Photodissociation Dynamics and the Dissociation Energy of Vanadium Monoxide, VO, Investigated using Velocity Map Imaging

Alexander S. Gentleman, Andreas Iskra, Hansjochen Köckert and Stuart R Mackenzie*

Department of Chemistry, Physical and Theoretical Chemistry Laboratory, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3QZ, United Kingdom

Supporting Information

A. Identification of the dissociation co-fragment

For two-body photodissociation at the n photon level of the diatomic molecule VX conservation of energy requires:

$$E_{\rm VX} + nh\nu = D_0(\rm V - \rm X) + E_{\rm V} + E_{\rm X} + TKER$$
(1)

where E_{VX} , E_V , and E_X are the internal energies of the parent VX molecule, the V atom photofragment, and the co-fragment, X, respectively. $D_0(V-X)$ is the ground state dissociation energy of VX, and *TKER* is the total kinetic energy release. Conservation of momentum requires allows the *TKER* in Equation 1 to be expressed in terms of the V fragment *KER*

$$TKER = KER(V) \times \left(1 + \frac{m_V}{m_X}\right).$$
⁽²⁾

Substitution of Equation 2 into Equation 1, followed by re-arrangement, yields the following expression for *KER*(V):

$$KER(\mathbf{V}) = h\mathbf{v} \times \left(\frac{n}{1 + m_{\mathbf{V}}/m_{\mathbf{X}}}\right) - \frac{k}{1 + m_{\mathbf{V}}/m_{\mathbf{X}}}.$$
(3)

Hence a plot of KER(V) versus photon energy, hv, is a linear function with a slope of $n/(1+m_V/m_X)$ allowing the number of photons involved in a photofragmentation process and the identity of the co-fragment X can be determined. A plot of all measured V atom *KER*s in the photon energy range 19912–20072 cm⁻¹ is shown in Figure 1 (top). A linear fit to the measured *KER* values gives a slope of 0.716 ± 0.061.



Figure S1. *Top:* Vanadium atom kinetic energy release (KER) as a function of one-photon energy between 19912-20072 cm⁻¹. A linear function fitted to the experimental data gives a gradient of 0.716 ± 0.061 sufficient to identify the co–fragment at an O–atom. *Bottom:* TKER plotted against three-photon wavenumber assuming V + O cofragments. The slope of the fitted linear function is fixed at 1, yielding an *x*–intercept of 55560 ± 170 cm⁻¹. The error bars in both datasets correspond to the Full-Width-Half-Maximum (FWHM) of each fitted Gaussian used to identify the centre of each peak.

Table S1 lists possible a range of plausible co-fragment candidates with the corresponding number of photons required to dissociate the parent molecule. Given that an integer number of photons must be involved, the most likely co-fragment is oxygen, justified further by the fact that VO is the only molecular species observed in the molecular beam.

Table S1. List of potential co-fragment candidates; n refers to the number of photons needed to dissociate the parent species. The oxygen co-fragment gives the required number of photons closest to an integer value. Errors in n arise from the uncertainty (standard deviation) in the gradient of the fitted-line using linear regression.

Slope	Co-fragment (X)	Number of photons (<i>n</i>)
0.716 ± 0.061	V	1.432 ± 0.122
	V_2	1.074 ± 0.092
	С	3.759 ± 0.321
	Ν	3.324 ± 0.284
	0	2.998 ± 0.256
	He	18.9751.622

B. Best determination of the VO dissociation energy

The V* a^{2} G + O ³P channel is confidently assigned in several images recoded *via* C ⁴ Σ^{-} (v' = 5, 6, 7) allowing precise extrapolation to TKER = 0 under the assumption of dissociation the three-photon level. This, in turn, provides a best estimate of the VO experimental dissociation energy of 53126 ± 263 cm⁻¹.



Figure S2: Extrapolation of the TKER in the V* $a^{2}G + O^{3}P$ channel as a function of total excitation wavenumber. The excellent fit to a straight line with slope fixed at unity (R² = 0.998) allows extrapolation to an *x*-intercept of 64212 ± 18 cm⁻¹. Observation of the same channel in images across the region (including to low TKER) provides the best estimate of the VO dissociation energy (53126 ± 263 cm⁻¹) in this study.

C. Calculation of $D_{\theta}(VO^+)$ and Comparison to Literature Values

Table S2 compares the bond energy of VO⁺ determined from this study with various previously-determined experimental and theoretical values. The value determined in this work was calculated via a thermodynamic cycle involving the most precise values for the ionisation energies of V and VO (termed *IE*(V) and *IE*(VO), respectively), and the D_0 (VO) determined from the VMI work presented.

 D_0 Method Year Author $131 \pm 5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ Aristov and Armentrout.1 Guided Ion Beam Mass 1984 $45800 \pm 2000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ Spectrometry $(5.68 \pm 0.22 \text{ eV})$ Dyke *et al.*² $48200 \pm 807 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ **High-Temperature** 1985 $(5.98 \pm 0.10 \text{ eV})$ Photoelectron Spectroscopy Arisov and Armentrout.³ $48400 \pm 2820 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ Collision-Induced 1986 Dissociation $(6.00 \pm 0.35 \text{ eV})$ Fisher et al.⁴ $48400 \pm 807 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ 1990 Thermodynamic Cycle via $(6.00 \pm 0.10 \text{ eV})$ $IE(VO), IE(M), and D_0(VO)$ Clemmer *et al.*⁵ $46900 \pm 1370 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ Guided Ion Beam Mass 1991 $(5.81 \pm 0.17 \text{ eV})$ Spectrometry Carter and Goddard III.6 128.3 kcal mol⁻¹ All-electron ab initio 1988 44870 cm⁻¹ **GVBCI-SCF** (5.56 eV)LCGTO Spin-Polarised DFT Broclawik.7 $D_e = 55000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ 1995 $(D_e = 6.82 \text{ eV})$ MCSCF and MR-ACPF Kretzschmar et al.8 43800 cm⁻¹ 1998 (5.43 eV) 46000 cm^{-1} (5.71 eV) Nakao et al.9 129.2 kcal mol⁻¹ SA-CASSCF and B3LYP 2001 45190 cm⁻¹ (5.60 eV) 128.2 kcal mol-1 44840 cm⁻¹ (5.56 eV) This work $49158 \pm 263 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ VMI 2019

Table S2. Experimental and theoretical literature values of the ground state dissociation energy of VO^+ , $D_0(VO^+)$.

References

- 1. N. Aristov and P. B. Armentrout, J Am Chem Soc, 1984, 106, 4065-4066.
- 2. J. M. Dyke, B. W. J. Gravenor, M. P. Hastings and A. Morris, *J Phys Chem-Us*, 1985, **89**, 4613-4617.
- 3. N. Aristov and P. B. Armentrout, J Phys Chem-Us, 1986, 90, 5135-5140.
- 4. E. R. Fisher, J. L. Elkind, D. E. Clemmer, R. Georgiadis, S. K. Loh, N. Aristov, L. S. Sunderlin and P. B. Armentrout, *J Chem Phys*, 1990, **93**, 2676-2691.
- 5. D. E. Clemmer, J. L. Elkind, N. Aristov and P. B. Armentrout, *J Chem Phys*, 1991, **95**, 3387-3393.
- 6. E. A. Carter and W. A. Goddard, *J Phys Chem-Us*, 1988, **92**, 2109-2115.
- 7. E. Broclawik, Int J Quantum Chem, 1995, 56, 779-785.
- 8. I. Kretzschmar, D. Schroder, H. Schwarz, C. Rue and P. B. Armentrout, *J Phys Chem A*, 1998, **102**, 10060-10073.
- 9. Y. Nakao, K. Hirao and T. Taketsugu, J Chem Phys, 2001, 114, 7935-7940.