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## 1. Synthesis of 9 and 10



$\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and then $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(72 \%)$


10
$21 \%$ overall yield from 11

peracetylrutinosyl imidate, TMSOTf, MS 4A, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $41 \%$ )

$\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$
and then $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(67 \%)$


15\% overall yield from 12

Scheme S1. Synthesis of 9 and 10 from (+)-catechin (11) and (-)-epicatechin (12)

## 2. HPLC chromatogram




Fig. S1. HPLC chromatogram of the Zn reduction and the Zn reduction followed by air oxidation of rutin (3) in dried $\mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{MeOH}$.

## 3. Computational Methods

To examine the absolute configurations at C 2 , the ECD curves $\Delta \varepsilon$ were computed for the model molecules in which the rutinoside moiety in $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ is replaced by OMe. To prepare the structures of model molecules, 8 conformers were optimized for both $2 S$ and $2 R$ structures as summarized in Fig. S2. These geometry optimizations were carried out by density functional theory (DFT) calculations using the $\omega$ B97X-D functional ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 1}$ with the def2-TZVP basis set. ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 2}$ Because the rutinoside moiety does not exist in the model molecules, 8 conformers for the $2 S$ and $2 R$ structures are mirror images each other within numerical errors. The Gibbs free energies $\Delta G$ were evaluated at these structures by the vibrational analysis at 298.15 K and 1 atm .

At these minimum structures, the excitation energies $\left(E_{0 n}\right)$, the oscillator strengths ( $f_{0 n}$ ), and the rotatory strengths ( $R_{0 n}$ ) for low-lying 20 singlet excited states ( $n=20$ ) were computed by time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) calculations. The TD-DFT calculations were carried out by using the CAM-B3LYP functional ${ }^{\text {S3 }}$ and the def2-TZVP basis set, and solvent effects were included by polarizable continuum model (PCM) ${ }^{\text {S4 }}$ with the dielectric constant of methanol. All the (TD-)DFT calculations were carried out by using the Gaussian 16 program. ${ }^{\text {S5 }}$

Table S1 summarizes the computed properties for the first excited state ( $n=1$ ). As seen in Table S1, the first excited state is located at $\sim 273 \mathrm{~nm}$ with relatively large oscillator strength of $\sim 0.27$. These states are assigned to the $\pi-\pi^{*}$ transition from the natural transition orbital pairs ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 6}$ in Fig S3, where both the hole and particle are delocalized over the A and C rings with a little contribution from the catechol moiety.

The absorption spectra were computed by multiplying the Gaussian function as ${ }^{57}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(\tilde{v})=C \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text {orer }}} P_{i} \sum_{n=1}^{20} \frac{f_{0 n}^{(i)}}{\sigma} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{\tilde{v}-\tilde{v}_{0 n}^{(i)}}{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{S1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a factor containing several physical constants and $\tilde{v}_{0 n}^{(i)}$ is the excitation energy of the $n$-th excited state in wavenumber for the $i$-th conformer. The relative population $P_{i}$ for the $i$-th conformer was determined based on the Boltzmann distribution, where the solvation free energies
evaluated by PCM were added into $\Delta G$. The number of conformers $N_{\text {conf }}$ was 8 as mentioned above. The half bandwidth at half maximum, $\sigma \sqrt{\ln 2}$, was set to 0.2 eV . The resultant absorption spectra are displayed in Fig. 1 (B).

The ECD curves $\Delta \varepsilon$ were computed by multiplying the Gaussian function as ${ }^{\mathrm{S7}, \mathrm{~S} 8}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varepsilon(E)=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text {conf }}} P_{i} \Delta \varepsilon_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text {comf }}} P_{i} \sum_{n=1}^{20}\left[\frac{E_{0 n}^{(i)}}{2.296 \times 10^{-39} \sqrt{\pi} \sigma} R_{0 n}^{(i)} \exp \left\{-\left(\frac{E-E_{0 n}^{(i)}}{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right\}\right] \tag{S2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is a half bandwidth at $e^{-1}$ and was set to 0.1 eV . The computed ECD curves $\Delta \varepsilon$ are displayed in Fig. 1 (B) at the range of 200-300 nm. For the $2 R$ structure, the negative, positive, and negative peaks are observed at $\sim 273, \sim 221$, and $\sim 204 \mathrm{~nm}$, respectively, whereas the signs are opposite for the $2 S$ structure. Although these characteristics of $\Delta \varepsilon$ seem to be consistent qualitatively with the experimental ECD for $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$, the detailed analysis for these peaks is beyond the scope of this study as the effects of ORut were not considered here to reduce the computational cost. Instead, to highlight the absolute configuration at C2, the signs of ECD curves $\Delta \varepsilon$ at $\sim 273 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Fig. 1) together with those of the components $\Delta \varepsilon_{i}$ displayed in Fig. S4 are focused in the main text. Finally, as summarized in Table S2, the signs of rotatory strengths for plausible conformers of $\mathbf{5}$ and 6 (Figure S5) were found to be the same as those for the corresponding model molecules (Table S1).

Table S1. The excitation energies $(E)$, oscillator strengths $(f)$, and rotatory strengths $(R)$ for the first excited state of various conformers of model molecules.

| Conf. $^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | Config. ${ }^{(\mathrm{b})}$ | $E(\mathrm{~nm})$ | $f$ | $R^{(\mathrm{c})}$ | Config. ${ }^{(\mathrm{b})}$ | $E(\mathrm{~nm})$ | $f$ | $R^{(\mathrm{c})}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2 R$ | 271.9 | 0.2931 | -57.3 | $2 S$ | 271.9 | 0.2931 | 57.3 |
| 2 | $2 R$ | 271.9 | 0.2938 | -55.3 | $2 S$ | 271.9 | 0.2931 | 55.2 |
| 3 | $2 R$ | 272.4 | 0.2905 | -72.4 | $2 S$ | 272.4 | 0.2905 | 72.4 |
| 4 | $2 R$ | 272.2 | 0.2893 | -65.5 | $2 S$ | 272.2 | 0.2894 | 65.6 |
| 5 | $2 R$ | 274.0 | 0.2640 | -95.4 | $2 S$ | 274.0 | 0.2640 | 95.4 |
| 6 | $2 R$ | 274.0 | 0.2142 | -40.7 | $2 S$ | 274.0 | 0.2141 | 40.7 |
| 7 | $2 R$ | 274.0 | 0.2739 | -117.3 | $2 S$ | 274.0 | 0.2739 | 117.4 |
| 8 | $2 R$ | 271.6 | 0.2442 | -49.7 | $2 S$ | 271.6 | 0.2442 | 49.6 |

(a) Index of conformers are displayed in Fig. S2.
(b) Absolute configuration at C2.
(c) Unit: $10^{-40}$ cgs. The velocity form was employed.

Table S2. The excitation energies $(E)$, oscillator strengths $(f)$, and rotatory strengths $(R)$ for the first excited state of plausible conformers of $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ (Figure S5).

|  | $E(\mathrm{~nm})$ | $f$ | $R^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $E(\mathrm{~nm})$ | $f$ | $R^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 R(\mathbf{6})$ | 281.2 | 0.2419 | -185.6 | $2 S(\mathbf{5})$ | 277.9 | 0.2295 | 70.3 |

(a) Unit: $10^{-40}$ cgs. The velocity form was employed.
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Fig. S2. The conformers optimized by DFT calculations for (a) $2 R$ and (b) $2 S$ structures. The values in parentheses are relative populations determined by the Boltzmann distribution.


Fig. S3. Natural transition orbitals for the first excited states of (a) $2 R 6$ and (b) $2 S 6$ structures, where conformer indices are given in the caption of Fig. S2. The left and right denote the hole and particle and the eigenvalues of these pairs are shown in parentheses.


Fig. S4. Electric circular dichroism curves of the model molecules at the $260-290 \mathrm{~nm}$ region computed by TD-DFT calculations Eq.(S2). The solid lines denote the spectral curves by the Boltzmann averaging of 8 conformers contributions (dashed lines). The blue and red lines denote the results for the $2 R$ and $2 S$ structures, respectively.

(b) $2 S(5)$

Fig. S5: The plausible conformers of (a) 6 and (b) 5, optimized at the $\omega$ B97X-D/def2-TZVP level.

## 5. Experimental Procedures for Compounds

Optical rotations were recorded on a JASCO P-1010-GT polarimeter. Circular dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded on a JASCO J-720 spectrometer. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR 6100 spectrometer. UV/VIS spectra were recorded on a JASCO V 570 spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on JNM ECA-500 or JNM A600 spectrometers. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS) and are referenced to residual solvent signals $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}: \delta 3.31 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ as an internal reference. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR were reported in the scale relative to the NMR solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 77.0 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}: \delta 49.0 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ as an internal reference. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on Bruker micrOTOF-QII (ESI) or compact (ESI) spectrometers. Analytical HPLC was conducted by our method ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 9, \mathrm{~S} 10}$ with an ODS column (Develosil ODS-HG-5, $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \phi \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$, Nomura Chemical) with some modifications. The chromatography was performed by eluting at $0.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ with a linear gradient from $10 \%$ to $90 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing $0.5 \%$ TFA for 20 min at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Preparative ODS-HPLC was performed by using a column (Develosil ODS-HG-5, Nomura Chemical) with isocratic elution at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathrm{S9}, \mathrm{S11}}$ Flash column chromatography was performed on Fuji Silysia silica gel (PSQ 60B). Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck precoated analytical plates, 0.25 mm thick, silica gel 60 F254. All commercially available reagents and solvents were used directly without further purification. All nonaqueous reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere.

## Cyanidin 3-O-rutinoside (4) (Gram synthesis)

To a suspension of rutin (1) $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dried Zn powder $(20.0 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $3.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{MeOH}(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ with vigorous stirring for 10 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar and the solution became colorless. After stirring for 30 min at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{Zn}$ powder was removed by suction filtration. The resultant solution was diluted $\mathrm{MeOH}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$. This solution was stirred for 3 h under air at room temperature and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~L})$ was added. Next, MeOH was removed under reduced pressure at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residual aqueous solution was purified by XAD-7 with $10 \% \rightarrow 15 \%$ $\rightarrow 20 \% \rightarrow 30 \%$ MeCN aqueous solution containing $0.5 \%$ TFA monitoring by HPLC to give a crude 4. Finally, the resultant crude product was purified by ODS column chromatography (Develosil

ODS-HG5, Nomura Chemicals) with $15 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ aqueous solution containing $0.5 \%$ TFA to give a pure $4(1.175 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%)$ as a dark red solid. IR (KBr) $3329,1674,1635,1331,1194,1064 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, $10 \%$ TFA- $d$-CD3OD) $\delta 8.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{t}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.5-3.7 (m, 6H), $3.43(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, 10 \%$ TFA- $\left.d-\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ 170.6, 164.0, 159.1, $157.6,155.8,147.3,145.6,136.2$, $128.3,121.2,118.5,117.5,113.3,103.6,103.5,102.2,95.3,78.0,77.5,74.7,74.0,72.5,71.9,71.3$, 69.8, 67.9, 17.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{15}[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 595.1657$, found 595.1654 .

## Zn reduction of rutin (3) under Ar

(2S)-Flav-3-en-3-ol 3-O-rutinoside (5), (2R)-flav-3-en-3-ol 3-O-rutinoside (6), and

## flav-2-en-3-ol 3-O-rutinoside (7)

To a solution of $\mathbf{3}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $0.8 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{MeOH}(95 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added Zn powder $(5 \mathrm{~g})$ at -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar. After stirring under Ar for 30 min at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Zn}$ powder was removed by suction filtration and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(600 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. After MeOH was removed under reduced pressure at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the residual aqueous solution was purified by XAD-7 with $80 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ aqueous solution. Finally, the resultant crude product was purified by ODS column chromatography (Develosil ODS-HG5 $20 \mathrm{~mm} \phi \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$, Nomura Chemicals) with ( $5 \% \rightarrow 10 \% \rightarrow 15 \%$ ) MeCN aqueous solution to give $\mathbf{5}(136 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%), \mathbf{6}(537 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%)$ and $7(31 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \%)$ as white solids.

Data for 5: $[\alpha] \mathrm{D}^{20}-43.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$; IR (KBr) 3346, 2922, 1622, 1458, 1280, $1059 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.0-4.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.5-3.7(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 157.8,154.2,152.9,148.6,146.7$, $146.0,131.7,120.8,116.0,115.8,103.8,102.2,101.7,96.9,96.6,94.8,78.0,77.5,77.4,74.5,74.2$, 72.4, 71.9, 71.6, 69.8, 67.6, 18.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{15}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} 595.1668$, found 595.1665 .

Data for 6: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}-99.9^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$; IR (KBr) 3371, 2908, 1626, 1466, 1283, $1063 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{brd}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.5-3.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.2-3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 157.9,154.2,153.2,149.1,146.6,146.0,131.7,120.4,116.0,115.6,103.9,102.2,101.9$, 97.0, 96.7, 95.8, 77.9, 77.8, 77.0, 74.5, 74.1, 72.4, 71.9, 71.4, 69.8, 67.7, 18.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{15}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} 595.1668$, found 595.1668.

Data for 7: $[\alpha] \mathrm{D}^{21}-27.6^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.25, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$; IR (KBr) $3376,2917,1631,1519,1301,1059 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 7.29$ (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.51 .5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.3-3.7(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 158.1,157.1,153.4,146.0,145.3,138.6,132.2$, $126.4,121.2,116.3,115.6,102.2,101.0,100.2,97.7,95.1,78.0,77.0,75.1,74.1,72.3,72.1,71.5$, 69.8, 67.9, 23.0, 18.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{15}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$595.1668, found 595.1671.

## Hydrogenation

## Ent-epicatechin 3-O-rutinoside (8) from (2S)-Flav-3-en-3-ol 3-O-rutinoside (5)

To a solution of 3a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.168 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH was added $10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{of} \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(43 \mathrm{mg})$. The solution was stirred under 1 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 4 h at room temperature. The catalyst was removed by filtration through Celite pad and the Celite pad was washed with MeOH. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was purified by ODS column chromatography (Develosil ODS-HG5, Nomura Chemicals) with $10 \% \rightarrow 15 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ aqueous solution to give 8 $(52.6 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%)$ as a white solid.

Data for 8: $[\alpha]^{20}-8.1^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$; IR (KBr) 3320, 2913, 1626, 1519, 1467, 1362, 1284, $1146,1055 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94$ (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.91 (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93$ (brs, 1H), 4.75 (d, $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.3(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{dd}$,
$J=3.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.6-3.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{t}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.1-3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 157.7,157.6,157.0,145.9,145.8,131.6,119.5,116.0,115.2$, $105.1,102.3,100.5,96.6,95.7,78.8,77.6,76.8,75.8,75.3,74.1,72.3,72.2,71.7,69.8,68.3,28.3$, 18.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{15}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} 597.1825$, found 597.1827.

## Epicatechin 3-O-rutinoside (9) and catechin 3-O-rutinoside (10) from (2R)-flav-3-en-3-ol

## 3-O-rutinoside (6)

To a solution of $\mathbf{3 b}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.168 \mathrm{mmol})$ in MeOH was added $10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{of} \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(43 \mathrm{mg})$. The solution was stirred under 1 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 4 h at room temperature. The catalyst was removed by filtration through Celite pad and the Celite pad was washed with MeOH . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was purified by ODS column chromatography (Develosil ODS-HG5, Nomura Chemicals) with $10 \% \rightarrow 15 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ aqueous solution to give 9 $(15.9 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%)$ and $\mathbf{1 0}(22.8 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%)$ as white solids.
Data for 9: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}-37.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) 3377,2917,1627,1519,1462,1366,1284$, $1142,1050 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.3-3.4(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{t}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 158.0,157.8,157.0,145.7,145.5,131.5,120.3,116.1,115.7$, $102.8,102.5,100.3,96.4,95.6,79.1,77.9,76.8,74.9,74.1,73.8,72.4,72.2,71.9,69.9,68.8,24.4$, 18.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{2} 7 \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{15}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} 597.1825$, found 597.1824.

Data for 10: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}^{21}}-27.1^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) 3377,2922,1627,1519,1462,1366,1284$, $1142,1059 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.6-3.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 3.2-3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 157.8,157.5,156.5,146.3,146.2,132.1$, $119.5,116.2,114.8,103.8,102.2,100.6,96.4,95.5,80.0,77.7,76.8,76.2,75.1,74.1,72.3,72.1$, 71.6, 69.8, 68.1, 26.5, 18.1 ;HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{15}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} 597.1825$, found 597.1820.

## Ent-epicatechin 3-O-rutinoside (8) from flav-2-en-3-ol 3-O-rutinoside (7)

To a solution of $4(20 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in MeOH was added $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{mg})$. The solution was stirred under 1 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 4 h at room temperature. The catalyst was removed by filtration through Celite pad and the Celite pad was washed with MeOH . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was purified by ODS column chromatography (Develosil ODS-HG5, Nomura Chemicals) with $15 \% \rightarrow 20 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ aqueous solution to give $\mathbf{8}$ ( $7.2 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ) as a white solid.

Ent-epicatechin 3-O-rutinoside (8) and epicatechin 3-O-rutinoside (9) from cyanidin

## 3-O-rutinoside (4)

To a solution of anthocyanin $4(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in MeOH was added $10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{of} \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(42 \mathrm{mg})$. The solution was stirred under 1 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 4 h at room temperature. The catalyst was removed by filtration through Celite pad and the Celite pad was washed with MeOH. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was purified by ODS column chromatography (Develosil ODS-HG5, Nomura Chemicals) with $10 \% \rightarrow 15 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ aqueous solution to give 8 ( $46.8 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ) and 9 ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%$ ) as white solids.

## $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ reduction

(2S)-Flav-3-en-3-ol 3-O-rutinoside (5), (2R)-flav-3-en-3-ol 3-O-rutinoside (6), and flav-2-en-3-ol 3-O-rutinoside (7) from cyanidin 3-O-rutinoside (4)

To a solution of anthocyanin $4(198 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(53 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 30 min at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the resulting reaction mixture. MeOH was removed under reduced pressure at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residual aqueous solution was purified by ODS open column chromatography (COSMOSIL 75C 18 -OPN: 15\% $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to give crude products. The resultant crude products were purified by ODS column
chromatography (Develosil ODS-HG5, Nomura Chemicals) with $10 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ aqueous solution to give $5(4.9 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \%), \mathbf{6}(5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \%)$, and $7(117 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%)$ as white solids.

## Catechin 3-O-rutinoside (10) from (+)-catechin (11)

To a solution of 5,7,3'4'-O-tetrabenzylcatechin (S1) $)^{\text {S11 }}(260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, heptaacetylrutinosyl imidate ( $347 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and MS $4 \AA(1.2 \mathrm{~g})$ in dichloromethane $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TMSOTf $(14 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 80 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1 h at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The crude products were extracted with AcOEt. The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/ $\mathrm{AcOEt}=4 / 1$ to $1 / 1$ ) to give $\mathbf{S 2}$ (270 $\mathrm{mg}, 56 \%)$ as a white solid.

Data for S2: IR (KBr) 2939, 1756, 1620, 1373, 1221, 1141, $1038 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.3-7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.1-5.2(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.0(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{brd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78$ (brs, 2H), $4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.5(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95$ (s, 3H), 1.94 (s, 3H), $1.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.1,170.0,169.9,169.8,169.6,169.1,158.6,157.6,155.2,149.1,148.9,137.3,137.2$, $137.1,136.9,131.5,128.5,127.9,127.8,127.7,127.4,127.3$, 127.2, 127.0, 121.0, 114.7, 113.7, $102.4,100.6,100.3,97.7,94.4,93.9,79.3,75.1,73.4,72.7,71.2,71.1,71.0,70.9,70.1,69.8,69.6$, 69.4, 68.9, 66.8, 66.6, 27.8, 20.7, 20.6, 20.5, 20.4, 20.3, 17.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{67} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{O}_{21} \mathrm{Na}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$1233.4302, found 1233.4297.

To a solution of $\mathbf{S 2}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaOMe}(30$ mg ) at room temperature. After stirring for 38 h at this temperature, the reaction mixture was neutralized with Dowex 50W-X8. After the Dowex 50W-X8 was filtered, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a crude deacetylated product. The crude product was used in the next step without further purification. To a solution of the crude deacetylated product in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (2 $\mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $20 \mathrm{wt} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{mg})$. The solution was stirred under 1 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 19 h at room temperature. The catalyst was then removed by filtration through filter
paper by washing with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Both $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and MeOH were removed under reduced pressure at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residual aqueous solution was purified by ODS column chromatography (Develosil ODS-HG5, Nomura Chemicals) with $10 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ aqueous solution to give 10 ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) as a white solid. Data for 10 from (+)-catechin (11): IR (KBr) 3396, 2927, 1629, 1522, 1466, 1377, 1285, 1143, $1060 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71$ (dd, $J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.75 (d, $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.6-3.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.2-3.3 (m, 2H), $3.1(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 157.9,157.6,156.6,146.3,146.2,132.2,119.6$, $116.2,114.8,103.8,102.2,100.6,96.4,95.5,80.0,77.7,76.8,76.3,75.1,74.1,72.4,72.1,71.6$, 69.8, 68.1, 26.5, 18.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{15}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} 597.1825$, found 597.1824.

## Epicatechin 3-O-rutinoside (9) from (-)-epicatechin (12)

To a solution of 5,7,3'4'-O-tetrabenzylepicatechin (S3) $)^{\text {S11 }}(260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, heptaacetylrutinosyl imidate ( $347 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and MS $4 \AA(1.2 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 8 mL ) was added TMSOTf $(14 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 80 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1 h at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The crude products were extracted with AcOEt. The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/ $\mathrm{AcOEt}=4 / 1$ to $3 / 2$ ) to give $\mathbf{S 4}$ (198 $\mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ) as a white solid.

Data for S4: IR (KBr) 2939, 1753, 1618, 1374, 1220, 1141, $1045 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.3-7.5 (m, 20H), $7.22($ brs, 1 H$), 6.88($ brs, 2 H$), 6.22($ brs, 1 H$), 6.21(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.9-5.2(\mathrm{~m}$, $13 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.3(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.7-3.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.5-3.6 (m, 2H), $3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0$, $3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.2,170.0,169.9,169.8,169.6,169.1,158.7,157.8,155.8$, $148.6,137.7,137.5,137.0,136.9,131.4,128.6,128.5,128.4,128.3,127.9,127.7,127.6,127.5$, $127.4,127.3,120.5,114.7,114.5,100.4,98.0,97.2,94.5,93.5,78.0,77.2,77.0,76.8,73.4,72.5$, $71.3,71.0,70.9,70.5,70.1,70.0,69.6,69.5,68.9,66.9,66.5,23.2,20.8,20.7,20.6,20.5,19.8$,
17.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{67} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{O}_{21} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$1233.4302, found 1233.4304.

To a solution of $\mathbf{S 4}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added NaOMe $(30 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature. After stirring for 48 h at this temperature, the reaction mixture was neutralized with Dowex 50W-X8. After the Dowex 50W-X8 was filtered, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a crude deacetylated product. The crude product was used in the next step without further purification. To a solution of the crude deacetylated product in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (2 $\mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $20 \mathrm{wt} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{mg})$. The solution was stirred under 1 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 19 h at room temperature. The catalyst was then removed by filtration through filter paper by washing with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Both $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and MeOH were removed under reduced pressure at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residual aqueous solution was purified by ODS column chromatography (Develosil ODS-HG5, Nomura Chemicals) with $15 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ aqueous solution to give 9 ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ) as a white solid. Data for 9 from (-)-epicatechin (12): IR (KBr) 3383, 2929, 1630, 1521, 1467, 1372, 1285, 1143 , $1053 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0,1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.85 (dd, $J=3.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.3-3.4(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{t}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 158.0,157.8,156.9,145.6,145.5,131.5,120.2,116.1,115.6,102.8$, $102.4,100.2,96.4,95.6,79.1,77.9,76.8,74.9,74.1,73.8,72.3,72.2,71.9,69.8,68.7,24.4,18.1 ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{15}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} 597.1825$, found 597.1828.
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