## Electronic supplementary information

# 2,2'-Biphen[*n*]arenes (*n* = 4–8): one-step, high-yield

## synthesis and host-guest properties

Lu Dai, Zhi-Jun Ding, Lei Cui, Jian Li, Xueshun Jia, and Chunju Li

## Contents

1.	Materials and methods.	S2
2.	Synthesis of 2,2'-EtBPns.	S2
3.	Copies of <sup>1</sup> H NMR and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of the new macrocycles.	<b>S</b> 4
4.	VT <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of <b>2,2'-EtBP4</b> and <b>2,2'-EtBP8</b> .	<b>S</b> 9
5.	Additional <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of host-guest mixture.	<b>S</b> 11
6.	Molar ratio plots and determination of $K_a$ .	S14
7.	References.	S20

### **Experimental**

#### Materials and methods

Organic cationic guests  $1^+-4^{2+}$  with tetrakis[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]borate counter anions were prepared from their chloride or bromide salts using our previously reported methods.<sup>[S1]</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV500 instrument. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Bruker Daltonics, Inc. APEXIII 7.0 TESLA FTMS instrument. Melting points were obtained on an X-4 digital melting point apparatus without correction.

#### Synthesis of 2,2'-EtBPns

To the solution of 2,2'-diethoxybiphenyl (4.8 g, 20 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>ClCH<sub>2</sub>Cl (200 mL) was added paraformaldehyde (0.90 g, 30 mmol). Boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (5.0 ml, 40 mmol) was then added to the reaction mixture. The mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 30 minutes. Then the reaction was quenched by addition of 100 mL water. The organic phase was separated and washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and water. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: 10/1, v/v, Petroleum ether: ethyl acetate gradually changing to 2/1) to afford 2,2'-EtBP4 (0.80 g, 16%), 2,2'-EtBP5 (0.72 g, 14%), 2,2'-EtBP6 (0.42 g, 8.4%), 2,2'-EtBP7 (0.32 g, 6.4%), and **2,2'-EtBP8** (0.30 g, 5.9%) as white solids.

**2,2'-EtBP4.** m.p.108–110 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm): 7.14–6.98 (m, 16H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 8H), 3.91 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 16H), 3.85 (s, 8H), 1.18 (t, J = 7.0Hz, 24H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm): 154.8, 133.2, 132.3, 128.5, 128.3, 112.3 (C of biphenyl), 64.1 (C of methylene in ethoxy group), 40.4 (C of methylene bridge) 14.9 (C of methyl in ethoxy group). HRMS (ESI): C<sub>68</sub>H<sub>72</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Na<sup>+</sup>, calcd m/z 1039.5104; found m/z 1039.5117.

**2,2'-EtBP5.** m.p.86–88 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm): 7.10–6.98 (m, 20H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 10H), 3.86 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.9 Hz, 30H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.0Hz,30H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm): 154.7, 133.1, 132.3, 128.4, 128.0, 112.4 (C of biphenyl), 64.0 (C of methylene in ethoxy group), 40.5 (C of methylene bridge), 14.8 (C of methyl in ethoxy group). HRMS (ESI):  $C_{85}H_{90}O_{10}Na^+$ , calcd m/z 1293.6405; found m/z 1293.6234.

**2,2'-EtBP6.** m.p. 90–92 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.08 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 12H), 7.03 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.2 Hz, 12H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 12H), 3.85 (dd, J = 13.6, 6.6 Hz, 36H), 1.12 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 36H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm): 154.6, 133.1, 132.4, 128.4, 128.0, 112.4 (C of biphenyl), 64.0 (C of methylene in ethoxy group), 40.4 (C of methylene bridge), 14.8 (C of methyl in ethoxy group). HRMS (ESI): C<sub>102</sub>H<sub>108</sub>O<sub>12</sub>Na<sup>+</sup>, calcd m/z 1547.7706; found m/z 1547.7764.

**2,2'-EtBP7.** m.p.97–100 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.09 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 14H), 7.03 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.2 Hz, 14H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 14H), 3.85 (dd, J = 13.8, 6.8 Hz, 42H), 1.12 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 42H).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm): 154.6, 133.1, 132.3, 128.4, 127.9, 112.4 (C of biphenyl), 63.98 (C of methylene in ethoxy group), 40.4 (C of methylene bridge), 14.8 (C of methyl in ethoxy group). HRMS (ESI): C<sub>119</sub>H<sub>126</sub>O<sub>14</sub>Na<sup>+</sup>, calcd m/z 1802.9079; found m/z 1802.9056.

**2,2'-EtBP8.** m.p. 92–95 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.10 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 16H), 7.03 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.2 Hz, 16H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 16H), 3.86 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.9 Hz, 48H), 1.12 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 48H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 154.6, 133.1, 132.4, 128.4, 128.0, 112.4 (C of biphenyl), 64.0 (C of methylene in ethoxy group), 40.4 (C of methylene bridge), 14.8 (C of methyl in ethoxy group). HRMS (ESI): C<sub>136</sub>H<sub>144</sub>O<sub>16</sub>NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, calcd m/z 2052.0832; found m/z 2052.0833.

Copies of <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the new macrocycles.



Figure S1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (500 MHz) of 2,2'-EtBP4 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>



Figure S2. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (125 MHz) of 2,2'-EtBP4 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>



Figure S3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (500 MHz) of 2,2'-EtBP5 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>



Figure S4. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (125 MHz) of 2,2'-EtBP5 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>



Figure S5. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (500 MHz) of 2,2'-EtBP6 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>



Figure S6. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (125 MHz) of 2,2'-EtBP6 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S7. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (500 MHz) of 2,2'-EtBP7 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S8. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (125 MHz) of 2,2'-EtBP7 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S9. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (500 MHz) of 2,2'-EtBP8 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S10. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (125 MHz) of 2,2'-EtBP8 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



# VT <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 2,2'-EtBP4 and 2,2'-EtBP8.

**Figure S11.** VT <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **2,2'-EtBP4** in toluene- $d_8$  in the temperature range from -60 °C to 100 °C.



**Figure S12.** VT <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **2,2'-EtBP8** in toluene- $d_8$  in the temperature range from -60 °C to 100 °C.

## Additional <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of host-guest mixture.



**Figure S13.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (500 MHz, 298 K) of **2**<sup>+</sup> (2.0 mM) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> in the absence (A) and presence of ~1.0 equiv. of **2,2'-EtBP4** (B), **2,2'-EtBP5** (C), **2,2'-EtBP6** (D), **2,2'-EtBP7** (E), and **2,2'-EtBP8** (F).



absence (A) and presence of ~1.0 equiv. of 2,2'-EtBP4 (B), 2,2'-EtBP5 (C), 2,2'-EtBP6 (D), 2,2'-EtBP7 (E), and 2,2'-EtBP8 (F).



**Figure S15.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (500 MHz, 298 K) of 4<sup>2+</sup> (2.0 mM) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> in the absence (A) and presence of ~1.0 equiv. of **2,2'-EtBP4** (B), **2,2'-EtBP5** (C), **2,2'-EtBP6** (D), **2,2'-EtBP7** (E), and **2,2'-EtBP8** (F).

### Molar ratio plots and determination of $K_{a}$ .

In the present host-guest systems, chemical exchange is fast on the NMR time scale. To determine the association constants ( $K_a$ ), <sup>1</sup>H NMR titrations were performed in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with solutions which had a constant concentration of 2,2'-EtBP*n* host and varying concentrations of guest. Assuming 1 : 1 binding stoichiometry between 2,2'-EtBP*n*s and these guests, the  $K_a$  values could be calculated by analyzing the sequential changes in chemical shift changes of 2,2'-EtBP*n* host that occurred with changes in guest concentration by using the nonlinear curve-fitting method from the following equation<sup>[S2]</sup>:

 $A = (A_{\infty}/[H]_{0}) (0.5[G]_{0} + 0.5([H]_{0} + 1/K_{a}) - (0.5 ([G]_{0})^{2} + (2[G]_{0}(1/K_{a} - [H]_{0})) + (1/K_{a} + [H]_{0})^{2})^{0.5}))$ 

Where *A* is the chemical shift change of aromatic protons on 2,2'-EtBP*n* host at  $[G]_0$ ,  $A_{\infty}$  is the chemical shift change when the host is completely complexed,  $[H]_0$  is the fixed initial concentration of the 2,2'-EtBP*n* host, and  $[G]_0$  is the initial concentration of guest.

For each host–guest pair examined, the plot of  $\Delta\delta$  as a function of [G]<sub>0</sub> gave an excellent fit (Figure S16~S20), verifying the validity of the 1:1 binding stoichiometry assumed. Additionally, mole ratio plots were also made (Figure S21~25); they proved consistent with the proposed 1 : 1 host–guest binding stoichiometry.



**Figure S16**. The non-linear curve-fitting (NMR titrations) for the complexation of **2,2'-EtBP4** ( $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$  mol/L) with and guest **1**<sup>+</sup> in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K.



**Figure S17**. The non-linear curve-fitting (NMR titrations) for the complexation of **2,2'-EtBP5** ( $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$  mol/L) with and guest **1**<sup>+</sup> in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K.



**Figure S18**. The non-linear curve-fitting (NMR titrations) for the complexation of **2,2'-EtBP6** ( $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$  mol/L) with and guest **1**<sup>+</sup> in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K.



**Figure S19**. The non-linear curve-fitting (NMR titrations) for the complexation of **2,2'-EtBP7** ( $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$  mol/L) with and guest **1**<sup>+</sup> in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K.



**Figure S20**. The non-linear curve-fitting (NMR titrations) for the complexation of **2,2'-EtBP8** ( $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$  mol/L) with and guest **1**<sup>+</sup> in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K.



**Figure S21.** Mole ratio plot for **2,2'-EtBP4** and **1**<sup>+</sup> from <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, 298 K) experiments, wherein **2,2'-EtBP4** (at a fixed concentration) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was treated different molar equivalents of **1**<sup>+</sup>. The results are consistent with a 1 : 1 binding stoichiometry.



**Figure S22.** Mole ratio plot for **2,2'-EtBP5** and **1**<sup>+</sup> from <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, 298 K) experiments, wherein **2,2'-EtBP5** (at a fixed concentration) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was treated different molar equivalents of **1**<sup>+</sup>. The results are consistent with a 1 : 1 binding stoichiometry.



**Figure S23.** Mole ratio plot for **2,2'-EtBP6** and **1**<sup>+</sup> from <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, 298 K) experiments, wherein **2,2'-EtBP6** (at a fixed concentration) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was treated different molar equivalents of **1**<sup>+</sup>. The results are consistent with a 1 : 1 binding stoichiometry.



Figure S24. Mole ratio plot for 2,2'-EtBP7 and  $1^+$  from <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, 298 K) experiments, wherein 2,2'-EtBP7 (at a fixed concentration) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was treated different molar equivalents of  $1^+$ . The results are consistent with a 1 : 1 binding stoichiometry.



**Figure S25.** Mole ratio plot for **2,2'-EtBP8** and **1**<sup>+</sup> from <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, 298 K) experiments, wherein **2,2'-EtBP8** (at a fixed concentration) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was treated different molar equivalents of **1**<sup>+</sup>. The results are consistent with a 1 : 1 binding stoichiometry.

### **References.**

- [S1] C. Li, X. Shu, J. Li, J. Fan, Z. Chen, L. Weng and X. Jia Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 4126–4129.
- [S2] a) K. A. Connors, Binding Constants; Wiley: New York, 1987. Corbin, P. S. Ph.D.
  Dissertation, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, 1999; b) R. P.
  Ashton, R. Ballardini, V. Balzani, M. Belohradsky, M. T. Gandolfi, D. Philp, L. Prodi,
  F. M. Raymo, M. V. Reddington, N. Spencer, J. F. Stoddart, M. Venturi , D. J.
  Williams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 4931–4951; c) Y. Inoue, K. Yamamoto, T.
  Wada, S. Everitt, X.-M. Gao, Z.-J. Hou, L.-H. Tong, S.-K. Jiang, H.-M. Wu, J. Chem.
  Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1998, 1807–1816.