Supporting Information

A reaction-based fluorescent turn-on probe for Cu²⁺ in complete aqueous solution

Dongjian Zhu,* Yanghe Luo,* Xiaowei Yan, Wei Xie, Wen Cai, Xing Zhong Research Institute of Food Science & Engineering Technology, Hezhou University, Hezhou 542899, P. R. China *To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: zhudongjian@iccas.ac.cn (Dongjian Zhu), 250581250@qq.com (Yanghe Luo)

Contents

1 Synthesis of FP	2
2. UV-visible Absorbance and Fluorescence Emission	2
3. pH Effect on the Fluorescence Properties	3
4. Solubility	3
5. Determination of the detection limit (LOD)	4
6. Determination of quantum yields	5
7. Determination of kinetic rate constant	5
8. Comparison of various reaction-based probes for Cu ²⁺	6
9. ESI-MS Spectra	8
10. NMR Data	9

1 Synthesis of FP

General Information. All the chemicals and solvents were purchased from Energy Chemical or Aladdin Industrial Corporation, and used as received with the following exceptions. Dichloromethane (DCM) was distilled from calcium hydride. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were measured on Bruker Avance-400 400 MHz NMR spectrometer and referenced to solvent signals. Electrospray ionization mass spectra (ESI-MS) were measured on an LC-MS 6120 equipped with Single Quadrupole LC/MS system (Agilent, America) instrument.



A solution of methylfuorescein^{S1} (346 mg, 1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was treated with 2-picolinic acid (244 mg, 2 mmol), DMAP (73 mg, 0.6 mmol), and EDCI (383 mg, 2 mmol). The resultant solution was stirred at room temperature overnight under argon atmosphere. After completion of the reaction, the reaction was diluted with water. The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The organic phases were combined and washed with 0.1 N HCl, saturated brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The solvents were evaporated to give crude solid, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography using petroleum ether/25-50% ethyl acetate as eluent to afford desired products. Yield: 293 mg (65%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) δ 8.86-8.84 (m, 1H), 8.28-8.26 (m, 1H), 8.04-8.02 (m, 1H), 7.94-7.90 (m, 1H), 7.72-7.67 (m, 2H), 7.65-7.61 (m, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.21-7.677.19 (m, 1H), 6.98-6.95 (m, 1H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.65-6.62 (m, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) δ 169.37, 167.85, 163.47, 153.22, 152.46, 150.34, 147.29, 137.39, 135.26, 131.01, 130.98, 130.00, 129.28, 129.13, 128.98, 127.73, 126.72, 126.13, 125.25, 124.19, 117.66, 112.18, 111.17, 110.66, 101.11, 82.58, 55.73. MS(ESI) for C₂₇H₁₈NO₆⁺ ([M+H]⁺): calcd: 452.1, found: 452.1.

2. UV-visible Absorbance and Fluorescence Emission

UV-visible spectra were recorded on ThermoFisher Evolution 300 UV-vis spectrometer. Fluorescence spectra were recorded using a HITACHI F-4600 spectrometer. The PMT voltage was 700 V, excitation slit and emission slit were 2.5nm. The path length was 1 cm with cell volume of 3.0 mL. The stock solution of FP and FM were prepared in DMSO (1 mM). The solutions of Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺, Hg²⁺, Ba²⁺, Co²⁺, Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺, Sn⁴⁺ and Cu²⁺ were prepared from their chloride salts; the solutions of Ag⁺, Pb²⁺ and Al³⁺ were prepared from their nitrate salts; the solutions of Mn²⁺ and Ni²⁺ were prepared from their sulphate salts.



Fig. S1 (a) Absorption spectra of FM (1 μ M) (blue line), FP (1 μ M) (black line) before and (red line) after reaction with Cu²⁺ (20 μ M) in HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.0, 25 °C). (b) Fluorescence spectra of FM (1 μ M) (blue line), FP (1 μ M) (black line) before and (red line) after reaction with Cu²⁺ (20 μ M) in HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.0, 25 °C, $\lambda_{ex} = 460$ nm). The fluorescence colour changes of FP before and after the reaction and FM in HEPES buffer under illumination with a 254 nm UV lamp are shown in the inset.

3. pH Effect on the Fluorescence Properties



Fig. S2 (a) Effect of pH on fluorescence intensity at 514 nm for FP in the absence (black square) and presence (red cycle) of Cu²⁺ in HEPES buffer (10 mM, 25 °C, $\lambda_{ex} = 460$ nm). (b) Effect of pH on fluorescence intensity at 514 nm for FM in HEPES buffer (10 mM, 25 °C, $\lambda_{ex} = 460$ nm).

4. Solubility



Fig. S3 (a) Plot of absorbance at 454 nm against FP concentration in HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.0, 25 °C). (b) Plot of fluorescence intensity at 514 nm against FM concentration in HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.0, 25 °C, $\lambda_{ex} = 460$ nm).



Fig. S4 ESI-MS spectrum of FP (1 μ M) after addition of Cu²⁺ (20 μ M) in HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.0, 25 °C).

5. Determination of the detection limit (LOD)

The detection limit was calculated according to the method described in reference.^{S2} Fluorescence titrations of FP (1 μ M) in the presence of Cu²⁺ (0 - 1 μ M) were measured by three times. A linear regression curve was fitted according to the fluorescence intensity at 514 nm as a function of the concentrations of Cu²⁺, and k was obtained. The emission spectrum of FP (1 μ M) in HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.0, 25 °C, $\lambda_{ex} = 460$ nm.) was collected for 30 times to determine the background noise σ . The detection limit (3 σ /k) was then determined to be 55 nM.



Fig. S5 (a) Fluorescence spectra of FP (1 μ M) upon addition of Cu²⁺ (0 - 1 μ M). (b) The fluorescence intensities of FP at 514 nm as a function of the concentrations of Cu²⁺ in the range of 0 - 1 μ M upon excitation at 460 nm and the calculation of the detection limit of FP for Cu²⁺.

6. Determination of quantum yields

Fluorescence quantum yield was determined in the reference of fluorescein ($\Phi = 0.85$) in 0.1 M aqueous NaOH.^{S3} The quantum yields of FP, FM and the reaction solution of FP with Cu²⁺ are calculated according to following equation.

 $\Phi_x = \Phi_s(A_sS_x)/(A_xS_s)$

 Φ_s is the fluorescence quantum yield of fluorescein, A_x and A_s are the absorbance of FP, FM, the reaction solution of FP with Cu²⁺ and the standard. S_x and S_s are integrated fluorescence emission corresponding to FP, FM, the reaction solution of FP with Cu²⁺ and the standard.

7. Determination of kinetic rate constant



Fig. S6 Kinetic plot of fluorescence emission intensity at 514 nm of the pseudo-first order reaction of 1 µM FP to 100 µM Cu²⁺, using excitation wavelength at 460 nm. The slope of the plot corresponds to the observed reaction rate of 0.284 s⁻¹.

8. Comparison of various reaction-based probes for Cu²⁺

Table ST Performances comparison of various reaction-based probes for Cu ²⁺							
Linear range	LOD	Testing media	Temperature	Reaction time	Ref.		
0.5 - 10 μM	0.2 µM	H ₂ O-CH ₃ CN (10 mM HEPES, 4:1, v/v, pH 7.4)	-	5 min	S4		
0.1 - 0.9 µM	35 nM	H ₂ O-DMSO (10 mM Tris-HCl, 99:1, v/v, pH 7.0)	25°C	5 min	85		
0.5 - 10 μM	-	H ₂ O-CH ₃ CN (10 mM HEPES, 7:3, v/v, pH 7.4)	25°C	-	S6		
0 - 0.1 μΜ	20 nM	H ₂ O-DMSO (10 mM HEPES, 99:1, v/v, pH 8.0)	50°C	15 min	S7		
	0.3 µM	H ₂ O-CH ₃ CH ₂ OH (10 mM Tris- HCl, 9:1, v/v, pH 7.4)	-	-	S8		
0.08 - 30 µM	13 nM	H ₂ O-CH ₃ CN (HEPES, 4:1, v/v, pH 7.0)	-	-	S9		
	20 nM	H ₂ O-CH ₃ CN (HEPES, 1:4, v/v, pH 7.0)	-	5 min	S10		
1 - 20 μM	33 nM	H ₂ O-CH ₃ CN (25 mM Tris-HCl, 4:6, v/v, pH 6.0)	50°C	2 h	S11		
-	87 nM	H ₂ O-CH ₃ CN (10 mM acetate, 5:5, v/v, pH 5.0)	-	5 min	S12		
-	30 nM	H ₂ O-CH ₃ CN (1:10, v/v)	60°C	1.5 h	S13		
-	0.25 μM	CH ₃ CN	-	15 min	S14		

- 11 01 D

0.025 - 0.25 μM	3.5 nM	CH ₃ CN	25°C	2 min	
-	0.30 µM	H ₂ O-CH ₃ CN (5 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1 M KCl, 8:2, v/v, pH 7.2)	50°C	3 h	S15
-	37 nM	CH ₃ CN		20 min	
-	0.64 µM	H ₂ O-CH ₃ CN (10 mM Tris-HCl, 5:5, v/v, pH 7.0)	rt	6 h	S16
0.25 - 5 μM	11.1 nM	H ₂ O (HEPES, pH 7.4)	rt	2 min	S17
0 - 1 μΜ	55 nM	H ₂ O (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.0)	25°C	1 min	This Work

Reference

(S1) T. O. Zaikova, A. V. Rukavishnikov, G. Bruce Birrell, O. H. Griffith and J. F. W. Keana, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2001, **12**, 307.

(S2) Y. Chen, C. Zhu, Z. Yang, J. Chen, Y. He, Y. Jiao, W. He, L. Qiu, J. Cen and Z. Guo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 1688.

(S3) T. Wang, Jr. E. F. Douglass, K. J. Fitzgerald and D. A. Spiegel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 12429.

- (S4) R. An, D. Zhang, Y. Chen and Y. Cui, Sens Actuators B, 2016, 222, 48.
- (S5) Z. Zhou, N. Li, A. Tong, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2011, 702, 81.
- (S6) H. Wen, Q. Huang, X.-F. Yang and H. Li, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 4956.
- (S7) N. Li, Y. Xiang and A. Tong, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 3363.

(S8) L. Zhou, X. Zhang, Q. Wang, Y. Lv, G. Mao, A. Luo, Y. Wu, Y. Wu, J. Zhang and W. Tan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 9838.

(S9) L. Yuan, W. Lin, B. Chen and Y. Xie, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 432.

(S10) M. Kumar, N. Kumar, V. Bhalla, P. R. Sharma and T. Kaur, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, 14, 406.

(S11) X. Q. Chen, J. Jia, H. M. Ma, S. J. Wang and X. C. Wang, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2009, **632**, 9.

(S12) M. H. Kim, H. H. Jang, S. Yi, S.-K. Chang and M. S. Han, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 4838.

(S13) Q. Y. Wu and E. V. Anslyn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 14682.

(S14) Y. Xiang and A. Tong, Luminescence, 2008, 23, 28.

(S15) A. F. Li, H. He, Y. B. Ruan, Z. C. Wen, J. S. Zhao, Q. J. Jiang and Y. B. Jiang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2009, **7**, 193.

(S16) L. Mei, Y. Xiang, N. Li and A. Tong, Talanta, 2007, 72, 1717

(S17) B. Wang, X. Cui, Z. Zhang, X. Chai, H. Ding, Q. Wu, Z. Guo and T. Wang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 6720.

9. ESI-MS Spectra



