1	Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)
2	Graphene Oxide Polymer Brushes based Cross-linked
3	Nanocomposite Proton Exchange Membrane for Direct Methanol
4	Fuel Cells
5	
6 7	Tianjian Yang, Zhongli Li, Huilong Lyu, Jianjun Zheng, Jinglan Liu, Fanna Liu, Ziyong Zhang and Huaxin Rao*
8	
9 10	Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, People's Republic of China
11	Email address: traohx@jnu.edu.cn (H.X. Rao)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	

pristine SPSU membrane, SPSU/GO composite membranes and SPSU/FPGO cross-2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

linked membranes were carried out as follows. Membranes were firstly dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 12h, and the weight of dry membranes (W_{dry}) was measured. The dry membranes were fully hydrated in deionized water for 24 h at different temperatures ranging from 30 to 90 °C, then reweighed (W_{wet}) quickly after removing the surface water by tissue paper. The final water uptake value was the average of the three measurements with an error within $\pm 4\%$ using eq 1:

Measurement of Water Uptake. Water uptake measurement of Nafion[®]117

9 Water uptake
$$\binom{6}{} = \frac{\left(W_{wet} - W_{dry}\right)}{W_{dry}} \times 100\%$$
 (1)

Measurement of Area Swelling. Area swelling of the membranes was measured 10 as follows. The pre-measured dry membranes (A_{dry}, cm²) were immersed in deionized 11 water for 24 h at 30 °C and 70 °C, respectively. Then, the wet area of the membranes 12 $(A_{\text{wet}},\,\text{cm}^2)$ were measured, and the area swelling value was calculated with an error 13 within $\pm 1.5\%$ using eq 2: 14

15 Area swelling (%) =
$$\frac{\left(A_{wet} - A_{dry}\right)}{A_{dry}} \times 100\%$$
 (2)

Measurement of IEC. IEC of the membranes was measured by titration method. 16 Dry membranes were weight and then soaked into 1 M NaCl for 24h to completely 17 release all the H⁺ and replace them with Na⁺. The amount of protons liberated from 18 the membranes was titrated using a 0.01 M NaOH solution with phenolphthalein as 19 PH indicator. The IEC value was the average of the three measurements which were 20 obtained using eq 3: 21

1
$$IEC (mmol/g) = \frac{C_{NaOH} \times V_{NaOH}}{W_{dry}}$$
 (3)

Where V_{NaOH} (mL) is the volume of NaOH solution, C_{NaOH} (mol/L) is the concentration of NaOH solution used in titration and W_{dry} (g) is the weight of dried membrane samples.. The final IEC values were the average of the three measurements.



Figure S1. AFM images and height profiles of GO.



Figure S2. DTG curves of GO and FPGO.



Figure S3. Nanosize and distribution of GO, PGO and FPGO nanosheets by DLS.



Figure S4. (a) GO and FPGO dispersion images in DMAc by ultrasonication, (b) Solubility test of SPSU/GO-2 composite membrane and SPSU/FPGO-2.2 cross-linked membrane.



Fig. S5. TEM images of SPSU/GO-1 and SPSU/FPGO-1 membranes.



Figure S6. Area swelling of SPSU, SPSU/GO and SPSU/FPGO membranes at 30 °C.



Figure S7. Temperature-dependent proton conductivity of (a), (b) and (c) SPSU/GO and SPSU/FPGO membranes with the same inorganic contents,

Table S1. IEC, water uptake, area swelling and proton conductivity of the membranes.

Membrane	IEC (mmol·g ⁻¹)	WU (%)				Area swelling (%)		σ (S cm ⁻¹)			
		30°C	50°C	70°C	90°C	30°C	70°C	30°C	50°C	70°C	90°C
SPSU	1.44	36.2	46.5	65.7	127.3	5.93	20.18	0.130	0.172	0.247	0.358
SPSU/GO-1	1.40	50.7	55.5	73.2	116.3	11.88	23.19	0.152	0.214	0.281	0.416
SPSU/GO-2	1.41	49.9	52.9	70.5	114.4	18.63	23.31	0.128	0.166	0.223	0.349
SPSU/GO-3	1.23	49.4	50.9	67.6	113.5	17.98	23.90	0.113	0.152	0.206	0.313
SPSU/FPGO-1.1	1.23	42.9	47.2	61.6	104.0	8.86	15.09	0.142	0.203	0.309	0.462
SPSU/FPGO-2.2	1.26	42.1	43.6	65.1	104.1	14.28	19.72	0.117	0.163	0.257	0.383
SPSU/FPGO-3.3	1.21	40.4	43.5	59.1	94.4	13.11	21.59	0.103	0.142	0.239	0.354
_											