Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for ChemComm. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019

An amphiphilic metallaclip with enhanced fluorescence emission in water: synthesis and controllable self-assembly into multi-dimensional micro-structures

Yang Wang,[†] Yan Cai,[†] Leyu Cao,[†] Moupan Cen, Yanmei Chen, Runmiao Zhang, Tingting Chen*, Hong Dai*, Lanping Hu* and Yong Yao*

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Email address: ttchen1980@126.com; daihong 2015@aliyun.com; hlp@ntu.edu.cn; yaoyong1986@ntu.edu.cn

Table of Contents (8 Pages)

1. Materials/General Methods/Instrumentation	S2
2. Synthesis of Amphiphilic Metallaclip	S3
3. Self-assembly of Amphiphilic Metallaclip	S5
4. References	S7

1. Materials/General Methods/Instrumentation

All reagents were commercially available and used as supplied without further purification. Deuterated solvents were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratory (Andover, MA). Compounds TPPE, Pt(II), and WSC were prepared according to modified literature procedures.^{S1,S2} NMR experiments were recorded at room temperature. ¹H NMR and ³¹P{1H} NMR spectra were recorded in the designated solvents on a Bruker Avance III HD 400 M spectrometer. ³¹P{1H} NMR chemical shifts are referenced to an external unlocked sample of 85% H₃PO₄ (δ 0.0 ppm). Mass spectra were recorded on a Micromass Quattro II triple quadrupole mass spectrometer using electrospray ionization with a MassLynx operating system. Ultraviolet-visible experiments were conducted on a Hitachi U-4100 absorption spectrophotometer. Fluorescence experiments were conducted on a Hitachi F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer. SEM images were applied to investigate the morphologies, which was carried out with a Hitachi S-4800 field emission scanning electron microscope.

2. Synthesis of Amphiphilic Metallaclip



In a 4:2:1 molar ratio, tetra(4-pyridyphenyl)ethylene (A) (2.56 mg, 4.00 µmol), *cis*-(PEt₃)₂tPt(OTf)₂ (**B**) (1.45 mg, 2.00 µmol), and water soluble carboxylate ligand (C) (0.80 mg, 1.00 µmol), were placed in a 2 mL vial, followed by addition of H₂O (0.2 mL) and acetone (0.8 mL). After stirring overnight at 60 °C, all solvent was removed by N₂ flow and the solid was dried under vacuum. Acetone (1.0 mL) was then added into the vial, and the solution was stirred for 5 h at room temperature. After storage in a refrigerator for 1 h, the mixture was filtered to remove insoluble materials. The resulting amphiphilic metallaclip (AMT) was precipitated with diethyl ether, isolated, and dried under reduced pressure (2.72 mg mg, yield: 85%) and then re-dissolved in acetone-*d*₆ for characterization. The ¹H NMR spectrum of AMT is shown in Figure S1. ¹H NMR (acetone-*d*₆, room temperature, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 8.67 (s, 4H), 8.52 (s, 12H), 7.79 (s, 4H), 7.68-7.61 (m, 31H), 7.47-7.27 (m, 16H), 6.75 (s, 2H), 5.09 (s, 2H), 4.16 (s, 6H), 3.83-3.47 (m, 42H), 3.27 (s, 9H), 1.97-1.90 (m, 24H), 1.29 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 36H). The ³¹P {¹H} NMR spectrum of metallaclip (AMT) is shown in Figure 1b. ³¹P {¹H} NMR (acetone, room temperature, 121.4 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.2 ppm (²*J*_{P-P} = 20.6 Hz, ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites, ¹*J*_{Pt-P} = 3374.9 Hz), -3.0 (²*J*_{P-P} = 20.6 Hz, ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites, ¹*J*_{Pt-P} = 3251.1 Hz). ESI-MS is shown in Figure 2: [M - 2 OTf]²⁺ *m/z* = 1517.62; [M + H - 2 OTf + 2 CH₃COCH₃]²⁺ *m/z* = 1649.18. EA: theoretical % (C = 57.7, H = 5.7, N = 3.4, S = 1.9), experimental % (C = 58.6, H = 5.9, N = 3.4, S = 2.0).



Fig. S1. ¹H NMR spectrum (room temperature, 400 MHz) of AMT in different solvents: a) CD₃OD, b) acetone-*d*₆, c) D₂O.

3. Self-assembly of Amphiphilic Metallaclip

Self-Assembly of AMT in CH₃OH/H₂O. In a typical experiment: 100 mL of CH₃OH solution of AMT (10 μ M) [named solution I] and 100 mL of H₂O solution of AMT (10 μ M) [named solution II] were prepared in a vial firstly, and then mixed 1 ml solution I and 9 mL solution II to get 90% water content, others also used this method.



Fig. S2. Left: The photographs are of AMT in CH₃OH-H₂O mixture with different water content on excitation at 365 nm using an ultraviolet lamp at 298 K (c = 10.0 μ M). Right: Fluorescence emission spectra of AMT in CH₃OH-H₂O mixture with different water content (λ_{ex} = 365 nm, c = 10.0 μ M). 1: 10%; 2: 20%; 3: 30%; 4: 40%; 5: 50%; 6: 60%; 7: 70%; 8: 80%; 9: 90%.



Fig. S3. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum (room temperature, acetone) of AMT.



Fig. S4. UV-vis spectra of AMT in CH₃OH-H₂O mixture with different water content ($c = 1.00 \mu$ M).

The fluorescence quantum yields were determined using the following formula:^{S3} $\varphi_i = \varphi_s (n^2/n_s^2) (I_i/I_s) [(1-10^{-As(\lambda_{exc})})/(1-10^{-Ai(\lambda_{exc})})]$

where φ is fluorescence quantum yield, A is the absorbance at the excitation wavelength, I the area under the fluorescence spectra, and n is the refractive index of the solvent in which the sample was collected. The subscripts "i" and "S" refer to the sample of interest and the standard, respectively. Coumarin 153 in EtOH ($\varphi = 0.546$) was used as a standard.

When the ratio of $CH_3OH:H_2O$ is 9:1, the quantum yield was thus estimated to be 7.63%, when the ratio of $CH_3OH:H_2O$ is 1:9, the quantum yield was thus estimated to be 36.8%.



Fig. S5. ESI-TOF-MS spectra of AMT



Fig. S6. NOESY spectra of AMT.



Fig. S7. ¹H-¹H COSY spectra of AMT.



Fig. S8. Fluorescence emission spectra of AMT: I, in water; II, filled with CO₂ for 5 min; III, filled with CO₂ for $30 \text{ min} (\lambda_{ex} = 365 \text{ nm}, c = 10.0 \text{ } \mu\text{M}).$

4. References

- S1. X. Yan, T. R. Cook, P. Wang, F. Huang and P. J. Stang, Nature Chemistry, 2015, 7, 342
- S2. Y. Sun, F. Zhang, S. Jiang, Z. Wang, R. Ni, H. Wang, W. Zhou, X. Li and P. J. Stang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 17297
- S3 W.-C. Geng, Y.-C. Liu, Y.Y. Wang, Z. Xu, Z. Zhang, C.-B. Yang and D.S. Guo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 392.