Supporting information

Cu₂O/CuO@rGO Heterostructure Derived from Metal-Organic-Frameworks as an Advanced Electrocatalyst for a Nonenzymatic Electrochemical H₂O₂ Sensor

Duoming Wu^a, Zhaodong Xu^b, Ting Zhang^b, Yubo Shao^b, Pinxian Xi^b, Hua Li^c and

Cailing Xu*b

^aThe First Hospital of Lan Zhou University, ^bState Key Laboratory of Applied

Organic Chemistry, Laboratory of Special Function Materials and Structure Design of

the Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Lanzhou

University, Lanzhou 730000, China, ^cKey Laboratory for Magnetism and Magnetic

Materials of the Ministry of Education, School of Physical Science and Technology,

Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

*Corresponding author:

Cailing Xu: Tel: +86-931-891-2589, FAX: +86-931-891-2582, Email:

xucl@lzu.edu.cn, xucl921chem@163.com



Fig. S1. Amperometric responses of the GCE modified by Cu-MOFs/GO-400 (a), Cu-MOFs/GO-600 (b) and Cu-MOFs/GO-800 (c) to1 mM H₂O₂ at 0.5 V



Fig. S2. Peak currents vs. the square root of the scan rate (v^{1/2}) for (A) Cu-MOFs/GO-400,
(B) Cu-MOFs/GO-600 and (C) Cu-MOFs/GO-800 samples. Insets: cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of the modified electrodes with Cu-MOFs/GO-400, Cu-MOFs/GO-600 and Cu-MOFs/GO-800, repectively, in 5 mM ferricyanide solution containing 0.1 M KCl at different scan rates.



Fig. S3. XRD patterns of (a) Cu-MOFs/GO-400 and (b) Cu-MOFs/GO-800 samples



Fig. S4. TEM images of (A) Cu-MOFs/GO-400 and (B) Cu-MOFs/GO-800 samples



Fig. S5. Amperometric responses of the Cu-MOFs/GO-600 modified GCE at different potentials from 0.4 to 0.8 V with the successive addition of 1 mM H₂O₂



Fig. S6. Amperometric responses of the GCE modified by Cu-MOFs/GO-600 with different mass loading: (a) 10μg, (b) 20 μg and (c) 30 μg