# Supporting Information

## Ferromagnetic photocatalysts of FeTiO<sub>3</sub>-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites

Baizhi Gao<sup>a</sup>, Caiping Yang<sup>a</sup>, Jun Chen<sup>a</sup>, Yuxing Ma<sup>a</sup>, Jiachen Xie<sup>a</sup>, Hao Zhang<sup>a</sup>,

Lujun Wei<sup>b</sup>, Qi Li<sup>a, \*</sup>, Jun Du<sup>b, c, \*</sup>, and Qingyu Xu<sup>a, c, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, China

<sup>b</sup> School of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

<sup>c</sup> National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing

210093, China

#### The crystallite size of xFTO-(1-x)FO nanocomposites

Table S1. The crystallite sizes of xFTO-(1-x)FO nanocomposites from (110) peak using Scherrer's relation.

Samples	x=0.00	x=0.20	x=0.40	x=0.60	x=0.80	x=1.00
Crystallite sizes	60.0 nm	40.7 nm	41.8 nm	66.6 nm	43.0 nm	53.8 nm

#### The fitting results for Fe and Ti using XPS.

Table S2. The fitted results of XPS, in comparison with the EDX data. The calculated x is determined by the relative concentrations of  $Fe^{2+}$  and  $Fe^{3+}$  from FTO and FO.

Samples (xFTO-(1- x)FO)	x=1.00		x=0.80		x=0.60		x=0.00		Annealed at 300 °C		Annealed at 700 °C	
Fitted peak area of	Fe <sup>2+</sup>	Fe <sup>3+</sup>	Fe <sup>2+</sup>	Fe <sup>3+</sup>	Fe <sup>2+</sup>	Fe <sup>3+</sup>						
Fe (%)	68.3	31.7	58.0	42.0	34.5	65.5	11.4	88.6	34.0	66.0	3.9	96.1
Fe <sup>2+</sup> / Fe <sup>3+</sup>	2.15		1.38		0.53		0.13		0.52		0.04	
Fitted peak area of	Ti <sup>3+</sup>	Ti <sup>4+</sup>	Ti <sup>3+</sup>	Ti <sup>4+</sup>	Ti <sup>3+</sup>	Ti <sup>4+</sup>						
Ti (%)	31.6	68.4	33.3	66.7	30.9	69.1	/	/	31.3	68.7	95.4	5.6
Ti <sup>4+</sup> / Ti <sup>3+</sup>	2.16		2.00		2.23		/		2.20		0.06	
Calculated x (XPS)	1.	00	0.89		0.58		0.00		0.57		/	
Measured x (EDX)		/	0.90		0.	0.55 0.00		0.55		0.55		

### The BET surface area of x=0.60 and 1.00 nanocomposites.



Figure S1. The BET surface area of x=0.60 and 1.00 samples. The surface area is  $14.966 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$  for x=0.60 and  $24.554 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$  for x=1.00.

#### The photocatalytic performance of physically mixed xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60)



Figure S2. The photocatalytic performance of physically mixed xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60).

XRD patterns of annealed xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60)



Figure S3. (a) The XRD patterns of xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60) annealed at various temperatures, insets shows the corresponding images of the samples. (b) The magnified view of (110) peaks of the annealed samples.

#### SEM images of annealed xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60)



Figure S4. SEM images of xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60) (a) as-prepared, and annealed at (b) 300 °C, (c) 400 °C, (d) 500 °C and (e) 700 °C, respectively.

Raman spectra of annealed xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60)



Figure S5. Raman spectra of xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60) annealed at different temperatures.



XPS of annealed xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60)

Figure S6. The XPS of annealed xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60) at 300 °C and 700 °C.

Photocatalysis of annealed xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60)



Figure S7. (a) Photo-decolonization ratios of RhB under visible light irradiation, using annealed xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60). (b) Fitting using Pseudo-first-order model.

Magnetic hysteresis loops of annealed xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60)



Figure S8. (a) Magnetic hysteresis loops of annealed xFTO-(1-x)FO (x=0.60), inset shows the dependence of M<sub>sat</sub> on annealed temperatures. (b) Magnified view of M-H curves at low field region.