Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

Photolytic mechanisms of hydroxylamine

by

Jittima Thisuwan

Division of Science Education Faculty of Education Nakhon Phanom University, Nakhon Phanom 48000, Thailand

Phorntep Promma

and

Kritsana Sagarik*

School of Chemistry Institute of Science Suranaree University of Technology Nakhon Ratchasima 30000 Thailand

Figure S1 a) Schematic diagram showing doubly occupied and active spaces used in CASPT2(10,9) calculations. b)-c) Spatial distributions of the orbitals potentially involved in $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitation of NH₂OH.



Figure S2 a) Linear relationship between $\ln k^{Q-vib}$ and 1/T used in the calculations of the enthalpy of activation (ΔH^{\dagger}) for formation of the precursor in the S₀ state (structure G1-[2]^{\dagger} in channel (1)).

b) Linear relationship between ΔG^{Rel} and T used in the calculation of the enthalpy of the exothermic process (ΔH^{Rel}) in the S₁ state (E1-[1]* \rightarrow E1-[3]*).



Figure S2a-b

Table S1Characteristic structures on the S1 potential energy curve for the O–Hdissociation in channel (1). The electronic states and the CI coefficients were

Structures	S	50	S ₁		
Structures	Conf.	CI Coeff.	Conf.	CI Coeff.	
E1-[1]	Ψ_0	0.9789	$\Psi_{\bar{9}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.9715	
	$\Psi^{11,\bar{1}1}_{\ 8,\bar{8}}$	0.0764	$\Psi^{\overline{10},11,\bar{1}1}_{\ \bar{9},8,\bar{8}}$	0.0694	
e ==>	Ψ_0	0.9730	$\Psi_{\bar{9}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.9659	
	$\Psi \overline{\overline{8}}^{\overline{10}}$	0.0649	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{9,\bar{8}}$	0.0844	
E1-[3]§	Ψ ₀	0.9294	$\Psi_{\bar{9}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.9463	
	$\Psi^{ar{1}0}_{ar{8}}$	0.2293	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{9,\bar{8}}$	0.2441	
	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{8,\bar{8}}$	0.2191	-	-	

obtained from CASPT2(10,9) calculations.

E1-[2][‡]

Table S2Characteristic structures on the S1 potential energy curve for the N–O
dissociation in channel (2). The electronic states and the CI coefficients were
obtained from CASPT2(10,9) calculations.

Structures	S	50	S ₁		
Structures	Conf.	CI Coeff.	Conf.	CI Coeff.	
F2_[1]	Ψ_0	0.9518	$\Psi^{\bar{1}0}_{\bar{9}}$	0.9453	
122-[1]	$\Psi^{ar{1}0,10}_{\ \bar{8},8}$	0.1177	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}1}_{9,\bar{8}}$	0.1591	
	-	-	$\Psi^{\overline{10},11,\bar{1}1}_{\ \bar{9},8,\bar{8}}$	0.0939	
E2-[2]*	Ψ_0	0.9700	$\Psi_{\overline{9}}^{\overline{10}}$	0.9590	
	$\Psi^{11,\bar{1}1}_{8,\bar{8}}$	0.1348	$\Psi^{\overline{10},11,\bar{11}}_{\ \bar{9},8,\bar{8}}$	0.1202	
E2-[3] [§]	Ψ_0	0.8839	$\Psi_{\overline{9}}^{\overline{1}0}$	0.9312	
	$\Psi_{\bar{8}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.3061	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{9,\bar{8}}$	0.2852	
~	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{8,\bar{8}}$	0.2684	-	-	

Table S3Characteristic structures on the S1 potential energy curve for the N–H^{cis}dissociation in channel (3). The electronic states and the CI coefficients were

Structures	S	50	S ₁		
Structures	Conf.	CI Coeff.	Conf.	CI Coeff.	
E3-[1]	Ψ_0	0.9807	$\Psi_{\bar{9}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.9733	
	$\Psi^{11,\bar{1}1}_{8,\bar{8}}$	0.0871	$\Psi^{\overline{10},11,\bar{11}}_{\ \bar{9},8,\bar{8}}$	0.0790	
-	Ψ_0	0.9740	$\Psi_{\bar{9}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.9669	
	$\Psi_{\overline{8}}^{\overline{1}0}$	0.0641	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{9,\bar{8}}$	0.0843	
E3-[3]§	Ψ ₀	0.9438	$\Psi_{\overline{9}}^{\overline{1}0}$	0.9483	
	$\Psi^{\overline{1}0}_{\overline{8}}$	0.1943	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{9,\bar{8}}$	0.2240	
	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{8,\bar{8}}$	0.1637	-	-	

obtained from CASPT2(10,9) calculations.

E3-[2]*

Table S4Characteristic structures on the S1 potential energy curve for the N–H^{trans}dissociation in channel (4). The electronic states and the CI coefficients were

Structures	S	50	S ₁		
Structures	Conf.	CI Coeff.	Conf.	CI Coeff.	
E4-[1]	Ψ ₀	0.9790	$\Psi_{\bar{9}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.9716	
	$\Psi^{11, \bar{1}1}_{8, \bar{8}}$	0.0764	$\Psi^{10,\overline{1}0}_{9,\overline{8}}$	0.0753	
E4-[2]*	Ψ ₀	0.9670	$\Psi_{\bar{9}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.9587	
I	$\Psi^{11,ar{1}1}_{8,ar{8}}$	0.1033	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{9,\bar{8}}$	0.1317	
	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{8,\bar{8}}$	0.0871	-	-	
F4-[3]§	$\Psi_{\bar{9}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.9417	Ψ_0	0.9309	
E4-[3]*	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{9,\bar{8}}$	0.2568	$\Psi^{\overline{1}0}_{\overline{8}}$	0.2330	
QQ	_	-	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{8,\bar{8}}$	0.1932	

obtained from CASPT2(10,9) calculations.

Table S5	Characteristic structures on the S ₁ potential energy curve for the formation
	NH ₃ O in channel (5). The electronic states and the CI coefficients were

Ctore tores	S	50	S_1		
Structures	Conf.	CI Coeff.	Conf.	CI Coeff.	
E5-[1]	Ψ_0	0.6747	$\Psi_{\overline{9}}^{\overline{10}}$	0.9306	
· · · · ·	$\Psi_{\overline{8}}^{\overline{10}}$	0.6018	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{9,\bar{8}}$	0.2924	
	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{8,\bar{8}}$	0.3501	-	-	
E5-[2] [‡]	Ψ_0	0.9514	$\Psi_{\overline{9}}^{\overline{10}}$	0.9525	
	$\Psi_{\overline{8}}^{\overline{1}1}$	0.1352	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}1}_{9,\bar{8}}$	0.1129	
	$\Psi^{11,ar{1}1}_{8,ar{8}}$	0.0952	$\Psi^{\overline{10},11,\bar{1}1}_{\ \bar{9},8,\bar{8}}$	0.1071	
E5-[3]	Ψ_0	0.9715	$\Psi_{\overline{9}}^{\overline{10}}$	0.9711	
	$\Psi^{11,ar{1}1}_{8,ar{8}}$	0.1018	$\Psi^{\overline{10},11,\bar{1}1}_{\ \bar{9},8,\bar{8}}$	0.1180	
	$\Psi^{\overline{1}1}_{\overline{8}}$	0.0783	-	-	

obtained from CASPT2(10,9) calculations.

Table S6Characteristic structures on the S_1 potential energy curve for the formation of
HNO and H_2 in channel (6). The electronic states and the CI coefficients were
obtained from CASPT2(10,9) calculations.

Structures	S	50	S ₁		
Structures	Conf.	CI Coeff.	Conf.	CI Coeff.	
E6-[1]	Ψ ₀	0.9141	$\Psi_{\bar{9}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.9086	
	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{8,\bar{8}}$	0.2000	$\Psi^{10,\overline{11}}_{9,\overline{9}}$	0.2675	
E6-[2] [‡]	Ψ_0	0.9212	$\Psi_{\bar{9}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.9270	
	$\Psi_{\bar{7}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.0628	$\Psi^{\overline{10},11,\bar{11}}_{\bar{9},8,\bar{8}}$	0.2569	
	Ψ	0.0402	10	0.0557	
E6-[3]		0.9495	$\Psi_{\overline{9}}$	0.9557	
	$\Psi^{10,10}_{8,\bar{8}}$	0.1960	$\Psi^{10,10}_{9,\overline{8}}$	0.0772	
	$\Psi_{\overline{8}}^{\overline{10}}$	0.0743	-	-	
20					

Table S7Characteristic structures on the S_1 potential energy curve for the formation of
NH-H2O complex. The electronic states and the CI coefficients were obtained
from CASPT2(10,9) calculations.

Structures	S	50	S ₁		
Structures	Conf.	CI Coeff.	Conf.	CI Coeff.	
E7-[1] 🔍	$\Psi_{\bar{9}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.9310	Ψ_0	0.6965	
Ì Ì₽	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{9,\bar{8}}$	0.2992	$\Psi_{\bar{8}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.5798	
	-	-	$\Psi^{10,\bar{1}0}_{8,\bar{8}}$	0.3567	
E7-[2]§	Ψ ₀	0.6858	$\Psi_{\bar{9}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.9706	
	$\Psi^{\overline{11},11}_{\bar{8},8}$	0.1057	$\Psi^{\overline{10},11,\bar{1}1}_{\ \bar{9},8,\bar{8}}$	0.1496	
6					
G7-[3]	Ψ_0	0.6956	$\Psi_{\bar{9}}^{\bar{1}0}$	0.9790	
	$\Psi^{\bar{1}1,11}_{\ \bar{8},8}$	0.0510	$\Psi^{\overline{10},11,\bar{11}}_{\ \bar{9},8,\bar{8}}$	0.0718	

Table S8Rate constants (k), enthalpies of activation (ΔH^{\dagger}) and Gibbs free energy barriers (ΔG^{\dagger}) for the formation of the
precursors in the direct covalent bond dissociations in the S₀ state, obtained based on transition state theory
and the potential energy curves. Energies, rate constants and temperatures are in kJ mol⁻¹, s⁻¹ and K,
respectively.

	$\Delta \mathbf{E}^{\dagger}$	ΔH^{\dagger}	T _c	Т	k ^{Class}	k ^{Q-vib}	k ^{S-Wig}	$\Delta \mathbf{G}^{\dagger}$
				308	1.07×10 ⁻²²	1.42×10 ⁻²¹	4.81×10 ⁻²¹	199
$G1-[1] \rightarrow G1-[2]^{\dagger}$	209	199	371	585	4.00×10 ⁻⁶	1.38×10 ⁻⁵	2.29×10-5	201
				1200	1.18×10 ⁴	1.82×10^{4}	2.11×10 ⁴	210
				308	3.42×10 ⁻¹⁹	8.42×10 ⁻²⁰	1.81×10 ⁻¹⁹	188
$G1-[1] \rightarrow G2-[2]^{\dagger}$	216	190	257	585	2.53×10-5	1.38×10 ⁻⁴	1.82×10-4	190
				1200	4.46×10 ⁴	7.75×10^{4}	8.34×10 ⁴	195
				308	3.72×10 ⁻²⁴	4.75×10 ⁻²³	9.21×10 ⁻²³	207
$G1-[1] \rightarrow G3-[2]^{\dagger}$	222	208	232	585	7.49×10 ⁻⁷	2.39×10-6	3.01×10-6	209
				1200	5.79×10 ³	8.54×10 ³	9.07×10 ³	217
				308	1.37×10 ⁻³⁷	3.00×10 ⁻³⁵	8.02×10 ⁻³⁵	279
$G1-[1] \rightarrow G4-[2]^{\dagger}$	314	281	310	585	9.87×10 ⁻¹⁴	9.87×10 ⁻¹³	1.44×10 ⁻¹²	281
				1200	4.24×10 ⁰	8.82×10^{0}	9.80×10 ⁰	286

 ΔE^{\dagger} = energy barrier with respect to structure **G1-[1]**; T_c = crossover temperature; ⁺ = transition structure; k^{Class} = rate constant obtained from classical transition state theory (TST); k^{Q-vib} = rate constant obtained with quantized vibrations including the zero-point vibrational energy; k^{S-Wig} = rate constant obtained with quantized vibrations and tunneling correction through the simplified Wigner correction to the second order; ΔH^{\dagger} and ΔG^{\dagger} = enthalpy of activation and Gibbs free energy barrier with respect to structure **G1-[1]**.

Table S9Enthalpies (ΔH^{Rel}) and relative Gibbs free energies (ΔG^{Rel}) for direct covalent bond dissociations in the S1
state, obtained based on the transition state theory and barrierless potential energy curves ($\Delta E^{\dagger} = 0$ kJ mol⁻¹). Energies and temperatures are in kJ mol⁻¹ and K, respectively.

	$\Delta \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{Rel}}$	$\Delta \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{Rel}}$	Т	$\Delta \mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{Rel}}$
E1-[1] [*] → E1-[3]§			308	-10
	-227	-3	585	-15
			1200	-30
			308	-127
$\mathrm{E2}\text{-}[2]^* \rightarrow \mathrm{E2}\text{-}[3]^{\S}$	-168	-124	585	-130
_			1200	-136
			308	-12
$E3-[2]^* \rightarrow E3-[3]^{\S}$	-12	-9	585	-28
			1200	-63

 ΔE^{Rel} = relative energy with respect to the vertically excited structure; * = vertically excited structure; \$ = structure at the intersection of the S₀ and S₁ potential energy curves; ΔH^{Rel} and ΔG^{Rel} = enthalpy and relative Gibbs free energy with respect to the vertically excited structure.

Table S10Enthalpies (ΔH^{Rel}) and relative Gibbs free energies (ΔG^{Rel}) for formations of NH₃O, HNO and H₂, and the N-
H..H₂O complex obtained from unimolecular isomerizations of dissociated structures in the S₀ state,

	ΔE^{Rel}	ΔH^{Rel}	Τ	ΔG^{Rel}
			308	-215
$\text{G5-[1]}^{\S} \rightarrow \text{G5-[3]}$	-241	-219	585	-212
			1200	-205
		-279	308	-301
$\mathbf{G6\text{-}[1]^{\$} \rightarrow \mathbf{G6\text{-}[3]}}$	-285		585	-319
_			1200	-363
			308	-154
$\text{G7-[1]} \rightarrow \text{G7-[3]}$	-180	-154	585	-152
			1200	-152

computed based on transition state theory and barrierless potential energy curves ($\Delta E^{\dagger} = 0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$). Energies and temperatures are in kJ mol⁻¹ and K, respectively.

 ΔE^{Rel} = relative energy with respect to the precursor; \$ = structure at the intersection of the S₀ and S₁ potential energy curves; ΔH^{Rel} and ΔG^{Rel} = enthalpy and relative Gibbs free energy with respect to dissociated structure.

Table S11Rate constants (k), enthalpies (ΔH) and Gibbs free energies (ΔG) for formations of NH₃O, HNO and H₂, and
the N-H..H₂O complex from unimolecular isomerizations of dissociated structures in the S₁ state, obtained
based on the transition state theory and potential energy curves. Energies, rate constants and temperatures
are in kJ mol⁻¹, s⁻¹ and K, respectively.

	$\Delta E^{\dagger (\mathrm{Rel})}$	$\Delta H^{\dagger (Rel)}$	Tc	Т	k ^{Class}	k ^{Q-vib}	k ^{S-Wig}	$\Delta G^{\ddagger \text{(Rel)}}$
				308	7.98×10 ²	2.40×10 ³	1.04×10 ⁴	56
$E5-[1] \rightarrow E5-[2]^{\dagger}$	55	52	437	585	2.01×10 ⁷	3.14×10 ⁷	6.03×10 ⁷	63
				1200	6.56×10 ⁹	7.55×10 ⁹	9.20×10 ⁹	81
				308				(-9)
$\mathrm{E5}\text{-}[2]^{\dagger} \rightarrow \mathrm{E5}\text{-}[3]$	(-41)	(-12)	-	585	-	Barierless potential	-	(-7)
				1200				(-1)
				308	6.38×10 ³	5.04×10 ⁵	1.42×10 ⁶	42
$\mathbf{E6}\text{-}[1]^{\$} \rightarrow \mathbf{E6}\text{-}[2]^{\ddagger}$	71	57	324	585	2.87×10 ⁹	1.49×10^{10}	2.24×10 ¹⁰	33
				1200	4.88×10 ¹²	7.78×10 ¹²	8.71×10 ¹²	12
				308				(-234)
$\mathrm{E6}\text{-}[2]^{\dagger} \rightarrow \mathrm{E6}\text{-}[3]$	(-221)	(-201)	-	585	-	Barierless potential	-	(-262)
				1200				(-328)
-				308				(-254)
$\text{E7-[1]} \rightarrow \text{E7-[3]}^{\$}$	(-249)	(-230)	-	585	-	Barierless potential	-	(-272)
				1200				(-319)

 ΔE^{\dagger} and ΔE^{Rel} = relative energies on the potential energy curve with respect to the precursor; T_c = crossover temperature; † = transition structure; $^{\$}$ = structure at the intersection of the S₀ and S₁ potential energy curves; k^{Class} = rate constant obtained from classical transition-state theory (TST); k^{Q-vib} = rate constant obtained with quantized vibrations including the zero-point vibrational energy; k^{S-Wig} = rate constant obtained with quantized vibrations and tunneling correction through the simplified Wigner correction to the second order; ΔH^{\dagger} and ΔG^{\dagger} = enthalpy and Gibbs free energy barrier with respect to the precursor; ΔH^{Rel} and ΔG^{Rel} = enthalpy and relative Gibbs free energy with respect to the transition structure; (...) = value obtained based on barrierless potential.

Table S12Vertical excitation wavelengths (λ) and energies (E^{Ex}) and
corresponding normalized oscillator strengths with respect
to structure G1-[1].

Structure	λ (nm)	E ^{Ex} (eV)	Oscillator strength
G1-[1]	194	6.38	1.00
G2-[2] [‡]	435	2.85	0.007
G3-[2] [‡]	530	2.34	0.010
G4-[2] [‡]	646	1.92	0.010