Negatively charged metal-organic hosts with cobalt dithiolene species: Improving PET processes for light-driven proton reduction through host-guest electrostatic interaction

Junkai Cai, Liang Zhao,* Jianwei Wei, Cheng He, Saran Long and Chunying Duan*

State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals Dalian University of Technology Dalian 116024, China

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: zhaol@dlut.edu.cn; cyduan@dlut.edu.cn.

Table of Contents

- **1.** Experimental Section.
- 2. Preparation and Characterizations.
- **3.** Single Crystal X-ray Crystallography.
- 4. ESI-MS Spectral.
- 5. Data for Spectral Titrations.
- 6. Data Relative to Hydrogen Production.
- 7. References.

1. Experimental Section.

All chemicals were of reagent grade quality obtained from commercial sources. Unless stated otherwise, all operations were carried out under an atmosphere of dry argon using Schlenk and vacuum techniques. Solvents were dried by standard methods and freshly distilled prior to use. ¹H NMR spectra were measured on a Varian INOVA 400 M spectrometer. ESI mass spectra were carried out on a HPLC-Q-Tof MS spectrometer using methanol as mobile phase. Uv-vis spectra were measured on a HP 8453 spectrometer. The elemental analyses of C, H and N were performed on a Vario EL III elemental analyzer. The solution fluorescent spectra were measured on Edinburgh FS-920. The nanosecond time-resolved transient difference absorption spectra were obtained by using an Edinburgh LP-980 instrument (Edinburgh Instruments, UK). All electrochemical measurements were carried out under argon gas under room temperature performed on a ZAHNER ENNIUM electrochemical workstation with a conventional three-electrode system with a homemade Ag/AgCl electrode as a reference electrode, a platinum silk with 0.5 mM diameter as a counter electrode, and glassy carbon electrode as a working electrode.

For photoinduced hydrogen evolution, each sample was made in a 15 mL flask. Varying amounts of the catalyst, **AA** and Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂ in 1:1:1 DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O were added to obtain a total volume of 5.0 mL. The flask was sealed with a septum and protected from air, then degassed by bubbling argon gas for 20 min under atmospheric pressure under room temperature. The pH of this solution was adjusted to a specific pH by adding H₂SO₄ or NaOH and measured with a pH meter. After that, the samples were irradiated by a 500 W Xenon lamp. The reaction temperature was characterized by GC 7890T instrument analysis using a 5 Å molecular sieve column (0.6 m × 3 mm), thermal conductivity detector, and argon used as carrier gas. The amount of hydrogen generated was determined by the external standard method. Hydrogen in the resulting solution was not measured and the slight effect of the hydrogen gas.^{S1}

2. Preparation and Characterizations.

Scheme S1 The synthetic route of ligand H₂BAS, H₄NAS and H₆TAS.



Ligand H_2BAS , H_4NAS and H_6TAS were synthesized according to the literature.^{S2, S3} Synthesis of compound 1:

1,2-Dichlorobenzene (10.72 g, 71.0 mmol) was added dropwise to a suspension of sodium isopropylmercaptane (34.94 g, 356.0 mmol) in DMF (200 mL). The mixture was stirred for 3 days at 100°C and was then cool to ambient temperature. It was then poured into water (500 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether (3×100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄ and filtered. Evaporation of the filtrate and evaporate under vacuum yielded compound **1** as a yellow oil. The crude product was purify by column chromatography (SiO₂, petrol ether), and the colorless oil compound **1** was obtained. Yield: 13.66 g, 85%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, ppm): δ 7.33 (dd, 2H; ArH), 7.14 (dd, 2H; ArH), 3.48 (m, 2H; SCH), 1.38 (d, 6H; CH₃), 1.27 (d, 6H; CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz, ppm): δ 137.5, 130.6, 126.3, 36.8, 22.8.

Synthesis of compound 2:

A sample of n-butyllithium (8.8 mL of a 2.5 M solution in hexane, 22.0 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of TMEDA (3.3 mL, 22.0 mmol) and compound 1 (5.0 g, 22.0 mmol) in hexane (200 mL) at 0°C. After 1 h, the ice bath was removed and the stirring was continued under ambient temperature overnight to result in the formation of yellow slurry. The compound 2 was used directly without further purification for the next step reaction.

Synthesis of compound **3**:

Dry CO₂ was bubbled through a slurry of compound **2** (22.0 mmol) in hexane at 0°C for 2 h. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was dissolved in water and acidified with hydrochloric acid (37%) to pH 2. The aqueous solution was extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 100 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄. Volatiles were removed in vacuum and the product was recrystallized from hexane to yield an off-white powder. Yield: 3.39 g, 57%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, ppm): δ 12.24 (s, 1H; CO₂H), 7.66 (dd, 1H; ArH), 7.38 (m, 2H; ArH), 3.54 (m, 2H; SCH), 1.38 (d, 6H; CH₃), 1.27 (d, 6H; CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz, ppm): δ 171.3, 146.1, 137.8, 129.9, 129.4, 128.8, 126.1, 40.4, 36.1, 22.8, 22.4.

Synthesis of compound 4:

Thionyl chloride (1 ml) were added to a solution of compound 3 (1.08 g, 4.0 mmol) in chloroform (10 ml). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux conditions for 3 h, and then the solvent was removed in vacuum. The compound **4** was used directly without further purification for the next step reaction.

Synthesis of H₂**BAS** precursor:

Freshly prepared compound **4** was dissolved in THF (20 mL) and this solution was added to a solution of aniline (372.51 mg, 4.0 mmol) and NEt₃ (0.62 mL, 4.0 mmol) in THF (40 mL) at 0°C. Then, the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h under ambient temperature. Subsequently, insoluble material was removed by filtration and the solvent was removed from the filtrate under vacuum forming orange oil, which was dissolved in ethyl acetate and n-hexane was added slowly to give an off-white powder. Yield: 0.69 g, 50%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, ppm): δ 8.87 (s, 1H; NH), 7.63 (m, 3H; ArH), 7.37 (m, 4H; aniline-H), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H; aniline-H), 3.47 (m, 2H; CH(CH₃)₂), 1.40 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 6H; CH(CH₃)₂), 1.21 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 6H;

CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz, ppm): *δ* 165.2, 144.8, 141.3, 137.0, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.3, 125.1, 123.4, 119.0, 40.2, 35.2, 22.0, 21.7.

Synthesis of H₂BAS:

Dry, freshly distilled THF (20 mL) was added to a mixture of precursor (172.8 mg, 0.5 mmol), sodium (69.0 mg, 3.0 mmol) and naphthalene (128.2 mg, 1.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at 25°C. Subsequently, methanol (5 mL) was added to remove unreacted sodium. The solvents were then removed under vacuum. The solid residue was dissolved in degassed water and the resulting solution was washed three times with degassed diethyl ether (3×20 mL). The aqueous solution was filtered and HCl (37%) was added dropwise until a white precipitate formed. This precipitate was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with sat. NaCl aq. and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to give the product H₂BAS. Yield: 0.12 g, 90%.

Preparation of Co-**BAS**(Et₄N)₂:

A DMF solution (10 mL) of Co(BF₄)₂·6H₂O (183.9 mg, 0.54 mmol) was added with stirring to a DMF solution (20 mL) of H₂**BAS** and NaOH (86.4 mg, 2.16 mmol). A deep blue solution developed immediately and it was left to stir overnight. To this solution, NEt₄Cl (89.5 mg, 0.54 mmol) was added. The solution was allowed to stir under room temperature for 4 h. Then, the solution poured into 200 mL diethyl ether and a blue precipitate formed. Yield: 65%. Elemental analysis calcd for Co(C₁₃H₉NOS₂)₂·NC₈H₂₀: H, 5.41; C, 57.69; N, 5.94. Found: H, 5.46; C, 57.28; N, 5.91. ESI-MS: m/z = 577.1617 [Co(**BAS**)]⁻.

Synthesis of H₄NAS precursor:

Freshly prepared compound **4** was dissolved in THF (20 mL) and this solution was added to a solution of 1,5-diaminonaphthalene (284.8 mg, 1.8 mmol) and NEt₃ (0.31 mL, 2.0 mmol) in THF (40 mL) at 0°C. Then, the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h under ambient temperature. Subsequently, insoluble material was removed by filtration and the solvent was removed from the filtrate under vacuum. The pure product was obtained after washing with diethyl ether. Yield: 1.06 g, 89%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, ppm): δ 10.39 (s, 2H; NH), 8.23 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H; naphthalene-H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H; naphthalene-H), 7.58 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H; naphthalene-H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H; ArH), 7.37 (dd, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2.4 Hz, 2H; ArH), 7.19 (dd, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2.4 Hz, 2H; ArH), 3.60 (m, 4H; CH(CH₃)₂), 1.38 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 12H; CH₃), 1.27 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 12H; CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz, ppm): δ 166.8, 146.1, 142.3, 133.4, 129.3, 128.7, 128.5, 127.9, 126.5, 126.2, 120.4, 118.8, 41.4, 36.3, 23.0, 22.7.

Synthesis of H₄NAS:

Dry, freshly distilled THF (20 mL) was added to a mixture of precursor (358.0 mg, 0.54 mmol), sodium (184.0 mg, 8.0 mmol), and naphthalene (346.1 mg, 2.70 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at 25°C. Subsequently methanol (5 mL) was added to remove unreacted sodium. The solvents were then removed under vacuum. The solid residue was dissolved in degassed water and the resulting solution was washed three times with degassed diethyl ether (3×20 mL). The aqueous solution was filtered and HCl (37%) was added dropwise until a white precipitate formed. This precipitate was isolated by filtration and washed with water and diethyl ether. The solid residue was dried under vacuum to give ligand H₄NAS as off-white powder, which was used directly without further purification for subsequent reaction.

Preparation of Co-NAS/(Et₄N)₂:

A CH₃OH solution of Co(BF₄)₂·6H₂O (183.9 mg, 0.54 mmol) was added with stirring to a DMF solution (20 mL) of H₂NAS and NaOH (86.4 mg, 2.16 mmol). A deep blue solution developed immediately and it was left to stir overnight. To this solution, NEt₄Cl (89.5 mg, 0.54 mmol) was added, and the solution was allowed to stir under room temperature for 4 h. Then, the solution poured into 200 mL diethyl ether, a blue precipitate formed. The solid was collected and re-dissolved in DMF, dark blue crystals of Co–NAS(Et₄N)₂ suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained by diffusing diethyl ether into the DMF solution. yield: 65%. Elemental analysis calcd for Co₂(C₂₄H₁₄N₂O₂S₄)₂·2NC₈H₂₀·2C₃H₇NO: H, 5.49; C, 55.83; N, 7.44. Found: H, 5.51; C, 55.67; N, 7.41. ESI-MS: m/z = 548.9222 [Co₂(NAS)₂]²⁻.

Preparation of Co–NAS/[Ru(bpy)₃]₂:

A CH₃OH solution of Co(BF₄)₂·6H₂O (183.9 mg, 0.54 mmol) was added with stirring to a DMF solution (20 mL) of H₂NAS and NaOH (86.4 mg, 2.16 mmol). A deep blue solution developed immediately and it was left to stir overnight. Then, the solution poured into 200 mL diethyl ether, a dark blue precipitate formed. The solid was collected and re-dissolved in DMF, to this solution, Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂ (404.2 mg, 0.54 mmol) was added, and the solution was allowed to stir under room temperature for 4 h, dark green crystals of Co–NAS[Ru(bpy)₃]₂ suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained by diffusing diethyl ether into the DMF solution. Yield:

65%. Elemental analysis calcd for Co₂(C₂₄H₁₄N₂O₂S₄)₂·2Ru(C₁₀H₈N₂)₃·2Cl·C₃H₇NO: C, 55.96; H, 3.51; N, 9.99; S, 10.77; found: C, 55.88; H, 3.62; N, 10.04; S, 10.75.

Synthesis of H₆TAS precursor:

Freshly prepared compound **4** was dissolved in THF (20 mL) and this solution was added to a solution of 4, 4', 4''-triaminotriphenylamine^{S4} (386.2 mg, 1.33 mmol) and NEt₃ (0.31 mL, 2.0 mmol) in THF (40 mL) at 0°C. Then, the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h under ambient temperature. Subsequently, insoluble material was removed by filtration and the solvent was removed from the filtrate under vacuum. The pure product was obtained after washing with diethyl ether. Yield: 1.25 g, 90%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, ppm): δ 8.85 (s, 3H; NH), 7.62 (dd, *J* =7.2 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 3H; ArH), 7.55 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 6H; triphenylamine-H), 7.36 (m, 6H; ArH), 7.11 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 6H; triphenylamine-H), 3.60 (m, 6H; CH(CH₃)₂), 1.36 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 18H; CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz, ppm): δ 166.0, 145.9, 144.3, 142.1, 132.9, 129.1, 128.9, 128.3, 126.3, 124.5, 121.3, 41.3, 36.3, 23.1, 22.7.

Synthesis of H₆TAS:

Dry, freshly distilled THF (20 mL) was added to a mixture of precursor (366.6 mg, 0.35 mmol), sodium (184.0 mg, 8.0 mmol), and naphthalene (337.1 mg, 2.63 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at 25°C. Subsequently methanol (5 mL) was added to remove unreacted sodium. The solvents were then removed under vacuum. The solid residue was dissolved in degassed water and the resulting solution was washed three times with degassed diethyl ether (3×20 mL). The aqueous solution was filtered and HCl (37%) was added dropwise until a white precipitate formed. This precipitate was isolated by filtration and washed with water and diethyl ether. The solid residue was dried under vacuum to give ligand H₆TAS as yellow powder, which was used directly without further purification for subsequent reaction.

Preparation of Co-TAS/(Et₄N)₃:

A CH₃OH solution of Co(BF₄)₂·6H₂O (183.9 mg, 0.54 mmol) was added with stirring to a DMF solution (20 mL) of H₆**TAS** and NaOH (86.4 mg, 2.16 mmol). A blue solution developed immediately and it was left to stir overnight. To this solution added NEt₄Cl (89.5 mg, 0.54 mmol), and the solution was allowed to stir under room temperature for 4 h. The solution poured into 200 mL diethyl ether. The solid was collected and re-dissolved in DMF, dark blue crystals of Co–**TAS**(Et₄N)₃ suitable for

single crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained by diffusing diethyl ether into the DMF solution. Yield: 65%. Elemental analysis calcd for $Co_3(C_{39}H_{24}N_4O_3S_6)_2 \cdot 3NC_8H_{20}$ 2C₃H₇NO: H, 5.37; C, 56.60; N, 7.95. Found: H, 5.39; C, 56.57; N, 7.78. ESI-MS: $m/z = 584.9067 [Co_3(TAS)_2]^3$.

3. Single Crystal X-ray Crystallography.

Intensities of single crystals were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromated Mo-K α ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) using the SMART and SAINT programs.^{S5,S6} The structure was solved by direct methods and refined on F² by full-matrix least-squares methods with SHELXTL *version* 5.1 software.^{S7}

Crystal data of Co–**NAS**: Co₂(C₂₄H₁₄N₂O₂S₄)₂·2NC₈H₂₀·4C₃H₇NO·CH₃OH·H₂O, M = 1702.02, Triclinic, space group P-1, black blue rod, a = 10.9909(6), b = 12.8403(7), c = 17.7740(9) Å, $\alpha = 69.3587(13)$, $\beta = 88.5929(14)$, $\gamma = 75.5745(15)$, V = 2267.8(2) Å³, Z = 2, Dc = 1.246 g·cm⁻³, μ (Mo-K α) = 0.606 mm⁻¹, T = 220(2) K. 7890 unique reflections [$R_{int} = 0.0448$]. Final R_I [with $I > 2\sigma(I)$] = 0.0748, wR_2 (all data) = 0.1992 for the data collected. CCDC number 1916150.

Crystal data of Co–NAS/[Ru(bpy)₃]₂: Co₂(C₂₄H₁₄N₂O₂S₄)₂·2Ru(C₁₀H₈N₂)₃·2Cl 4C₃H₇NO·4CH₃OH·6H₂O, M = 2837.88, Triclinic, space group P-1, black green rod, a = 13.8188(8), b = 14.0788(9), c = 18.6554(12) Å, $a = 94.464(2), \beta = 101.089(2), \gamma =$ 99.865(2), V = 3485.9(4) Å³, Z = 2, Dc = 1.352 g cm⁻³, μ (Mo-K α) = 0.671 mm⁻¹, T =200(2) K. 12095 unique reflections [$R_{int} = 0.0762$]. Final R_I [with $I > 2\sigma(I)$] = 0.0910, wR_2 (all data) = 0.2175 for the data collected. CCDC number 1916151.

Crystal data of Co–**TAS**: Co₃(C₃₉H₂₄N₄O₃S₆)₂·3NC₈H₂₀·9C₃H₇NO·2H₂O, M = 2839.40, Triclinic, space group P-1, black blue rod, a = 13.8759(13), b = 19.6896(18), c = 28.663(3) Å, a = 109.279(3), $\beta = 93.004(4)$, $\gamma = 105.142(4)$, V = 7052.2(11) Å³, Z = 2, Dc = 1.337 g cm⁻³, μ (Mo-K α) = 0.594 mm⁻¹, T = 199(2) K. 24545 unique reflections [$R_{int} = 0.0484$]. Final R_I [with $I > 2\sigma(I)$] = 0.0827, wR_2 (all data) = 0.2443 for the data collected. CCDC number 1916152.

In the structural refinement of Co–**NAS**, all the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms within the ligand backbones and the solvent DMF and methanol molecules were fixed geometrically at calculated distances and allowed to ride on the parent non-hydrogen atoms. To assist the stability of refinements, the carbon atoms of methylene on each Et_4N^+ cation were disordered into two parts with the *s.o.f* of each part being fixed at 0.5.

In the structural refinement of Co–**NAS**/[Ru(bpy)₃]₂, all the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms within the ligand backbones, $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ and the solvent DMF and methanol molecules were fixed geometrically at calculated distances and allowed to ride on the parent non-hydrogen atoms. One DMF

and two methanol molecules were restrained as idealized regular polygons.

In the structural refinement of Co–TAS, all the non-hydrogen atoms in the structure of Co–TAS were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms within the ligand backbones, counter cations and the solvent molecules were fixed geometrically at calculated distances and allowed to ride on the parent non-hydrogen atoms.

Figure S3.1 The crystal structure of Co–**NAS** with Et_4N^+ and the filling pattern of the host and guests. The Co, S, N, O, and C are drawn in cyan, yellow, blue, red, white, and grey, respectively.



Figure S3.2 An ORTEP plot of the molecular tetrahedron Co–**NAS**, showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids of non-hydrogen atoms.



	bond distance (Å)		bond distance (Å)
Co(1)-S(3)	2.1571(13)	S(2)-C(2)	1.758(5)
Co(1)-S(2)	2.1616(12)	S(3)-C(23)	1.748(5)
Co(1)-S(1)	2.1660(12)	S(3)-Co(1)	2.1571(13)
Co(1)-S(4)	2.1692(12)	S(4)-C(24)	1.758(4)
S(1)-C(1)	1.764(4)	S(4)-Co(1)	2.1692(12)

 Table S3.1 Selective bond distance (Å) in Co-NAS.

 Table S3.2 Selective bond angle (°) in Co-NAS.

	bond angle (°)		bond angle (°)
S(3)-Co(1)-S(2)	88.30(5)	C(24)-S(4)-Co(1)	105.12(16)
S(3)-Co(1)-S(1)	176.22(6)	C(6)-C(1)-S(1)	122.2(3)
S(2)-Co(1)-S(1)	91.42(5)	C(2)-C(1)-S(1)	117.8(3)
S(3)-Co(1)-S(4)	91.73(5)	C(1)-C(2)-S(2)	119.9(3)
S(2)-Co(1)-S(4)	177.17(6)	C(3)-C(2)-S(2)	120.5(4)
S(1)-Co(1)-S(4)	88.73(4)	C(22)-C(23)-S(3)	120.0(4)
C(1)-S(1)-Co(1)	105.68(16)	C(24)-C(23)-S(3)	119.4(4)
C(2)-S(2)-Co(1)	105.07(16)	C(19)-C(24)-S(4)	123.0(4)
C(23)-S(3)-Co(1)	105.30(17)	C(23)-C(24)-S(4)	118.4(3)

Figure S3.3 An ORTEP plot of the molecular tetrahedron Co–**NAS**[Ru(bpy)₃]₂, showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids of non-hydrogen atoms.



	bond distance (Å)		bond distance (Å)
Co(1)-S(1)	2.1560(18)	S(4)-C(23)	1.748(8)
Co(1)-S(4)	2.158(2)	S(4)-Co(1)	2.158(2)
Co(1)-S(3)	2.1637(19)	Ru(1)-N(102)	2.051(6)
Co(1)-S(2)	2.165(2)	Ru(1)-N(103)	2.053(6)
S(1)-C(1)	1.745(7)	Ru(1)-N(101)	2.054(5)
S(2)-C(2)	1.766(8)	Ru(1)-N(106)	2.057(5)
S(3)-C(24)	1.750(6)	Ru(1)-N(104)	2.060(6)
S(3)-Co(1)	2.1637(19)	Ru(1)-N(105)	2.061(5)

 Table S3.3 Selective bond distance (Å) in Co-NAS[Ru(bpy)₃]₂.

	bond angle (°)		bond angle (°)
S(1)-Co(1)-S(4)	171.27(10)	N(103)-Ru(1)-N(106)	94.5(2)
S(1)-Co(1)-S(3)	87.40(7)	N(101)-Ru(1)-N(106)	172.9(2)
S(4)-Co(1)-S(3)	91.46(8)	N(102)-Ru(1)-N(104)	174.6(2)
S(1)-Co(1)-S(2)	91.31(7)	N(103)-Ru(1)-N(104)	78.8(2)
S(4)-Co(1)-S(2)	90.60(8)	N(101)-Ru(1)-N(104)	97.1(2)
S(3)-Co(1)-S(2)	174.60(10)	N(106)-Ru(1)-N(104)	88.8(2)
C(1)-S(1)-Co(1)	105.8(2)	N(102)-Ru(1)-N(105)	88.4(2)
C(2)-S(2)-Co(1)	104.9(3)	N(103)-Ru(1)-N(105)	171.2(2)
C(24)-S(3)-Co(1)	105.3(2)	N(101)-Ru(1)-N(105)	96.6(2)
C(23)-S(4)-Co(1)	105.0(3)	N(106)-Ru(1)-N(105)	78.9(2)
C(7)-N(1)-C(8)	121.4(6)	N(104)-Ru(1)-N(105)	95.2(2)
C(18)-N(2)-C(17)	121.9(6)	C(101)-N(101)-C(105)	117.9(6)
C(2)-C(1)-C(6)	119.4(6)	C(101)-N(101)-Ru(1)	126.2(5)
C(2)-C(1)-S(1)	118.9(5)	C(105)-N(101)-Ru(1)	115.9(4)
C(6)-C(1)-S(1)	121.6(5)	C(110)-N(102)-C(106)	118.5(6)
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	120.2(7)	C(110)-N(102)-Ru(1)	125.4(5)
C(1)-C(2)-S(2)	119.0(6)	C(106)-N(102)-Ru(1)	116.1(4)
C(3)-C(2)-S(2)	120.8(6)	C(111)-N(103)-C(115)	119.3(6)
N(102)-Ru(1)-N(103)	98.1(2)	C(111)-N(103)-Ru(1)	125.9(5)
N(102)-Ru(1)-N(101)	78.4(2)	C(115)-N(103)-Ru(1)	114.7(5)
N(103)-Ru(1)-N(101)	90.5(2)	C(120)-N(104)-C(116)	117.8(7)
N(102)-Ru(1)-N(106)	95.9(2)	C(120)-N(104)-Ru(1)	126.8(5)

 Table S3.4 Selective bond angle (°) in Co-NAS[Ru(bpy)₃]₂.

	bond angle (°)		bond angle (°)
C(116)-N(104)-Ru(1)	115.4(5)	N(103)-C(111)-C(112)	120.0(9)
C(121)-N(105)-C(125)	118.8(6)	N(103)-C(115)-C(114)	120.9(8)
C(121)-N(105)-Ru(1)	126.3(5)	N(103)-C(115)-C(116)	115.2(6)
C(125)-N(105)-Ru(1)	114.8(5)	N(104)-C(116)-C(117)	120.7(8)
C(130)-N(106)-C(126)	117.9(6)	N(104)-C(116)-C(115)	115.1(6)
C(130)-N(106)-Ru(1)	126.5(5)	N(104)-C(120)-C(119)	123.6(9)
C(126)-N(106)-Ru(1)	115.6(5)	N(105)-C(121)-C(122)	123.2(7)
N(101)-C(101)-C(102)	122.3(7)	N(105)-C(125)-C(124)	120.7(7)
N(101)-C(105)-C(104)	121.5(7)	N(105)-C(125)-C(126)	115.1(6)
N(101)-C(105)-C(106)	114.2(6)	N(106)-C(126)-C(127)	121.4(7)
N(102)-C(106)-C(107)	122.3(7)	N(106)-C(126)-C(125)	115.3(6)
N(102)-C(106)-C(105)	115.3(6)	N(106)-C(130)-C(129)	123.5(7)
N(102)-C(110)-C(109)	121.1(8)		

Figure S3.4 An ORTEP plot of the molecular tetrahedron Co–**TAS**, showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids of non-hydrogen atoms.



	bond distance (Å)		bond distance (Å)
Co(1)-S(7)	2.154(2)	S(1)-C(101)	1.738(7)
Co(1)-S(2)	2.164(2)	S(2)-C(102)	1.762(7)
Co(1)-S(1)	2.1665(18)	S(3)-C(126)	1.762(5)
Co(1)-S(8)	2.1671(18)	S(4)-C(125)	1.757(6)
Co(2)-S(9)	2.1607(15)	S(5)-C(139)	1.760(5)
Co(2)-S(10)	2.1618(17)	S(6)-C(138)	1.757(5)
Co(2)-S(3)	2.1655(15)	S(7)-C(201)	1.755(7)
Co(2)-S(4)	2.1667(16)	S(8)-C(202)	1.751(8)
Co(3)-S(12)	2.1662(15)	S(9)-C(226)	1.755(6)
Co(3)-S(11)	2.1663(15)	S(10)-C(225)	1.756(6)
Co(3)-S(5)	2.1692(14)	S(11)-C(239)	1.754(5)
Co(3)-S(6)	2.1694(15)	S(12)-C(238)	1.756(6)

 Table S3.5 Selective bond distance (Å) in Co-TAS.

 Table S3.6 Selective bond angle (°) in Co-TAS.

	bond angle (°)		bond angle (°)
S(7)-Co(1)-S(2)	177.01(7)	C(139)-S(5)-Co(3)	104.97(16)
S(7)-Co(1)-S(1)	86.34(7)	C(138)-S(6)-Co(3)	105.62(17)
S(2)-Co(1)-S(1)	91.76(8)	C(201)-S(7)-Co(1)	105.1(2)
S(7)-Co(1)-S(8)	91.83(8)	C(202)-S(8)-Co(1)	104.9(2)
S(2)-Co(1)-S(8)	90.05(7)	C(226)-S(9)-Co(2)	105.36(19)
S(1)-Co(1)-S(8)	178.13(9)	C(225)-S(10)-Co(2)	104.7(2)
S(9)-Co(2)-S(10)	91.78(6)	C(239)-S(11)-Co(3)	105.47(19)
S(9)-Co(2)-S(3)	86.72(5)	C(238)-S(12)-Co(3)	105.08(18)
S(10)-Co(2)-S(3)	177.17(7)	C(102)-C(101)-S(1)	119.1(5)
S(9)-Co(2)-S(4)	177.36(7)	C(106)-C(101)-S(1)	121.8(5)
S(10)-Co(2)-S(4)	89.85(6)	C(103)-C(102)-S(2)	120.7(5)
S(3)-Co(2)-S(4)	91.73(6)	C(101)-C(102)-S(2)	119.2(5)
S(12)-Co(3)-S(11)	91.40(6)	C(124)-C(125)-S(4)	120.8(5)
S(12)-Co(3)-S(5)	178.90(6)	C(126)-C(125)-S(4)	119.1(4)
S(11)-Co(3)-S(5)	87.80(5)	C(121)-C(126)-S(3)	121.7(4)
S(12)-Co(3)-S(6)	89.45(6)	C(125)-C(126)-S(3)	118.8(4)
S(11)-Co(3)-S(6)	178.91(6)	C(137)-C(138)-S(6)	121.4(4)
S(5)-Co(3)-S(6)	91.34(5)	C(139)-C(138)-S(6)	118.4(4)
C(101)-S(1)-Co(1)	105.3(2)	C(138)-C(139)-S(5)	119.6(4)
C(102)-S(2)-Co(1)	104.5(2)	C(134)-C(139)-S(5)	121.2(4)
C(126)-S(3)-Co(2)	105.15(19)	C(202)-C(201)-S(7)	119.1(6)
C(125)-S(4)-Co(2)	105.13(18)	C(206)-C(201)-S(7)	121.0(5)

	bond angle (°)		bond angle (°)
C(203)-C(202)-S(8)	120.8(5)	C(225)-C(226)-S(9)	118.4(4)
C(201)-C(202)-S(8)	119.0(5)	C(239)-C(238)-S(12)	119.3(4)
C(224)-C(225)-S(10)	121.3(5)	C(237)-C(238)-S(12)	121.0(4)
C(226)-C(225)-S(10)	119.8(4)	C(238)-C(239)-S(11)	118.6(4)
C(221)-C(226)-S(9)	121.3(4)	C(234)-C(239)-S(11)	122.0(4)

4. ESI-MS Spectra.

Figure S4.1. ESI-MS spectrum of Co–NAS (0.10 mM) in DMF solution. The insert shows the measured and simulated isotopic patterns at m/z = 548.9222.



Figure S4.2. ESI-MS spectra of Co–TAS (0.10 mM) in DMF solution. The insert shows the measured and simulated isotopic patterns at m/z = 584.9067.



Figure S4.3. ESI-MS spectra of Co–**BAS** (0.10 mM) in DMF solution. The insert shows the measured and simulated isotopic patterns at m/z = 577.1617.



5. Data for Spectral Titrations.

Figure S5.1. ¹H NMR spectra (aromatic region) of free Co–**NAS** (0.1 mM) (a), free $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ (0.1 mM) (c) and of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ (0.2 mM) and Co–**NAS** (0.1 mM) (b) in d_6 -DMSO.



Figure S5.2. ¹H NMR spectra (aromatic region) of free Co–**TAS** (0.1 mM) (a), free $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ (0.1 mM) (c) and of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ (0.3 mM) and Co–**TAS** (0.1 mM) (b) in d_6 -DMSO.



Figure S5.3. (Left) Family of luminescence spectra of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ (50 μ M) in DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O = 1:1:1 solution at pH 4.15 upon the addition of Co–**NAS**. (Right) The Stern-Volmer Fitting of the titration curve. Fluorescence intensity was recorded at 618 nm, excited at 460 nm.



Figure S5.4. (Left) Family of luminescence spectra of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ (50 µM) in DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O = 1:1:1 solution at pH 4.15 upon the addition of Co–**TAS**. (Right) The Stern-Volmer Fitting of the titration curve. Fluorescence intensity was recorded at 618 nm, excited at 460 nm.



Figure S5.5. (Left) Family of luminescence spectra of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ (50 µM) in DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O = 1:1:1 solution at pH 4.15 upon the addition of **AA**. (Right) The Stern-Volmer Fitting of the titration curve. Fluorescence intensity was recorded at 618 nm, excited at 460 nm.



Figure S5.6. Family of luminescence spectra of 50 μ M Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ with 40.0 μ M Co–**NAS** (left)/Co–**TAS** (right) in DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O = 1:1:1 solution at pH 4.15 upon the addition of Et₄NCl. Fluorescence was excited at 460 nm.



Figure S5.7. Cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 mM Co–**BAS** in DMF containing 0.10 M TBAPF₆. Scan Rate: 100 mV/s.



Figure S5.8. Transient absorption spectra of $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+*}$ (Left) and in the presence of **AA** (0.1 M) and Co–**NAS** (40.0 μ M) (Right) in DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O = 1:1:1 solution at 298 K after the laser flash under Ar.



Figure S5.9. The ITC experiments were performed by an isothermal titration microcalorimeter under atmospheric pressure and at 25.0 °C, giving the association constants (K) and the thermodynamic parameters. A solution of host in a 0.25 mL syringe was sequentially injected with stirring at 250 rpm into a solution of guest in the sample cell (1.30 mL volume). All the thermodynamic parameters reported in this work were obtained by using the 'independent' model.

Microcalorimetric titration of Co–**NAS** with $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ in DMF solution at 298.15 K. (Top) Raw data for sequential 25 injections (10 µL per injection) of Co–**NAS** solution (1.0 mM) injecting into $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ solution (0.2 mM). (Bottom) Apparent reaction heat obtained from the integration of calorimetric traces.



Figure S5.10. The ITC experiments were performed by an isothermal titration microcalorimeter under atmospheric pressure and at 25.0 °C, giving the association constants (K) and the thermodynamic parameters. A solution of host in a 0.25 mL syringe was sequentially injected with stirring at 250 rpm into a solution of guest in the sample cell (1.30 mL volume). All the thermodynamic parameters reported in this work were obtained by using the 'independent' model.

Microcalorimetric titration of Co–**TAS** with Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ in DMF solution at 298.15 K. (Top) Raw data for sequential 25 injections (10 μ L per injection) of Co–**TAS** solution (1.0 mM) injecting into Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ solution (0.1 mM). (Bottom) Apparent reaction heat obtained from the integration of calorimetric traces.



6. Data Relative to Hydrogen Production.

Figure S6.1. Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution in 1:1:1 DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O of the systems containing Co–**NAS** (40.0 μ M), Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ (0.5 mM) and **AA** (0.1 M) at different pH value.



Figure S6.2. Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution in 1:1:1 DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O of the systems containing Co–**NAS** (40.0 μ M), and **AA** (0.1 M) at pH 4.15 with various Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ concentration.



Figure S6.3. Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution in 1:1:1 DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O of the systems containing Co–**NAS** (40.0 μ M), and Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ (0.5 mM) at pH 4.15 with various **AA** concentration.



Figure S6.4. Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution in 1:1:1 DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O of the systems containing Co–**TAS** (40.0 μ M), Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ (0.5 mM) and **AA** (0.1 M) at different pH value.



Figure S6.5. Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution in 1:1:1 DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O of the systems containing Co–**TAS** (40.0 μ M), and **AA** (0.1 M) at pH 4.15 with various Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ concentration.



Figure S6.6. Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution in 1:1:1 DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O of the systems containing Co–**TAS** (40.0 μ M), and Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ (0.5 mM) at pH 4.15 with various **AA** concentration.



Figure S6.7. (Left) Rate of hydrogen evolution in 1:1:1 DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O at pH 4.15 with 0.5 mM Ru(bpy)₃²⁺, 0.1 M **AA** and various Co–**NAS** concentration. (Right) The initial rate of H₂ evolution *vs.* concentration of Co–**NAS**.



Figure S6.8. (Left) Rate of hydrogen evolution in 1:1:1 DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O at pH 4.15 with 0.5 mM Ru(bpy)₃²⁺, 0.1 M **AA** and various Co–**TAS** concentration. (Right) The initial rate of H₂ evolution *vs.* concentration of Co–**TAS**.



Figure S6.9. (Left) Hydrogen evolution in 1:1:1 DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O at pH 4.15 with various $Ru(bpy)_{3^{2+}}$ concentration, 0.1 M **AA** and 2×10^{-5} M Co–**NAS**. (Right) The initial TOF of H₂ evolution *vs*. concentration of $Ru(bpy)_{3^{2+}}$.



Figure S6.10. (Left) Hydrogen evolution in 1:1:1 DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O at pH 4.15 with various $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ concentration, 0.1 M **AA** and 2×10^{-5} M Co–**TAS**. (Right) The initial TOF of H₂ evolution *vs*. concentration of $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$.



7. References.

S1: J. Dong, M. Wang, P. Zhang, S. Yang, J. Liu, X. Li and L. C. Sun, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 15089.

S2: B. Birkmann, A. W. Ehlers, R. Fröhlich, K. Lammertsma, F. E. Hahn, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 4301.

S3: T. Okamura, K. Kunisue, Y. Omi and K. Onitsuka, Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 7569.

S4: B. Wu, F. Cui, Y. Lei, S. Li, N. S. Amadeu, C. Janiak, Y. Lin, L. Weng, Y. Wang, and X. Yang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 5096.

S5: *SMART Data collection software*, *version 5.629*, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2003.

S6: *SAINT Data reduction software*, *version 6.45*, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2003.

S7: G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX-97, *Program for Crystal Structure Analysis* (University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997).