2D ultrathin CoP modified Mn_xCd_{1-x}S with controllable band structure and robust photocatalytic performance for hydrogen generation

Ran Chen, Yanhui Ao*, Chao Wang, Peifang Wang

Key Laboratory of Integrated Regulation and Resource Development on Shallow Lakes, Ministry of Education, College of Environment, Hohai University, No.1, Xikang road, Nanjing, 210098, China

Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) characterization was employed to analyze catalyst structure by a Shimadzu/XD-3A diffractometer system. Copper K α radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) was used. Morphologies of the catalysts were employed through transmission electron microscopy (TEM) by using an JEOL 2100 system **and SEM by using Hitachi S-4800.** UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) was used by a Shimadzu/UV-3600 equipment. Photoluminescence (PL) analysis was adopted to explore the electrons transfer via a Hitachi/F-7000 apparatus. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) was carried out by using PHI 5000 Versaprobe with Al-K α radiation.

Photoelectrochemical (PEC) measurements

The synthesized catalysts was tested in $0.5M H_2SO_4$ using a typical three electrode setup on an electrochemical station (Chenhua Instruments, CHI660D) with a Ag/AgCl reference electrode, a graphite rod or Pt foil as counter electrode and CdS composites as working electrode to study the electrochemical property. All potential data are

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: andyao@hhu.edu.cn (Yanhui Ao)

given versus reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) according to the following equation: $E_{RHE} = E_{Ag/AgCl} + 0.197 + 0.059 \times pH (V)$. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and photocurrent were conducted under the irradiation of visible light by a 300 W xenon lamp.

Photocatalytic H₂ generation measurements

Photocatalytic performance of the prepared catalysts were explored in a 150 ml quartz reactor. Typically, 5 mg samples were added in 50 ml solution. $0.25 \text{ M} \text{ Na}_2\text{S}$ and $0.35 \text{ M} \text{ Na}_2\text{SO}_3$ were also used as sacrificial reagents. Next, evacuated the whole system for half an hour to keep the system vacuum. After that, turn on the xenon lamp with a 420 nm cut-off filter (CELHXF300, Beijing China Education Au-light Co., Ltd) to start the reaction. At last, the produced H₂ was determined by an online gas chromatogram (GC 7900) equipped with a TCD.

The apparent quantum efficiency (AQE) of hydrogen generation was calculated as follows:

 $AQE = \frac{\text{Number of reacted electrons}}{\text{Number of incident photons}} \times 100\%$ $= \frac{2 \times \text{Number of evolved H}_2 \text{ molecules}}{\text{Number of incident photons}} \times 100\%$



Figure S1. Low and high magnification of SEM images of MCSCP-4% sample



Figure S2. TEM image and EDX analysis of select area in MCSCP-4% sample



Figure S3. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) of MCSCP-4% photocatalysts after reaction, high-

resolution signals of Cd 3d(a); Mn 2p(b); P 2p(c); Co 2p(d)



Figure S4. Mott-schottky characterization of CdS, MnS and a series of $Mn_xCd_{1-x}S$

photocatalysts.



Figure S5. Mott-schottky characterization of $Mn_{0.5}Cd_{0.5}S$ with different CoP content

modifying.



Figure S6. Energy band structure schematic of $Mn_{0.5}Cd_{0.5}S$ with different CoP content

modifying.