Supporting Information

Synthesis, structure and gas adsorption properties of a stable microporous metal-organic framework assembled from T-shaped pyridyl dicarboxylate ligand

Di Wang, Libo Sun, Yuchuan Liu, Jianfeng Du, Shun Wang, Xiaowei Song* and Zhiqiang Liang*

State Key Laboratory of Inorganic Synthesis and Preparative Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, P. R. China

> *E-mail: <u>xiaoweisong@jlu.edu.cn</u>; <u>liangzq@jlu.edu.cn</u> <i>Fax:* +86-431-85168609



Fig.S1 Representations of the asymmetric units of compounds **1** showing ellipsoid at the 50% probability level.



Fig.S2 Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the simulated, as-synthesized, after gas adsorption/desorption measurements, after CO₂ adsorption/desorption cycles and exposed in the air for 10 months of compound **1**.



Fig.S3 Infra-red spectra of M1, M2, H_2PBPD and compound **1**.



Fig. S4 TGA curves of compound 1 and activated.



Fig. S5 Measured CH_4 and CO_2 isotherms at 273 K and 298 K along with the DSLF fits for compound **1**.



Fig. S6 IAST predicted equimolar gas mixture adsorption selectivities at 273 K (a) and 298 K (b) for compound **1**.



Fig.S7 (a) Nonlinear curves fitting of CO_2 for compound 1 at 273 K and 298 K; (b) Isosteric heat of CO_2 for for compound 1.



Fig.S8 The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of M1.







Fig.S10 The 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra of H₂PBPD.





Formula	C ₂₅ H ₃₁ N ₃ O ₉ Cu	
Formula weight	580.14	
Temperature	296(2) K	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Crystal system, space group	Hexagonal, R-3	
	$a = 18.9711(9)$ Å $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$	
Unit cell dimensions	$b = 18.9711(9)$ Å $\beta = 90^{\circ}$	
	$c = 44.954(2) \text{ Å} \qquad \gamma = 120^{\circ}$	
Volume	14011.3(11) Å ³	
Ζ	18	
Calculated density	0.812 mg/cm ³	
Absorption coefficient	0.714 mm ⁻¹	
F (000)	3474	
Theta range for data collection	1.32 to 25.33°	
Limiting indices	-20≤h≤22, -22≤k≤22, -49≤l≤53	
Reflections collected / unique	30455 / 5645	
R _{int}	0.0452	
Completeness to theta =25.33	99.1%	
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2	
Data / restraints / parameters	5645/0/262	
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.184	
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]^{b}$	$R_1 = 0.0597, wR_2 = 0.2127$	
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0735, wR_2 = 0.2315$	
^a Date based on <i>PLATON/SQUEEZE</i> mode.		

Table S1 Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for compound 1.ª

 ${}^{b}R_{1} = \sum ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \sum |F_{o}|$. $wR_{2} = [\sum [w (F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}] / \sum [w (F_{o}^{2})^{2}]]^{1/2}$.

Dona lenguis	
Cu(1)-O(3)	1.953(3)
Cu(1)-O(4)	1.953(3)
Cu(1)-O(2)	1.971(3)
Cu(1)-O(1)	1.971(3)
Cu(1)-N(1)	2.188(3)
N(1)-C(1)	1.333(6)
N(1)-C(5)	1.336(6)
Bond angels	
O(3)-Cu(1)-O(4)	88.39(15)
O(3)-Cu(1)-O(2)	166.66(12)
O(4)-Cu(1)-O(2)	89.53(16)
O(3)-Cu(1)-O(1)	89.47(16)
O(4)-Cu(1)-O(1)	166.75(12)
O(2)-Cu(1)-O(1)	89.54(17)
O(3)-Cu(1)-N(1)	104.03(13)
O(4)-Cu(1)-N(1)	104.09(13)
O(2)-Cu(1)-N(1)	89.25(13)
O(1)-Cu(1)-N(1)	89.11(13)

Table S2. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg] for compound 1.Bond lengths