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## 1. Materials and general procedures

All reagents and solvents used in these studies are commercially available and used without further purification. Elemental analyses were performed with an EA1110 CHNS-0 CE elemental analyzer. The IR (KBr pellet) spectra were recorded ( $400-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region) on a Nicolet Magna 750 FT-IR spectrometer. The CD spectra were recorded on a J-800 spectropolarimeter (Jasco, Japan). Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were carried out in an air atmosphere with a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ on a STA449C integration thermal analyzer. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data were collected on a DMAX2500 diffractometer using $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation. The calculated PXRD patterns were produced using the SHELXTL-XPOW program and single crystal reflection data. The NMR experiments were carried out on a MERCURY plus 400 spectrometer operating at resonance frequencies of 400 MHz . Electrospray ionization mass spectra (ESI-MS) were recorded on a Finnigan LCQ mass spectrometer using dichloromethane-methanol as mobile phase. ICP-OES was performed on Optima 7300DV ICP-OES (Perkin Elmer Coporation, USA). Analytical high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed on a LC-2010HAT HPLC with UV detection at 200 or 254 nm . Analytical CHIRALCEL OD-H, AD-H, AS-H and OJ-H column ( $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 25$ cm ) from Daicel were used. The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption isotherms were recorded at 273 K by using a micromeritics ASAP 2020 surface area and porosity analyzer. Before the adsorption measurement, the samples were activated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum ( $<10^{-3}$ torr) for 4 h . Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images were performed on a NOVA NanoSEM 230 instrument equipped with an energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) detector. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were recorded on a AXIS Ultra DLD surface analysis instrument.

Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction. Single-crystal XRD data for compound 1 was collected on a Bruker SMART Apex II CCD-based X-ray diffractometer with Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ) at 150 K . We have collected about several datasets for $\mathbf{1}$ using Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation. Among the several datasets, the best dataset was used for structure solution and refinement. The empirical absorption correction was applied by using the SADABS program (G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, program for empirical absorption correction of area detector data; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1996).

The structure was solved by direct methods with SHELXS-2018 and refined with SHELXL2018 using OLEX 1.2. In the structure, all the non-hydrogen atoms except guest molecules were refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques with anisotropic displacement parameters, and the hydrogen atoms were geometrically fixed at the calculated positions attached to their parent atoms, treated as riding atoms. Contributions to scattering due to these highly disordered solvent molecules were removed using the SQUEEZE routine of PLATON; structures were then refined again using the data generated. We have also tried our best to re-growing an ideal single crystal, and got several other sets of data. Both of them had weak diffractions even with a very long exposure time, especially at higher Bragg angles. Among the several date sets for 1, we
choose the best one for structure solution and refinement, but there were still two B level alerts about the problem that coordination water molecules do not form hydrogen bonds in checkcif, that maybe due to the absence of hydrogen bonding interactions between the coordination water molecule and the solvent molecules. The heavily disordered co-crystalizing solvent which were removed from the unit-cell presumably was DMF. The CIF format output from PLATON (generated as platon.sqf) to the CIF from which the contributions of some 822 electrons removed from the unit-cell contents of compound $\mathbf{1}$, the disordered co-crystalizing solvent was in the structure presumably it was DMF which has 40 electrons and implies that SQUEEZE removed the contributions of some $822 / 40=20.6$ molecules of DMF from the unit-cell contents. In this $C 2$ space group with $Z=4$ this then implies that some 20.6/4 $=5$ disordered DMF molecules would be associated with the formula unit. Thus, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{Al} \mathrm{Cd}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{20}\right) \cdot 5\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right.$ $\mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{O}$ ) would be better for the Empirical formula.

The structure was then refined again using the data generated. Crystal data and details of the data collection are given in Table S1. CCDC 153348 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif

## 2. Synthesis

The ligands $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L}$ and [ MnLCl ] were synthesized according to the literatures 1 and 2 respectively.

### 2.1 Synthesis of [AILCl]

A solution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L}(0.588 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene ( 50 mL ) was degassed for 20 minutes. $\mathrm{AlEt}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(1.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.9 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, 1.1 mmol$)$ was then added to the solution and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 h . The yellow solid was collected by filtration, washed with ether and dried under reduced pressure ( $0.603 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ). ESI-MS m/z: 632.3 (Calcd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 632.3$ for $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}$). IR ( KBr pellet, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3436 (w), 2946 (s), 1635 (s), 1600 (s), 1557 ( s ), 1463 ( s ), 1444 ( s), 1410 ( s), 1386 ( s), 1354 ( s), 1326 ( s$), 1284$ (m), 1172 (m), 1088 (m), $824(\mathrm{~m}), 624(\mathrm{~m}), 595(\mathrm{~m})$.

### 2.2 Synthesis of 1

[AlLCl] ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.288 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.288 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were mixed with together and stirred at room temperature for 20 min . After that, the mixture was centrifuged at 9400 rpm for 5 min , and the supernatant was collected. Then, $\mathrm{CdI}_{2}(106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.288 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BDC}(48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.288 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMF ( 5 mL ) and $\mathrm{MeOH}(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The mixture was then cooled to room temperature. Cololess needlelike crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ were collected, washed with MeOH and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried in air. Yield: 243 mg , $51 \%$. The products can be best formulated as $\left[\mathrm{Cd}_{3} \mathrm{AlLNO}_{3}(\mathrm{BDC})_{3}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMF}$ on the basis of
microanalysis, TGA, ICP, elemental analysis, IR and single-crystal diffraction. Elemental analysis and IR for 1: Anal (\%). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{AlCd}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{20}$ : C, 49.44; H, 3.20; N, 5.94. Found: C, 48.87; H, 3.44; N, 6.12. ICP measurement indicated the ratio of $\mathrm{Al}: \mathrm{Cd}$ is $1: 3$. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3421 (w), 2927 (s), 2855 (w), 1635 (s), 1595 (s), 1556(s), 1462 (m), 1443 (m), 1410 (m), 1383 (m), 1354 (m), 1283 (m), 1244 (m), 1172 (m), 1088 ( s$), 1049$ (m), $824(\mathrm{~m}), 790$ (m), $644(\mathrm{w}), 593(\mathrm{w})$.

### 2.3 Synthesis of 2

[ MnLCl ] ( $194.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.288 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.288 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BDC}(48 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.288 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{DMF}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added together. The mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Brow needle-like crystals of 2 were collected, washed with MeOH and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried in air. Yield: $304 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$. The products can be best formulated as $\left[\mathrm{Cd}_{3} \mathrm{MnLCl}\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{BDC})_{3}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMF}$ on the basis of microanalysis, TGA, ICP, elemental analysis, IR and powder X-ray diffraction. Elemental analysis and IR for 2: Anal (\%). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{MnClCd}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{17}$; C, 49.40; H, 3.20; N, 5.08. Found: C, 48.91; H, 3.50; N, 4.89. ICP measurement indicated the ratio of $\mathrm{Mn}: \mathrm{Cd}$ is 1:3. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3448 (w), 2924 ( s ), 2846 ( w ), 16334 ( s$), 1597$ ( s$), 1503(\mathrm{~s})$, 1437 (m), 1380 (m), 1311 (m), 1266 (m), 1225 (m), 1171 (m), 1105 (m), 827 ( s$), 839(\mathrm{~m}), 749$ (m), 573(w).

### 2.4 Synthesis of 3

After experimental section 2.2, the solvent in the vial was removed, then $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.288 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), [ MnLCl ( $194.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.288 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BDC}(48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.288 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMF $(15 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{MeOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added together. The mixture was continued to heat at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Brow needle-like crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ were collected, washed with MeOH and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried in air. Yield: 542 mg . The products can be best formulated as $\left[\mathrm{Cd}_{3}\left(\mathrm{AlLNO}_{3}\right)_{0.44}(\mathrm{MnLCl})_{0.56}\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{BDC})_{3}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMF}$ on the basis of microanalysis, TGA, ICP, elemental analysis, IR and powder X-ray diffraction. Elemental analysis and IR for 3: Anal (\%). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{Mn}_{0.56} \mathrm{Cl}_{0.56}$ $\mathrm{Al}_{0.44} \mathrm{Cd}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6.44} \mathrm{O}_{18.32} ; \mathrm{C}, 49.42 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.20$; N, 5.46. Found: C, 49.12; H, 3.35; N, 5.58. ICP measurement indicated the ratio of $\mathrm{Mn}: \mathrm{Al}: \mathrm{Cd}$ is $1: 0.75: 5.44$. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3440(\mathrm{w}), 2943$ (s), 2863 (w), 1601 (s), 1563 ( s), 1503 (s), 1435 (m), 1387 (m), 1315 (m), 1273 (m), 1225 (m), 1173 (m), 1087 (m), 1014 (m), 897 (s), 839 (m), $828(\mathrm{~m}), 785(\mathrm{~m}), 745(\mathrm{w}), 643(\mathrm{w}), 573(\mathrm{w})$, 519(w).

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1 Aminolysis of trans-Stilbene Oxide Catalyzed by 1

To a suspension of $\mathbf{1}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in DCM ( 1.0 mL ), trans-stilbene oxide ( 39.2 mg , $0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and aniline $(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction was carried out at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the
disappearance of the amine. After that, the mixture was centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 5 min , the supernatant was concentrated under vacuum, the concentrate was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR to give the conversion and by HPLC to give the ee value. The precipitate was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 3 times and dred under pressure, then used for the next run. After the fifth run, the precipitate was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 3 times, dried under pressure, immersed in DMF and heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , then used for PXRD and BET analysis.

$$
\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{MOF}) \times \mathrm{n}(\text { sub. }) \times \text { molar } \%
$$

### 3.2 Asymmertric Epoxidation Reaction of Alkene Catalyzed by 2

To a suspension of $\mathbf{2}(1.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in dry DCM ( 1.0 mL ), alkene ( 0.2 mmol ) and 2-(tert-butylsulfonyl)iodosylbenzene ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The same amount of oxidant was added 18 more times at 15 min intervals. The reaction was carried out overnight at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After that, the mixture was centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 5 min , the supernatant was concentrated under vacuum, the concentrate was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR to give the conversion and by HPLC to give the ee value. The precipitate was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 3 times and sonicated for 10 min dry under pressure, then used for the next run. After the fifth run, the precipitate was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 3 times, dried under pressure, immersed in DMF and heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , then used for PXRD and BET analysis.

### 3.3 Alkene Epoxidation/Epoxide Aminolysis Catalyzed by 3

To a suspension of $\mathbf{3}(1.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in dry DCM ( 1.0 mL ), alkene ( 0.2 mmol ) and 2-(tert-butylsulfonyl)iodosylbenzene ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The same amount of oxidant was added 18 more times at 15 min intervals. The reaction was carried out overnight at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After that aniline $(0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the disappearance of the epoxide. The mixture was centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 5 min , the supernatant was concentrated under vacuum, the concentrate was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR to give the conversion and by HPLC to give the ee value. The precipitate was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 3 times and sonicated for 10 min dry under pressure, then used for the next run, after the fifth run, the precipitate was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 3 times, dried under pressure, immersed in DMF and heated at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , then used for PXRD and BET analysis. The supernatant was concentrated under vacuum then used for ICP-MS analysis.

## 4. Representative procedure for dye uptake measurements

Fresh crystals of $1(2.50 \mathrm{mg})$ were briefly dried and then soaked in an aqueous solution of methyl orange ( 60 mg ) overnight. The resulted samples were washed with MeOH thoroughly until the washings became colorless. The washed samples were digested by $\mathrm{Na}_{2}$ EDTA ( 0.05 $\mathrm{M}, 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOH}(6 \mathrm{M}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$, the clear solution with light orange color was diluted to 50 mL . Absorption experiments were performed on Lambda 20 UV/Vis Spectrometer.

Creation of a standard curve: (1) The methyl orange ( 32.7 mg ) was added to a flask and diluted to 1000 mL . The solution of methyl orange is stock solution, and 2.5, 5, 10 and 25 mL stock solution were diluted to 50 mL , respectively. (2) The absorbance of different concentrations of methyl orange was determined by UV/Vis Spectrometer. Data for known concentrations of methyl orange were used to make the standard curve, plotting concentration on the X axis, and the assay measurement of absorbance on the Y axis. According to the BeerLambert law, the standard curve can be calculated by linear fitting of the data.

$$
A=\log _{10} \frac{I_{0}}{I_{t}}=\log _{10} \frac{1}{T}=K \cdot l \cdot c
$$

| Crystal | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The number of dye <br> uptake (per formula) | 1.53 | 1.64 | 1.47 |

The uptake of bulky dye molecules by MOFs has recently been utilized to assess their substrate-accessible pore volumes in solution. 1-3 could adsorb 1.53 methyl orange molecules (MO, ca. $1.47 \mathrm{~nm} \times 0.53 \mathrm{~nm} \times 0.53 \mathrm{~nm}$ in size) per formula unit in solution and the inclusion crystal displayed almost the same PXRD pattern as the pristine sample, indicating the maintenance of porosity and structural integrity of them in solution, revealing the retention of the framework structure in solution.
5. Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 1

| Identification code | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{83} \mathrm{H}_{105} \mathrm{Al} \mathrm{Cd}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{25}$ |
| Formula weight | 2034.96 |
| Temperature (K) | 120(2) K |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 0.71073 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | C2 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=59.112(15) \AA \\ & \mathrm{b}=9.934(3) \AA \\ & \mathrm{c}=18.475(5) \AA \\ & \alpha=\gamma=90 ; \beta=106.229(5)^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ), Z | 10416(5), 4 |
| Density (calculated) ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 1.298 |
| Absorption coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 0.685 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 4176 |
| $\theta$ range for data collection ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 1.435 to 27.640 |
| Limiting indices | $\begin{aligned} & -72<=\mathrm{h}<=76 \\ & -12<=\mathrm{k}<=12 \\ & -23<=\mathrm{l}<=23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 52364 |
| Independent reflections | 23700 [R(int) $=0.0959$ ] |
| Completeness to theta | 99.9 \% |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 23700 / 4015 / 1315 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ | 0.986 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0710, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1684$ |


| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1082, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1856$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Absolute structure parameter | $0.05(5)$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Largest diff. peak and hole (e. } \AA^{-} \\ { }^{-}\end{array}\right)$ | 1.502 and -2.287 e. $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge}-3$ |

6. Figure S1~S3. Additional X-ray crystallographic structures

### 6.1 Figure S1.



The asymmetric unit of $\mathbf{1}$ (yellow Al , green Cd , red O , blue N , gray C )

### 6.2 Figure S2.



The structure of $\left[\mathrm{Cd}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{6}\right]$ clusters (green Cd, red O, blue N , gray C )

### 6.3 Figure S3.



View of the interpenetrated 3D framework of $\mathbf{1}$ along the $c$-axis (yellow Al , green Cd , red O , blue N , gray C ; the metal centers are shown as polyhedra)
7. Figure S4. ESI-MS
(MSD1 SPC, time $=3.124$ of D:IDATAL20150130120150130 2015-01-30 09-01-06IMR-309-QC-2.D+BSB ES-API, Pos, Scan, Frag: 60

MS (Al-salen): 649.2
ESI-MS m/z: 632.3
Calcd m/z 632.3 for $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}$
8. Figure S5. CD spectra of (R)/(S)


CD spectra of $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, showing almost the mirror images of each other, demonstrated their enantiomeric nature.

## 9. Figure S6. TGA curve



The TGA curve of $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ before and after activities. All the samples were actived under vacuum at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
10. Figure $S 7$. XPS spectra


The XPS spectra of Mn $2 p_{3 / 2}$ and $2 p_{1 / 2}$ peaks in 2 (left) and $\mathbf{3}$ (right), the Mn $2 p_{3 / 2}$ and $2 p_{1 / 2}$ peaks around 641.9 and 653.3 eV corresponds to +3 oxidation state.
11. Figure S8~S10. SEM, EDS and Microscope images
11.1 Figure S8. SEM image of 3


As synthesized of $\mathbf{3}$, the SEM image revealed $\mathbf{2}$ were coating outside $\mathbf{1}$ and the cracks, observed on the crystals appeared after drying, has been observed previously and crystals $\mathbf{3}$ still retain their overall crystal habit. The right is the enlargement of the left.


The SEM image of $\mathbf{3}$ after catalysis revealed that the cracks was still observed and crystals $\mathbf{3}$ still retain their overall crystal habit

### 11.3 Figure S9. SEM-EDS of 3



SEM and image of elemental mapping for $\mathbf{3}$ with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS). Corresponding EDS elemental mapping for green Mn , red Al , respectively.

### 11.4 Figure S10 Microscope images and fluorescent photographs



The Microscope images (left) and fluorescent photographs (right) of $\mathbf{1}$.


The Microscope images (left) and fluorescent photographs (right) of $\mathbf{3}$ revealed $\mathbf{2}$ (yellow part) were coating outside $\mathbf{1}$.

## 12. Figure S11. The kinetics curve



Kinetic curve of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3}$ and mixture of $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ and $(R)-\mathbf{2}$. The kinetics revealed that the chromene was smoothly oxidized in after 4.5 h with $86 \%$ conversion and $84 \%$ ee, and the amino alcohol was got in after 0.5 h with almost quantitative yield; Comparing with $(R)-\mathbf{3}$, the mixture of $(R) \mathbf{- 1}$ and ( $R$ )-2 (the same $\mathrm{M}($ salen) loading as $(R)-\mathbf{3}$ ) can also catalyze the sequential reactions efficiently with almost the same conversions and ee values.

## 13. Figure S12. Alkene epoxidation catalyzed by $\boldsymbol{R}-2$



Conversions were calculated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. ee values were determined by HPLC.
14. Table S2. Other substrates catalyzed by $R-1$


${ }^{a}$ Determined using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR base on anilines. ${ }^{b}$ ee values determined by HPLC.

## 15. HPLC

### 15.1 Aminolysis of trans-Stilbene Oxide with Anilines



## 1,2-diphenyl-2-(phenylamino)ethanol

Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiralcel AD-H column (hexane/i-PrOH $=90 / 10,0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 250 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=17.477 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=21.349 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{e}=90 \%$.



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 17.477 | 94.720 |
| 2 | 21.349 | 5.280 |



## 2-((o-methlyphenyl)amino)-1,2-diphenylethanol

Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiralcel AD-H column (hexane/i-PrOH $=95 / 5,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 250 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=17.583 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=21.289 \mathrm{~min}$; ee $=94.5 \%$.




## 2-((p-methlyphenyl)amino)-1,2-diphenylethanol

Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/i-PrOH $=90 / 10,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 250 \mathrm{~nm}), \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=13.188 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=22.686 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{ee}=93 \%$.



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 13.188 | 96.542 |
| 2 | 22.686 | 3.458 |



## 2-((2-methoxyphenyl)amino)-1,2-diphenylethanol

Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiralcel AD-H column (hexane/i-PrOH $=90 / 10,0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 250 \mathrm{~nm}), \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=18.441 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=24.101 \mathrm{~min} ;$ ee $=96 \%$.



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 18.441 | 97.879 |
| 2 | 24.101 | 2.121 |



## 2-((2-ethoxyphenyl)amino)-1,2-diphenylethanol

Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiralcel AD-H column (hexane/i-
$\operatorname{PrOH}=90 / 10,0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 250 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=16.576 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=19.479 \mathrm{~min}$; ee $=82 \%$.



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 16.576 | 91.245 |
| 2 | 19.479 | 8.755 |



## 2-((4-ethoxyphenyl)amino)-1,2-diphenylethanol

Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/i-PrOH $=90 / 10,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 250 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=18.220 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=16.468 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{ee}=96 \%$.
mV



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 16.468 | 2.261 |
| 2 | 18.220 | 97.739 |

### 15.2 Alkene Epoxidation/Epoxide Aminolysis



## 2,2-dimethyl-4-(phenylamino)chroman-3-ol

Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiralcel AD-H column (hexane/i-PrOH $=95 / 5,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 250 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=17.076 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=19.808 \mathrm{~min} ;$ ee $=88 \%$.


| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 17.076 | 94.132 |
| 2 | 19.808 | 5.868 |



## 2,2-dimethyl-4-(o-tolylamino)chroman-3-ol

Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/i-PrOH $=95 / 5,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 250 \mathrm{~nm}), \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=11.865 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=10.936 \mathrm{~min} ;$ ee $=97 \%$.



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 10.936 | 1.750 |
| 2 | 11.865 | 98.250 |



## 2,2-dimethyl-4-(m-tolylamino)chroman-3-ol

Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiralcel AD-H column (hexane/i-PrOH $=95 / 5,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 250 \mathrm{~nm}), \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=15.170 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=21.878 \mathrm{~min} ;$ ee $=94 \%$.



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 15.170 | 97.065 |
| 2 | 21.878 | 2.935 |



## 4-(phenylamino)spiro[chroman-2,1'-cyclohexan]-3-ol

Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiralcel AD-H column (hexane/i-PrOH $=95 / 5,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 250 \mathrm{~nm}), \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=14.498 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=26.554 \mathrm{~min} ;$ ee $=91 \%$.



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 14.498 | 95.687 |
| 2 | 26.554 | 4.313 |



## 2,2-dimethyl-6-nitro-4-(phenylamino)chroman-3-ol

Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiralcel AD-H column (hexane/i-PrOH $=95 / 5,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 250 \mathrm{~nm}), \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=13.632 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=11.791 \mathrm{~min} ;$ ee $=86 \%$.



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 11.791 | 7.271 |
| 2 | 13.632 | 92.729 |



## 3-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-(phenylamino)chroman-6-carbonitrile

Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiralcel AD-H column (hexane/i-PrOH $=95 / 5,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 250 \mathrm{~nm}), \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=11.776 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=12.342 \mathrm{~min} ;$ ee $=80 \%$.



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 12.342 | 10.034 |
| 2 | 13.776 | 89.966 |



## 6-methyl-2,2-dimethyl-4-(phenylamino)chroman-3-ol

Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiralcel AD-H column (hexane/i-PrOH $=95 / 5,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 250 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=14.976 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=17.868 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{e}=89 \%$.



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 14.976 | 94.316 |
| 2 | 17.868 | 5.684 |

### 15.3 Recycle Experiments



| Run | conv.(\%) | ee (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 88 | 90 |
| 2 | 84 | 87 |
| 3 | 85 | 87 |
| 4 | 84 | 82 |
| 5 | 83 | 83 |

## Run 1



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 16.626 | 95.044 |
| 2 | 20.421 | 4.956 |

## Run 2



| 1 | 16.371 | 93.478 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 20.132 | 6.522 |

## Run 3



## Run 4



## Run 5



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 16.430 | 91.503 |
| 2 | 20.220 | 8.479 |



| Run | conv (\%) | ee (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 89 | 88 |
| 2 | 87 | 86 |
| 3 | 84 | 87 |
| 4 | 83 | 84 |
| 5 | 79 | 86 |

## Run 1



| 1 | 16.653 | 93.951 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 19.367 | 6.049 |

## Run 2



## Run 3



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 17.024 | 93.508 |
| 2 | 19.799 | 6.492 |

## Run 4



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 17.059 | 91.981 |
| 2 | 19.775 | 8.019 |

## Run 5



| Serial Number | Retention Time [min] | Area \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 17.026 | 93.108 |
| 2 | 19.794 | 6.892 |
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