbf{n}_{V2,i}(z)}{2\pi\sigma_i} \qquad \qquad \wedge * \text{ MERGEFORMAT (S18)}$$

$$\mathbf{n}_{V2,i}(z) = -\frac{\mathbf{z}}{z} \pi \sigma \int_{z-\sigma_i/2}^{z+\sigma_i/2} \rho_i(z')(z'-z) dz' \quad \forall \text{MERGEFORMAT (S19)}$$

Similarly, the local excess chemical potentials are

$$\phi_{0,i}(z) = \frac{\phi_{2,i}(z)}{\pi \sigma_i^2} \qquad \qquad \land * \text{ MERGEFORMAT (S20)}$$

$$\phi_{1,i}(z) = \frac{\phi_{2,i}(z)}{2\pi\sigma_i}$$
 * MERGEFORMAT (S21)

$$\phi_{2,i}(z) = \pi \sigma_i \int_{z-\sigma_i/2}^{z+\sigma_i/2} dz' \phi_2(z') \qquad \land * \text{ MERGEFORMAT (S22)}$$

$$\phi_{3,i}(z) = \pi \int_{z-\sigma_i/2}^{z+\sigma_i/2} dz' \phi_3(z') [\sigma_i^2 / 4 - (z'-z)^2] \times \text{MERGEFORMAT} (S23)$$

$$\phi_{V1,i}(z) = \frac{\phi_{V2,i}(z)}{2\pi\sigma_i}$$
 * MERGEFORMAT (S24)

$$\phi_{V2,i}(z) = \pi \sigma \int_{z-\sigma_i/2}^{z+\sigma_i/2} \phi_{V2}(z')(z'-z)dz' \quad \forall \text{MERGEFORMAT (S25)}$$

The reduced excess chemical potential due to the electrostatic correlations is given by

$$\beta \mu_i^{EL}(\mathbf{q}) = \beta \mu_i^{EL}[\rho_i(z=R,x)] - \sum_{j=+,-} \int \Delta \rho_j(\mathbf{q}') c_{ij}^{EL}(|\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{q}'|) d\mathbf{q} \mathsf{MERGEFORMAT}$$

(S26)

According to the mean-spherical approximation (MSA)³, the direct correlation function (DCF) for the range of $0 \le q \le |\sigma_i - \sigma_j|/2$ is

$$c_{ij}^{EL}(q) = -2l_B \left[-Z_i N_j + X_i (N_i + \Gamma X_i) - (\sigma_i / 3) (N_i + \Gamma X_i)^2 \right] MERGEFORMAT$$

and for $|\sigma_i - \sigma_j| / 2 \le q \le \sigma_{ij}$, $c_{ij}^{EL}(q) = l_B [(\sigma_i - \sigma_j)L_1 - rL_2 + q^2L_3 + q^4L_4] \land \text{MERGEFORMAT} (S28)$

where

(S27)

$$L_{1} = \frac{X_{i} + X_{j}}{4} (S_{i} - S_{j}) - \frac{\sigma_{i} - \sigma_{j}}{16} [(S_{i} + S_{j})^{2} - 4N_{i}N_{j}]$$

$$L_{2} = (X_{i} - X_{j})(N_{i} - N_{j}) + (X_{i}^{2} + X_{j}^{2})\Gamma + (\sigma_{i} + \sigma_{j})N_{i}N_{j}$$

$$+ [\sigma_{i}S_{i}^{2} + \sigma_{j}S_{j}^{2}]/3 \qquad \forall \text{MERGEFORMAT}$$

$$L_{3} = \frac{X_{i}}{\sigma_{i}}S_{i} + \frac{X_{j}}{\sigma_{j}}S_{j} + N_{i}N_{j} - [S_{i}^{2} + S_{j}^{2}]/2$$

$$L_{4} = \frac{S_{i}^{2}}{6\sigma_{i}^{2}} + \frac{S_{j}^{2}}{6\sigma_{j}^{2}}$$

(S29)

and

$$S_i = N_i + \Gamma X_i$$
 * MERGEFORMAT (S30)

The MSA parameter Γ is given by

$$\Gamma = \left(\pi l_B \sum_{i} \rho_i (z = R, x) X_i^2\right)^{1/2} \qquad \land * \text{ MERGEFORMAT (S31)}$$

and the parameter N_i can be obtained from

$$N_i = \frac{X_i - Z_i}{\sigma_i} \qquad \qquad \land * \text{ MERGEFORMAT (S32)}$$

where X_i is solved from the following non-linear equations

$$(1+\Gamma\sigma_i)X_i + \alpha\sigma_i^2\sum_j \rho_i(z=R,x)\sigma_jX_j = Z_i$$
 MERGEFORMAT (S33)

$$\alpha \equiv (\pi/2) \left[1 - (\pi/6) \sum_{i} \rho_{i} (z = R, x) \sigma_{i}^{3} \right]^{-1} \times \text{MERGEFORMAT (S34)}$$

As shown in our previous work⁴, the quadratic expansion is sufficient to capture counterintuitive electrostatic phenomena such as attraction between like charges and charge inversion in the presence of multivalent ions, which defy the conventional mean-field theories.

References

1.Yu, Y.-X.; Wu, J. The Journal of chemical physics 2002, 117, (22), 10156-10164.

2.Li, Z. D.; Wu, J. Z. Phys Rev E 2004, 70, (3).

3.Ren, F.; Pearton, S. J., Semiconductor device-based sensors for gas, chemical, and biomedical applications. CRC: Boca Raton, Fla., 2011; p xii, 312 p.

4.Li, Z. D.; Wu, J. Z. Journal of Physical Chemistry B 2006, 110, (14), 7473-7484.