## **Supporting Information**

## Enhanced-performance of self-powered flexible quantum dot

## photodetectors by double hole transport layer structure

Ting Shen<sup>a</sup>, David Binks<sup>b</sup>, Jifeng Yuan<sup>a</sup>, Guozhong Cao<sup>a,c</sup>, Jianjun Tian<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Institute for Advanced Materials and Technology, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing, 100083, China.

<sup>b</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy and Photon Science Institute, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

<sup>c</sup>Department of Materials and Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195-2120, USA.

\*E-mail: tianjianjun@mater.ustb.edu.cn

(2)									, (h)								
(a)	Elt.	Line	Intens ity (c/s)	Conc	Units	Error 2-sig	MDL 3-sig			Elt.	Line	Intens ity (c/s)	Conc	Units	Error 2-sig	MDL 3-sig	
	С	Ka	297.63	46.499	wt.%	4.655	0.000		]	С	Ka	294.40	45.692	wt.%	4.581	0.000	
	Al	Ka	165.59	6.672	wt.%	1.134	0.000			Ge	La	26.10	2.612	wt.%	1.052	0.000	
	Ge	La	27.55	2.456	wt.%	0.932	0.000			Se	La	232.02	18 972	wt %	1 870	0.000	
	Se	La	196.77	14.348	wt.%	1.699	0.000			Cd	La	424.02	22.270	wet 0/	1.567	0.000	
	Cd	La	425.89	21.418	wt.%	1.441	0.000		1	Ca	La	424.93	23.270	WL.%0	1.567	0.000	
	Te	La	118.42	8.608	wt.%	1.288	0.000		1	Te	La	118.69	9.454	wt.%	1.411	0.000	
				100.000	wt.%			Total	]				100.000	wt.%			Total
(c)				_					, (q)								
(0)	Elt.	Line	Intensit v	t Conc	Units	Erro r	MDL 3-sig			Elt.	Line	Intens itv	Conc	Units	Error 2-sig	MD L	
			(c/s)			2-sig						(c/s)				3-sig	
	С	Ka	294.59	45.938	wt.%	4.604	0.000			С	Ka	403.16	47.340	wt.%	4.649	0.000	
	Se	La	238.22	19.836	wt.%	1.891	0.000		1	Se	La	320.59	19.859	wt.%	1.700	0.000	
	Cd	La	425.07	24.300	wt.%	1.636	0.000			Cd	La	537.17	23.047	wt.%	1.453	0.000	
	Те	La	118.57	9.926	wt.%	1.484	0.000			Te	La	156.05	9.755	wt.%	1.420	0.000	
				100.00 0	wt.%			Total					100.000	wt.%			Total

Figure S1. Amount of elements in the  $CdSe_xTe_{1-x}$  alloyed quantum dots determined from EDS.



**Figure S2**. Current-voltage characteristic under light (a) and dark, (b) Responsivity and (c) normalized detectivity (d) of the two kinds of devices without QDs upon 100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> sun light intensity.



**Figure S3**. The temporal response and recovery of (a) current density and photovoltage of the devices based on different thickness of P-TPD layer and QDs layer.



**Figure S4**. (a) Responsivity and (b) IV curves of the devices under the dark condition based on different thickness of P-TPD layer.



**Figure S5**. The temporal response and recovery of voltage of the devices upon 100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> sun light intensity.



Figure S6. The 3 dB bandwidth of the double HTL-based device.



**Figure S7**. Weak light conditions in conventional current detection mode for the PEDOT:PSS device.



**Figure S8**. The absorption of the PEDOT:PSS films, PEDOT:PSS/P-TPD films (a), PEDOT:PSS/QDs films and PEDOT:PSS/P-TPD/QDs films (b).



**Figure S9**. Statistical histogram of the responsivity (a) and detectivity (b) of 31 individual P-TPD based photodetectors.



Figure S10. Contact angles of octane droplets on PEDOT:PSS films (a) and PEDOT:PSS/P-

TPD films (b).



**Figure S11**. Photocurrent rise (a) and decay (b) of the device at various angles of curvature measured at a light intensity of 100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>.



Figure S12. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) of PEDOT:PSS/P-TPD/QDs/ZnO films after being subjected to (a) 0 folding cycle and (b) 500 folding cycles.

Year	Spectral	D*	Rise/decay	Quantum	Materials	Refs
	region	(Jones *10 <sup>12</sup> )	Time (s)	efficiency(%)		
2013	Vis		0.0007/0.0	20 (1Wcm <sup>-2</sup> ,	CdSe	1
			013	665nm)	nanobelt	
					/graphene	
					QDs	
2014	UV		0.1/0.2		ZnO/Au	2
2016	UV-NIR	(500nm) 3.0	0.25/5.3		Perovskite/g	3
					raphene	
					QDs	
2017	Vis-NIR	(400nm)4.3	0.052/0.06	36(0.4 Wcm <sup>-</sup>	Perovskite/n	4
		(980nm)0.76	3	<sup>2</sup> , 560nm)	anoparticles	
2017	Vis-NIR	(580nm) 1.44			PbS QDs	5
2017	UV-NIR	(700nm) 0.38	7/4		TiO <sub>2</sub> /perovs	6
		(350nm) 2.5			kite QDs	
2018	UV-NIR	(450nm)4.23	0.098/0.05	163(450nm)/	Au/perovski	7
		(830nm)2.6	1	54(830nm)	te	
2018	UV-NIR	(375nm) 3.98	1.01/1.35		PbS	8
		(532nm) 2.39			QDs/ZnO	
		(808) 0.37				
2018	UV		0.25		ZnO QDs	9
2018	UV-NIR	(350 nm)2.1	0.04/0.04	13(350nm)	$CdSe_{x}Te_{1-x}$	This work
		(420nm) 2.6		14(420nm)	QDs	
		(800nm) 0.6		3(800nm)		

Table S1.	Figures o	of merit and	progress	of flexible c	uantum dots	photodetectors.
			6			

**Table S2**. Parameters of the flexible P-TPD-based double device and the rigid glass-based double device.

Samples	I <sub>light</sub> (mA)	I <sub>dark</sub> (mA)	$t_r/t_d (ms)$	R(mA/W)	D*(Jones)
Flexible device	0.10	1.03*10-7	40	45	2.6*10 <sup>12</sup>
Rigid device	0.12	0.91*10 <sup>-7</sup>	40	47	2.9*1012

 Table S3. Weak-light response and progress of self-powered photodetectors.

Year	Light	Light	Ilight(nA/	Materials	Refs
	wavelength	intensity	cm <sup>2</sup> )		
	(nm)	(um/cm <sup>2</sup> )			
2005	514	10	18	CdSe QDs	10
2017	850	10	10	Perovskite/Polymer	11
2018	white	10	300	Perovskite	12
2018	white	10	5	Organic/PbS QDs	13
2018	white	20	600	CdSe <sub>x</sub> Te <sub>1-x</sub> QDs	This work

## References

- 1 Z. Gao, W. Jin, Y. Zhou, Y. Dai, B. Yu, C. Liu, W. Xu, Y. Li, H. Peng, Z. Liu, L. Dai, Nanoscale, 2013, 5, 5576-5581.
- 2 S. Lu, J. Qi, S. Liu, Z. Zhang, Z. Wang, P. Lin, Q. Liao, Q. Liang, Y. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interface, 2014, 6, 14116-14122.
- 3 V. Q. Dang, G. -S. Han, T. Q. Trung, L. T. Duy, Y. -U. Jin, B. U. Hwang, H. -S. Jung, N. -E. Lee, *Carbon*, 2016, **105**, 353-361.
- 4 J. Li, Y. Shen, Y. Liu, F. Shi, X. Ren, T. Niu, K. Zhao, S. F. Liu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interface, 2017, 9, 19176-19183.
- 5 Z. Ren, J. Sun, H. Li, P. Mao, Y. Wei, X. Zhong, J. Hu, S. Yang, J. Wang, *Adv. Mater.* 2017, **29**, 1702055.
- 6 Z. Zheng, F. Zhuge, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, L. Gan, X. Zhou, H. Li, T. Zhai, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2017, 27, 1703115.
- 7 X. Luo, F. Zhao, Y. Liang, L. Du, W. Lv, K. Xu, Y. Wang, Y. Peng, Adv. Opt. Mater. 2018, 6, 1800996.
- 8 M. Peng, Y. Wang, Q. Shen, X. Xie, H. Zheng, W. Ma, Z. Wen, X. Sun, Sci. China Mater. 2019, 62, 225–235.
- 9 S. Mitra, A. Aravindh, G. Das, Y. Pak, I. Ajia, K. Loganathan, E. Di Fabrizio, I. S. Roqan, Nano Energy, 2018, 48, 551-559.
- 10 D. C. Oertel, M. G. Bawendi, A. C. Arango, V. Bulović, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2005, **87**, 213505.
- 11 Y. Wang, D. Yang, X. Zhou, D. Ma, A. Vadim, T. Ahamad, S. M. Alshehri, Adv. Opt. Mater. 2017, 5, 1700213.
- 12 C. Bao, J. Yang, S. Bai, W. Xu, Z. Yan, Q. Xu, J. Liu, W. Zhang, F. Gao, Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, e1803422.
- 13 Y. Wei, Z. Ren, A. Zhang, P. Mao, H. Li, X. Zhong, W. Li, S. Yang, J. Wang, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2018, 28, 1706690.