# **Electronic Supplementary Information**

Computational, Electrochemical, and Spectroscopic Studies of two Mononuclear Cobaloximes: The influence of an axial pyridine and solvent on the redox behaviour and evidence for pyridine coordination to cobalt(I) and cobalt(II) metal centres.

Mark A. W. Lawrence,<sup>a</sup> Michael J. Celestine,<sup>a</sup> Edward T. Artis,<sup>a</sup> Lorne S. Joseph,<sup>b</sup> Deisy L. Esquivel,<sup>c</sup> Abram J. Ledbetter,<sup>d</sup> Donald M. Cropek,<sup>e</sup> William L. Jarrett,<sup>f</sup> Craig A. Bayse,<sup>a</sup> Matthew I. Brewer,<sup>a</sup> and Alvin A. Holder\*<sup>a</sup>

## **Table of Contents**

Electro	Electronic Supplementary Information					
1 Re	esults	2				
1.1	Characterization	2				
1.2	Mole ratio plots and equilibria data	5				
1.3	Electrochemical data	16				
1.4	Spectroelectrochemical data					
1.5	<sup>11</sup> B, <sup>19</sup> F, and <sup>59</sup> Co NMR spectroscopic data					
1.6	Electrocatalytic behaviour	34				

### 1 Results

## 1.1 Characterization



**Figure S1.** High resolution ESI mass spectrum of  $[Co(dmgBF_2)_2(H_2O)(py)]^+$  in the positive mode with CH<sub>3</sub>CN as solvent.





Figure S2. Full FT IR spectra and assignment of selected stretching frequencies of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2.



**Figure S3.** A plot of the molar extinction coefficient versus wavelength of complex 1. Solvent = acetonitrile (a), acetone (b), 2-butanone (c), 1,2-difluorobenzene/acetone (4:1, v/v) (d), and water (e). NIR spectrum is shown as an inset.



Figure S4. A plot of the molar extinction coefficient versus wavelength of complex 2. Solvent = acetonitrile (a), acetone (b), 2-butanone (c), 1,2-difluorobenzene/acetone (4:1, v/v) (d), and water (e). NIR spectrum is shown as an inset.

#### 1.2 Mole ratio plots and equilibria studies



**Figure S5.** The effect of pyridine addition to complex 1 in the UV-visible spectrum (NIR spectrum is shown as an inset) in acetone. [complex 1] = 0.1 mM (2.0 mM for NIR spectral studies) and 0.0 mM  $\ge$  [pyridine]  $\ge$  0.5 mM (0.0 mM  $\ge$  [pyridine]  $\ge$  4.0 mM for NIR spectral studies), and path length = 1.0 cm.



**Figure S6.** The effect of pyridine addition to complex 1 in the UV-visible spectrum (NIR spectrum is shown as an inset) in 2-butanone. [complex 1] = 0.1 mM (2.0 mM for NIR spectral studies) and 0.0 mM  $\geq$  [pyridine]  $\geq$  0.5 mM (0.0 mM  $\geq$  [pyridine]  $\geq$  4.0 mM for NIR spectral studies), and path length = 1.0 cm.



Figure S7. A plot of absorbance versus wavelength for a titration of complex 1 with pyridine in dichloromethane at 20 °C. [Complex 1] = 0.10 mM and path length = 1.0 cm.

**Table S1**. NIR spectral data for absorbance at 1162 nm, log  $[(A_0-A)/(A-A_\infty)]$ , and log [pyridine] for complex 1 in acetonitrile at 20 °C. [complex 1] = 2.0 mM and path length = 1.0 cm.

[py]/[complex 1]	Abs <sub>1162 nm</sub>	log [pyridine]	$\log \left[ (A_0-A)/(A-A_\infty) \right]$
0	0.2474		
0.25	0.2892	-3.572	-0.8823
0.33	0.3059	-3.474	-0.7130
0.40	0.3222	-3.414	-0.5821
0.50	0.3344	-3.286	-0.4977
0.65	0.36315	-3.182	-0.3254
0.75	0.3720	-3.092	-0.2774
0.87	0.3895	-3.021	-0.1870
1.0	0.4062	-2.951	-0.1040
1.12	0.4255	-2.902	-0.0107
1.50	0.4645	-2.746	0.1800
1.75	0.4845	-2.661	0.2831
2.0	0.4949	-2.580	0.3401
2.25	0.5103	-2.517	0.4301
2.75	0.5131	-2.395	0.4473
3.0	0.5258	-2.351	0.5295
4.0	0.5495	-2.199	0.7126
5.0	0.5562	-2.082	0.7756



**Figure S8.** Mole ratio plot for the interaction of pyridine with complex 1 in acetonitrile. [complex 1] = 2.0 mM,  $\lambda = 1162$  nm, temperature = 20 °C, and path length = 1.0 cm.



**Figure S9.** A plot of log  $[(A_o-A)/(A-A_\infty)]$  versus log [pyridine] for complex 1 at 1162 nm in acetonitrile at 20 °C.

[py]/[complex 1]	Abs <sub>372 nm</sub>	$\log\left[(A_0-A)/(A-A_\infty)\right]$	log [pyridine]
0	0.0922		
0.50	0.1265	-0.2309	-4.886
0.87	0.1444	0.1099	-4.513
1.0	0.1497	0.2128	-4.420
1.25	0.1590	0.4103	-4.276
1.50	0.1618	0.4763	-4.125
1.75	0.1696	0.7018	-4.038
2.0	0.1724	0.8020	-3.945
4.0	0.1796	1.206	-3.514

**Table S2.** UV-visible spectral data for absorbance at 372 nm, log  $[(A_o-A)/(A-A_\infty)]$ , and log [pyridine] for complex 1 in acetone at 20 °C. [complex 1] = 0.10 mM and path length = 1.0 cm.



**Figure S10.** Mole ratio plot for the interaction of pyridine with complex 1 in acetone. [complex 1] = 0.10 mM,  $\lambda = 372$  nm, temperature = 20 °C, and path length = 1.0 cm.



**Figure S11.** A plot of log  $[(A_o-A)/(A-A_\infty)]$  versus log [pyridine] for complex 1 at 371 nm in acetone at 20 °C.

**Table S3.** UV-visible spectral data for absorbance at 372 nm, log  $[(A_o-A)/(A-A_\infty)]$ , and log [pyridine] for complex 1 in 2-butanone at 20 °C. [complex 1] = 0.10 mM, and path length = 1.0 cm.

[py]/[complex 1]	$Abs_{372 \ nm}$	$\log \left[ (A_0-A)/(A-A_\infty) \right]$	log [pyridine]
0	0.1045		
0.50	0.1498	-4.857	-0.2480
1.0	0.1847	-4.442	0.2479
1.25	0.1973	-4.292	0.4529
1.50	0.2035	-4.148	0.5716
1.75	0.2105	-4.043	0.7357
2.0	0.2150	-3.951	0.8664
4.0	0.2244	-3.516	1.330



**Figure S12.** Mole ratio plot for the interaction of pyridine with complex 1 in 2-butanone. [complex 1] = 0.10 mM,  $\lambda = 372 \text{ nm}$ , temperature = 20 °C, path length = 1.0 cm.



**Figure S13.** A plot of log  $[(A_0-A)/(A-A_\infty)]$  versus log [pyridine] for complex 1 at 372 nm in 2-butanone at 20 °C.

**Table S4.** UV-visible spectral data for absorbance at 372 nm, log  $[(A_o-A)/(A-A_\infty)]$ , and log [pyridine] for complex 1 in 1,2-difluorobenzene/acetone (4:1, v/v) at 20 °C. [complex 1] = 0.10 mM, and path length = 1.0 cm.

[py]/[complex 1]	Abs <sub>372 nm</sub>	log [pyridine]	$\log \left[ (A_0-A)/(A-A_\infty) \right]$
0	0.1058		
0.33	0.1336	-5.349	-0.3990
0.40	0.1390	-5.231	-0.2858
0.50	0.1444	-4.988	-0.1810
0.65	0.1556	-4.860	0.02092
0.75	0.1593	-4.700	0.08827
1.25	0.1817	-4.329	0.5519
1.50	0.1864	-4.173	0.6848
1.75	0.1874	-4.041	0.7184
2.5	0.1930	-3.795	0.9394
5.0	0.2011	-3.396	1.7048



**Figure S14.** Mole ratio plot for the interaction of pyridine with complex 1 in 1,2-difluorobenzene/acetone (4:1, v/v). [complex 1] = 0.10 mM,  $\lambda = 372$  nm, temperature = 20 °C, path length = 1.0 cm.



Figure S15. A plot of log  $[(A_o-A)/(A-A_\infty)]$  versus log [pyridine] for complex 1 at 372 nm in 1,2-difluorobenzene/acetone (4:1, v/v) at 20 °C.

[py]/[complex 1]	Abs <sub>370 nm</sub>	log [pyridine]	$\log \left[ (A_0-A)/(A-A_\infty) \right]$
0	0.1016		
0.33	0.1341	-6.166	-0.3210
0.40	0.1409	-6.068	-0.1916
0.50	0.1506	-5.909	-0.02143
0.75	0.1738	-5.507	0.4077
0.87	0.1843	-5.330	0.6680
1.0	0.1914	-4.977	0.9282
1.12	0.1949	-4.720	1.121
1.25	0.1964	-4.514	1.226
1.5	0.1977	-4.265	1.350
2.0	0.2003	-3.993	1.755
2.25	0.1997	-3.895	1.635
2.5	0.1991	-3.816	1.531
3.0	0.2011	-3.697	2.025

**Table S5.** UV-visible spectral data for absorbance at 370 nm, log  $[(A_0-A)/(A-A_\infty)]$  and log [pyridine] for complex 1 in dichloromethane at 20 °C. [complex 1] = 0.10 mM, and path length = 1.0 cm.



**Figure S16.** Mole ratio plot for the interaction of pyridine with complex 1 in dichloromethane. [complex 1] = 0.10 mM,  $\lambda$  = 370 nm, temperature = 20 °C, and path length = 1.0 cm.



**Figure S17.** A plot of log  $[(A_0-A)/(A-A_\infty)]$  versus log [pyridine] for complex 1 at 370 nm in dichloromethane at 20 °C.

**Table S6.** UV-visible spectral data for absorbance at 447 nm, log  $[(A_o-A)/(A-A_\infty)]$ , log [pyridine] for the [<sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>N]BH<sub>4</sub> reduced form of complex **1** in acetonitrile. [complex **1**] = 1.0 mM, temperature = 20 °C, and path length = 1.0 mm.

[py]/[complex 1]	Abs <sub>447 nm</sub>	$\log\left[(A_{o}-A)/(A-A_{\infty})\right]$	log [py]
0	0.1781		
0.5	0.2404	-0.3440	-3.725
1.0	0.2954	0.1524	-3.384
1.5	0.3199	0.3871	-3.102
2.0	0.3433	0.6780	-2.931
2.5	0.3538	0.8610	-2.790
4.0	0.3689	1.322	-2.516



**Figure S18.** Mole ratio plot for the interaction of pyridine with the  $[{}^{n}Bu_{4}N]BH_{4}$  reduced form of complex 1 in acetonitrile. [complex 1] = 1.0 mM, temperature = 20 °C, and path length = 1.0 mm.



**Figure S19.** A plot of log  $[(A_0-A)/(A-A_\infty)]$  versus log [py] for the  $[{}^nBu_4N]BH_4$  reduced form of complex 1 in acetonitrile at 20 °C.

## 1.3 Electrochemical data



**Figure S20.** Cyclic voltammograms of complex 1 in water on a glassy carbon working electrode versus AgCl/Ag. [complex 1] = 0.64 mM, pH = 2.30 (solid lines) and pH = 6.75 (broken lines), supporting electrolyte =  $0.10 \text{ M} \text{ NaClO}_4$ , and scan rate =  $100 \text{ mV} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .



**Figure S21.** Cyclic voltammograms of complexes **1** and **2** in water on a glassy carbon working electrode versus AgCl/Ag. [complex **1**] = 0.64 mM (solid lines) and [complex **2**] = 0.63 mM (broken lines), pH = 2.30, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>, and scan rate =  $100 \text{ mV} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .



**Figure S22.** Cyclic voltammograms of complexes 1 and 2 in 2-butanone on a glassy carbon working electrode versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] = 1.02 mM (solid lines) and [complex 2] = 1.08 mM (broken lines), supporting electrolyte =  $0.10 \text{ M} [^n\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]\text{ClO}_4$ , and scan rate =  $100 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ .



**Figure S23.** Cyclic voltammograms of complexes **1** and **2** in 1,2-difluorobenzene/acetone (4:1 v/v) on a glassy carbon working electrode versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex **1**] = 1.05 mM (solid lines) and [complex **2**] = 1.03 mM (broken lines), supporting electrolyte =  $0.10 \text{ M} [^{n}\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]\text{ClO}_4$ , and scan rate =  $100 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ .



**Figure S24.** Cyclic voltammograms of complex **1** in CH<sub>3</sub>CN on a glassy carbon working electrode versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex **1**] = 1.04 mM (solid lines) and [complex **1**] = 1.04 mM with 5.09 mM pyridine (broken lines), supporting electrolyte =  $0.10 \text{ M} [^{n}\text{Bu}_{4}\text{N}]\text{ClO}_{4}$ , and scan rate =  $100 \text{ mV} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .



**Figure S25.** Cyclic voltammograms of complexes 1 and 2 in water with pyridine on a glassy carbon working electrode versus AgCl/Ag. [complex 1] = 0.64 mM with 5.21 mM pyridine (solid lines) and [complex 2] = 0.63 mM with 5.21 mM pyridine (broken lines), supporting electrolyte =  $0.10 \text{ M NaClO}_4$ , and scan rate =  $100 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ .



**Figure S26.** Cyclic voltammograms complex 1 in  $CH_3CN$  on a glassy carbon working electrode versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] = 1.02 mM (solid lines) and [complex 1] = 1.02 mM with 15.0 mM 2-methylpyridine (broken lines), supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [ $^nBu_4N$ ]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and scan rate = 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure S27.** Cyclic voltammograms of complex **1** in CH<sub>3</sub>CN on a glassy carbon working electrode versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex **1**] = 1.02 mM (solid lines) and [complex **1**] = 1.02 mM with 15.1 mM 2,6-dimethylpyridine (broken lines), supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M ["Bu<sub>4</sub>N]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and scan rate =  $100 \text{ mV} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .



**Figure S28.** Cyclic voltammograms of complex 1 in acetonitrile on a glassy carbon working electrode versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] = 1.05 mM (solid lines) and [complex 1] = 1.05 mM with [2-aminopyridine] = 5.10 mM (broken lines), supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M ["Bu<sub>4</sub>N]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and scan rate =  $100 \text{ mV} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .



**Figure S29.** Cyclic voltammograms of complex **1** in acetone on a glassy carbon working electrode versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex **1**] = 1.04 mM (solid lines) and [complex **1**] = 1.04 mM with 5.09 mM of pyridine (broken lines), supporting electrolyte =  $0.10 \text{ M} [^{n}\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]\text{ClO}_4$ , and scan rate = 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure S30.** Cyclic voltammograms complex 1 in 2-butanone on a glassy carbon working electrode versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] = 1.02 mM (solid lines) and [complex 1] = 1.02 mM with 5.09 mM of pyridine (broken lines), supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [ $^{n}\text{Bu}_4\text{N}$ ]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and scan rate =  $100 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ .

#### 1.4 Spectroelectrochemistry



**Figure S31.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex 1 with pyridine in acetonitrile at a constant potential of -1.0 V versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] = 1.05 mM, [pyridine] = 5.09 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [ $^{n}$ Bu<sub>4</sub>N]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and path length = 1 mm.



**Figure S32.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex 1 with pyridine in acetonitrile at a constant potential of -1.0 V versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] = 1.05 mM, [pyridine] = 10.18 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M ["Bu<sub>4</sub>N]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and path length = 1 mm.



**Figure S33.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex **2** with pyridine in acetonitrile at a constant potential of -1.0 V versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex **2**] = 1.02 mM, [pyridine] = 10.18 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [<sup>*n*</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>N]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and path length = 1 mm.



Figure S34. Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex 1 with 2-aminopyridine in acetonitrile at a constant potential of -1.0 V versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] = 1.05 mM, [2-aminopyridine] = 5.10 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [<sup>*n*</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>N]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and path length = 1 mm.



**Figure S35.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex 1 in water at a constant potential of -0.75 V versus AgCl/Ag. [complex 1] = 0.51 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>, pH = 5.79, and path length = 1 mm. *Note: At low pH (e.g., 2.30) the evolution of hydrogen is observed. The use of a buffer was avoided to eliminate substitution of the axial water ligands in complex 1 via anation.* 



**Figure S36.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex 1 in water at a constant potential of -0.70 V versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] = 0.60 mM, [pyridine] = 5.21 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>, pH = 4.58, and path length = 1 mm.



**Figure S37.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complexes 1 and 2 in acetone at a constant potential of -0.90 V versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode; supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [ $^{n}Bu_{4}N$ ]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and path length = 1 mm. (a) [complex 1] = 1.14 mM, (b) [complex 2] = 1.08 mM.



**Figure S38.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex 1 in acetone at a constant potential of -1.30 V versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] = 1.14 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [ $^{n}Bu_{4}N$ ]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and path length = 1 mm. Broken line illustrates the spectrum after an extended time.



**Figure S39.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex 1 with pyridine in acetone at a constant potential of -0.90 V versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] = 1.14 mM, [pyridine] = 5.09 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [<sup>*n*</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>N]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and path length = 1 mm.



**Figure S40.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex **2** with pyridine in acetone at a constant potential of -0.90 V versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex **2**] =1.08 mM, [pyridine] = 5.09 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [ $^{n}$ Bu<sub>4</sub>N]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and path length = 1 mm.



**Figure S41.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex 1 in 2-butanone at a constant potential of -0.70 V versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] = 1.04 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [ $^{n}Bu_{4}N$ ]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and path length = 1 mm.



**Figure S42.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex 1 in 2-butanone at a constant potential of -1.10 V versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] = 1.04 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [ $^{n}Bu_{4}N$ ]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and path length = 1 mm. Broken line illustrates the spectrum after an extended time.



**Figure S43.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex 1 with pyridine in 2-butanone at a constant potential of -0.70 V versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] =1.04 mM, [pyridine] = 5.09 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [ $^{n}$ Bu<sub>4</sub>N]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and path length = 1 mm.



**Figure S44.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex 1 in 1,2-difluorobenzene/acetone (4:1, v/v) at a constant potential of -0.90 V versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode. [complex 1] = 1.05 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [ $^{n}$ Bu<sub>4</sub>N]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and path length = 1 mm.



**Figure S45.** Absorbance changes in the UV-visible spectra of complex 2 in 1,2-difluorobenzene/acetone (4:1, v/v) at a constant potential of -0.90 V versus **a Ag quasi-reference electrode**. [complex 2] = 1.03 mM, supporting electrolyte = 0.10 M [ $^{n}$ Bu<sub>4</sub>N]ClO<sub>4</sub>, and path length = 1 mm.

1.5 <sup>11</sup>B, <sup>19</sup>F, and <sup>59</sup>Co NMR spectroscopic studies



Figure S46. Conformers most likely adopted by the  $BF_2$  caps of complex 2.



**Figure S47.** <sup>59</sup>Co NMR spectrum of the Co(I) species produced from 50 mM of complex 1, 500 mM of  $[^{n}Bu_{4}N]BH_{4}$ , and 250 mM of 2,3,5,6-tetrafluoropyridine (pyF<sub>4</sub>) in CD<sub>3</sub>CN.



Figure S48. <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra in CD<sub>3</sub>CN of (a) 50 mM complex 1, (b) 50 mM complex 2, (c) 50 mM complex 1 with 250 mM pyridine.



**Figure S49.** <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra acquired in CD<sub>3</sub>CN of (a) 50 mM complex **1** with 500 mM [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]BH<sub>4</sub>; (b) 50 mM complex **2** with 500 mM [<sup>*n*</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>N]BH<sub>4</sub>, and (c) 50 mM complex **1** with 250 mM pyridine and 500 mM [Bu<sub>4</sub>N]BH<sub>4</sub>.



**Figure S50.** <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra in CD<sub>3</sub>CN of (a) 250 mM pentafluoropyridine ( $pyF_5$ ) and (b) 250 mM  $pyF_5$  with 500 mM [ $^nBuN$ ]BH<sub>4</sub>.



Figure S51. <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra in CD<sub>3</sub>CN for (a) 250 mM pyF<sub>5</sub>, (b) 50 mM complex 1 and 250 mM pyF<sub>5</sub> and (c) 50 mM complex 1, 250 mM pyF<sub>5</sub> and 500 mM [ $^{n}Bu_{4}N$ ]BH<sub>4</sub>.

Table	<b>S7.</b>	<sup>19</sup> F	and	$^{11}B$	NMR	chemical	shifts	for	mixtures	of	$[Co(dmgBF_2)_2(H_2O)_2]$	(complex	1),
fluorin	ated	pyrie	dines,	and	tetrabu	ıtylammon	ium tet	traflu	ioroborate	in (	CD <sub>3</sub> CN.		

Entry	Species/mixture	$\delta_{\rm B}$ / ppm	$\delta_{\rm F}$ / ppm
1	Complex $1 + [^{n}Bu_{4}N]BH_{4} + pyF_{5}$	19.63, 6.11 (t), 3.42, 3.26, 2.06, 1.51, 1.40, 0.95-0.45 (m)	-45.7, -48.4, -49.1, -69.3, -75.1, - 89.6, -91.8, -93.2, -95.3, -96.3, -97.5, -98.8, -99.5 -99.9, -100.3, -101.3, - 102.0, -103.8, -104.7, -105.4, -105.5, -108.7, -115.8, -118.9, -119.1, - 119.9, -122.3, -128.2, -128.5, -130.6, -132.1, -136.0, -137.1, -138.7, -140.9, -141.1, -142.7, -147.4, -147.5, -147.5, -147.65, -147.7, -147.8, -150.6, - 164.8, -173.5,
2	Complex $1 + [^{n}Bu_{4}N]BH_{4} + pyF_{4}$	19.92, 6.09, 3.44, 1.40, 0.79, -1.15, - 10.60, -18.99, -19.69	-48.8, -75.1, -89.6, -91.8, -93.2, - 95.4, -95.8, -128.2, -128.5, -131.8, - 135.0, -135.9, -136.1, -137.2, -138.2, -140.9, -141.1, -142.8, -147.4, - 147.5, -147.5, -150.1, -150.6, -150.6, -152.0, -152.2

#### **1.6** Electrocatalytic behavior

Co(II) + e <sup>-</sup>	->	Co(I)	(i)
Co(I) + HA	$\rightarrow$	Co(III)-H + A <sup>-</sup>	(ii)
Co(III)-H + HA	->	$Co(III) + H_2 + A^-$	(iii)
Co(III) + Co(I)	$\rightarrow$	2 Co(II)	(iv)
2 Co(III)-H	$\rightarrow$	2 Co(II) + H <sub>2</sub>	(v)

**Scheme S1.** Proposed mechanism for the electrocatalytic reduction of protons (from an acid source, HA) by cobaloximes.<sup>1</sup>



**Figure S52.** Cyclic voltammograms involving electrocatalysis with complex **2** in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. [complex **2**] = 1.08 mM in the absence (black) and presence of aliquots of *p*-cyanoanilinium tetrafluoroborate (3.21, 5.54, 9.52, 13.8, 19.6, 25.3, 34.5, and 44.7 mM), supporting electrolyte =  $0.10 \text{ M} [^{n}\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]\text{CIO}_4$ , and scan rate =  $100 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$  at a glassy carbon working electrode versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode.



**Figure S53.** Cyclic voltammograms involving electrocatalysis with complex 1 in acetone. [complex 1] = 1.08 mM in the absence (black) and presence of aliquots of *p*-cyanoanilinium tetrafluoroborate (1.80, 3.16, 4.52, 6.17, 8.74, 11.2, 14.9, and 18.6 mM), supporting electrolyte =  $0.10 \text{ M} [^n\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]\text{CIO}_4$ , and scan rate =  $100 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$  at a glassy carbon working electrode versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode.



**Figure S54.** Cyclic voltammograms involving electrocatalysis with complex **2** in acetone. [complex **2**] = 1.08 mM in the absence (black) and presence of aliquots of of *p*-cyanoanilinium tetrafluoroborate (1.70, 3.54, 5.24, 7.28, 9.42, 12.4, 16.1, and 18.7 mM), supporting electrolyte =  $0.10 \text{ M} [^{n}\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]\text{ClO}_4$ , and scan rate =  $100 \text{ mV} \text{ s}^{-1}$  at a glassy carbon working electrode versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode.



**Figure S55.** Dependence of the catalytic peak current  $(i_{pc,1})$  on the concentration of *p*-cyanoanilium tetrafluoroborate at a scan rate of 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for complex **1** ( $\blacklozenge$ : in CH<sub>3</sub>CN,  $\triangle$ : in acetone) and complex **2** ( $\Box$ : in CH<sub>3</sub>CN,  $\times$ : acetone) in 0.10 M [<sup>*n*</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>N]ClO<sub>4</sub>, at a glassy carbon working electrode versus a Ag quasi-reference electrode.



Figure S56. The effect of dielectric constant on  $k_{app}$  (from Table 6 of main text) for complex 1.



**Figure S57.** The effect of dielectric constant on  $k_{app}$  (from Table 6 of main text) for complex 2.



Figure S58. Setup of the H-Cell used for the electrocatalytic generation of hydrogen.

# Reference

1. X. Hu, B. S. Brunschwig and J. C. Peters, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 8988-8998.