## Understanding the Colorimetric Properties of Quinoxaline-Based Pi-Conjugated Copolymers by Tuning their Acceptor Strength: A Joint Theoretical and Experimental Approach

Sébastien Fagour, Damien Thirion, Antoine Vacher, Xavier Sallenave, Gjergji Sini, Pierre-

Henri Aubert, Frédéric Vidal, Claude Chevrot

University of Cergy-Pontoise, Laboratoire de Physicochimie des Polymères et Interfaces

(LPPI), 5 Mail Gay Lussac, Neuville-sur-Oise, 95031 Cergy-Pontoise Cedex (France)

## **1- Geometry Optimization of trimers**

The HOMO energy is influenced by the nature of the substituents, the dihedral angles along the chain, and the polarization effect in the solid state. Due to the generally very weak inter-ring twisting potentials, the difference between the gas phase- and solid state dihedral angles is expected to increase with the increasing dihedral angles across the series (Table S1). Accordingly, the decrease in the IP values resulting from the geometry flattening in solid films is expected to increase across the series. This effect is thus opposite to the increasing IP values predicted on the bases of the increasing electronegativity (hence decreasing HOMO energies) across the series, thus giving a possible explanation for the dichotomy between the theoretical and the experimental trends. The above argument seems to be comforted by the global agreement between the evolution of the theoretical LUMO energies and the trend of the EA<sup>SS</sup> values (globally increasing by 0.20 eV across the series of polymers, Figure S1). The LUMOs are almost exclusively concentrated on the quinoxaline rings, suggesting that the decreasing LUMO energies cannot depend on the D-A dihedral angle but only on the nature of the substituent.

trim 3- OMe <sub>para</sub>	2.6	22.5	6.6	23.7	23.7	6.6	21,9	2.6
trim3-OMe <sub>meta</sub>	-2.2	21.0	3.6	20.7	20.6	3.6	21.1	-1.7
trim3-H	-2.4	20.5	4.0	21.3	21.3	4.0	20.5	-2.4
trim3-F	-0.2	21.4	8.9	21.9	21.9	8.9	21.4	-0.2
trim3-COOMe	-3.7	21.3	6.7	23.5	23.5	7.0	22.0	4.7
trim3-CN	-1.4	25.6	6.0	27.6	27.6	6.0	25.6	-1.4

D<sub>1</sub>A<sub>2</sub> A2D3 D3D4 D4A5 A5D6 D6D7 D7A8 A8D9

Table S1: Dihedral angles for optimized trimer structures (angles in °). The dihedral angles corresponding by symmetry are highlighted.



Figure S1: Evolution of the HOMO-6 to LUMO+8 energy levels corresponding to prodot, diprodot, and the substituted quinoxaline compounds (B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level in "gas phase"). The relative HOMO-LUMO energy gaps as compared to the nonsubstituted compound are also indicated ( $\Delta E_g$ ). All values ate in eV.



Figure S2 : Identification of the dihedral angles along the polymer chain

2- SEC DATA





P3-OMe



P3-F



## P3-COOMe







Figure S3 : GPC chromatogram

References :

(1) Beaujuge, P. M.; Ellinger, S.; Reynolds, J. R. *Nat Mater*, **2008**, *7*, 795.

(2) Li, J.-C.; Kim, S.-J.; Lee, S.-H.; Lee, Y.-S.; Zong, K.; Yu, S.-C. *Macromolecular Research*, **2009**, *17*, 356.

(3) Miao, Q.; Gao, J.; Wang, Z.; Yu, H.; Luo, Y.; Ma, T. *Inorganica Chimica Acta*, **2011**, *376*, 619.