1	Synthesis of Silanized Magnetic Ru/Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ Nanospheres and its High Selectivity to Prepare
2	<i>Cis</i> -pinane
3	Yue Liu ^a , Lu Li ^b , Shiwei Liu ^b , Congxia Xie ^a Shitao Yu ^{b,*}
4	^a Key Laboratory of Sensor Analysis of Tumor Marker Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry and
5	Molecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, 53 Zhengzhou Road, Qingdao
6	266042, Peoples Republic of China
7	^b College of Chemical Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, 53 Zhengzhou
8	Road, Qingdao 266042, Peoples Republic of China
9	
10	
11	CONTENTS
12	1 Details of FT-IR Spectra (S1)
13	2 TEM and particle distributions of Ru/Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ (S2)
14	3 The magnetic separation process of Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ /APTS/Ru microspheres (S3)
15	4 Details of magnetization curves (S4)
16	5 The reusability of Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ /MPTS/Ru (S5)
17	6 Details of XRD patterns (S6)
18	7 Details of TGA curve (S7)
19	8 References
20	
21	
22	
23	

1 S1. Details of FT-IR Spectra



3

2

Fig. S1 FT-IR spectra of (a) Fe_3O_4 , (b) Fe_3O_4 @SiO₂, (c) Fe_3O_4 @SiO₂/APTS/Ru, (d)

4

Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/MPTS/Ru.

5 Fig. S1 shows FT-IR spectra of the as-prepared magnetic particles. The absorption band at 586 cm^{-1} in curve **a** is ascribed to Fe–O–Fe vibrations, which also confirms the magnetic particles are Fe₃O₄ 6 [1, 2]. In comparison with curve a, the sharp peak at 1,086 cm⁻¹ in curve b can be assigned to the Si-7 8 O-Si vibrations, whereas the peaks around 1,629 and 3,432 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the absorbed water and hydroxy groups [3]. The Si–O bending vibration mode of the silanol group is seen at 959 cm⁻¹. 9 After modification with APTS (curve c), the peaks detected at 2,960 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the C-H 10 11 bonds from the silane APTS, Contributions from -NH₂ group were probably overlapped by vibration bands related with silanol groups and adsorbed water [4, 5]. After modification with MPTS (curve d), 12 the peak at 671 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the C-S bending vibration mode [6]. The peak at near 2570–2590 13 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the stretching vibration mode of S-H. The S-H stretching vibration mode is not 14 15 usually detected [7]. However, the band at around $2850-2960 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is due to the stretching vibration of C-H of methylene. This result suggests that the surface modification of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ core-shell 16 17 nanospheres was successful prepared.

18 S2 TEM and particle distributions of Ru/Fe₃O₄@SiO₂



3 S3 The magnetic separation process of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/APTS/Ru microspheres





5 Fig. S3 Photograph of the catalyst dispersed in reaction mixture (a) and magnetic separation of the

6

catalysts from the reaction medium (b).





8

9 Fig. S4 Magnetization curves of $Fe_3O_4(a)$, $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2(b)$ and $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2/APTS/Ru(c)$.

10 The magnetic properties of Fe₃O₄, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/APTS/Ru nanoparticles were 11 investigated using a vibrating sample magnetometer. Results are shown in Fig. S4. All samples showed 12 hysteresis loops without any detectable remanence, reflecting the superparamagnetic properties of the 13 samples. The magnetization saturation values of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/APTS/Ru were 43.6 1 emu g⁻¹ and 41.1 emu g⁻¹, respectively. The decrease of the saturation magnetization suggests the 2 presence of some Ru particles on the surface of the magnetic supports. Even with this reduction in the 3 saturation magnetization, the catalyst was still efficiently and easily separated from the solution with 4 the help of an external magnetic force. As a result of the superparamagnetic properties and high 5 magnetization, the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/APTS/Ru nanoparticles showed fast separation under the applied 6 magnetic field and quick dispersion through a slight shake when the magnetic field was removed.



7 S5 The reusability of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/MPTS/Ru



Fig. S5 The reusability of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/MPTS/Ru. Reaction conditions: α-pinene 2.72 g,

10 Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/MPTS/Ru 0.13 g (the thicknesses of the silica layer were about 25.6 nm, Ru 10 wt%), H₂



4

1 S6 Details of XRD patterns





3 4

5

Fig. S6 XRD patterns of Fe₃O₄ (a), Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ (b), Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/APTS/Ru (c) and

 $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2/MPTS/Ru(d).$

6 The crystallinity and phase composition of the resulting products were investigated by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD). Fig. S6 exhibited the wide angle XRD patterns of the samples. As shown in 7 8 Fig. S6a, all the peaks were in agreement with a face centered cubic structure of Fe₃O₄. After coating by SiO₂, a new broad peak around 23° appeared because of the existence of amorphous silica. For the 9 Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/APTS/Ru and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/MPTS/Ru samples, in addition to the Fe₃O₄ diffraction peaks, 10 11 a tiny peak at 44° was distinguishable, which was owed to the characteristic diffraction peaks of Ru(0). The XRD results indicated that the Ru nanoparticles had been successfully loaded onto the surface of 12 the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/APTS and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/MPTS microspheres. The average size of crystallite, 13 calculated using Scherrer's equation, was about 2.5 and 4.0 nm, well in agreement with the average 14 particle size derived from TEM analysis [8]. 15

16

17

18

1 S7 Details of TGA curve





3

Fig. S7 TGA curve of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/APTS/Ru.

4	Typical TGA curves of samples under a N_2 atmosphere are depicted in Fig S7. As can be seen
5	from the diagram, Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ /APTS/Ru was relatively stable around or below 300°C. The weight
6	loss (3.02 wt%) at temperatures below 180°C can be attributed to water thermo-desorption from the
7	surface of the silica layer, while weight loss above 500°C is associated with the release of hydroxyl
8	ions from the particles. As shown in Fig S7 the observed large weight reduction in the TGA curve of
9	Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ /APTS/Ru shows a weight loss (3.11 wt%) from 300 to 500°C apart from the three other
10	loss events, which can be mainly resulted from the decomposition of aminopropyl groups introduced in
11	functionalized magnetic nanoparticles [9].
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

1 Reference

2 [1] S. K. Li, F. Z. Huang, Y. Wang, Y. H. Shen, L. G. Qiu, A. J. Xie and S. J. Xu, J. Mater. Chem.,

- 4 [2] S. Xuan, L. Hao, W. Jiang, X. Gong, Y. Hua and Z. Chen, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 2007, 308, 210.
- 5 [3] M. Shao, F. Ning, J. Zhao, M. Wei, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 134, 1071.
- 6 [4] Y. Deng, Y. Cai, Z. Sun, J. Liu, C. Liu, J. Wei, W. Li, C. Liu, Y. Wang and D. Zhao, J. Am. Chem.
 7 Soc., 2010, 132, 8466.
- 8 [5] S. K. Li, X. Guo, Y. Wang, F. Z. Huang, Y. H. Shen, X. M. Wang and A. J. Xie, Dalton. Tont.,
- 9 2011, 40, 6745.
- 10 [6] D. V. Quang, J. E. Lee, J. K. Kim, Y. N. Kim, G. N. Shao and H. T. Kim, *Powder Technol.*, 2013,
 11 235, 221.
- 12 [7] S. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Liu, Q. Xu, H. Xiao, X. Wang, H. Xu and J. Zhou, Chem. Eng. J., 2013, 226,
- 13 30.
- 14 [8] L. Zhou, C. Gao and W. Xu, *Langmuir*, 2010, 26, 11217.
- 15 [9] J. Zhang, S. Zhai, B. Zhai, Q. An and G. Tian, J Sol-Gel Sci Technol., 2012, 64, 347.

³ 2011, 21, 7459.