Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019

Supporting Information

Porous CuBi₂O₄ Photocathodes with Rationally Engineered Morphology and Composition towards Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting

Youxun Xu,^a Jie Jian, ^a Fan Li, ^a Wei Liu, ^a Lichao Jia,^{*b} and Hongqiang Wang^{*a}

^aState Key Laboratory of Solidification Processing, Center for Nano Energy Materials, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern Polytechnical University and Shaanxi Joint Labortary of Graphene, Xi'an, 710072, P. R. China.

^bKey Laboratory of Applied Surface and Colloid Chemistry, National Ministry of Education, Shaanxi Key Laboratory for Advanced Energy Devices, Shaanxi Engineering Lab for Advanced Energy Technology, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Institution Shaanxi Normal University, Address 2620 West Chang'an Street, Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710119, China.

+ E-mail: hongqiang.wang@nwpu.edu.cn; lichaojia@snnu.edu.cn



Figure S1. Cross sectional SEM image of the CuBi₂O₄ film by spin coating 0.15 M precursor solution with no F-108 (CBO).



Figure S2. SEM images of $CuBi_2O_4$ film by spin coating 0.15 M precursor solution with (a) 0.05 mg/ml F-108 (CBO-F-108-1) and (b) 0.25 mg/ml F-108 (CBO-F-108-1).



Figure S3. TG curve of F-108.



Figure S4. Photographs of precursor solution with ethanol and acetic acid (2:1 by volume) aging for different time of periods.



Figure S5. SEM images of uneven CuBi₂O₄ films by spin coating hydrolyzed precursor solution.



Figure S6. Photographs of precursor solution with 35% ethylene glycol by volume aging for different time of periods.



Figure S7. Cyclic voltammetry curves of (a) CBO (no F-108, no EG), (b) CBO-F-108-2 and (c) CBO-EG-2 photocathodes at various scan rates (20-100 mV s⁻¹). (d) Estimate of double layer capacitance to reflect the electrochemical active surface area.



Figure S8. (a) XRD patterns of CBO (no F-108 and no EG), CBO-F-108-1, CBO-F-108-2 and CBO-F-108-3. (b) XRD patterns of CBO-EG-1, CBO-EG-2 and CBO-EG-3.



Figure S9. Cross-sectional SEM-EDS mapping of CBO-EG-2 film.



Figure S10. (a)-(d) SEM-EDS mappings of CBO-EG-2 calcining at 450 °C for 1h. (e) Element content distribution map of the CuBi₂O₄ film.



Figure S11. SEM images of nanoporous $CuBi_2O_4$ films calcined at different temperatures: (a) 350 °C, (b) 400 °C, (c) 500 °C, and (d) 550 °C. (e) Chopped LSV scans of these samples performed in 0.3 M K₂SO₄ and 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.65) with H₂O₂ back AM1.5 illumination.



Figure S12. SEM images of nanoporous CuBi₂O₄ films with different thicknesses: (a) 150 nm, (b) 300 nm, and (c) 400 nm.



Figure S13. Chopped (light/dark) LSV scans of CBO (no F-108, no EG) performed in 0.3 M K_2SO_4 and 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.65) with H_2O_2 back AM1.5 illumination.



Figure S14. Digital photographs of CBO films with various Cu/Bi ratios.



Figure S15. SEM images of CBO films with various Cu/Bi ratios.

Figure S16. (a) UV/Vis absorbance spectra of CBO films with various Cu/Bi ratios. (b) Tauc plots of CBO films converted from the UV/Vis absorbance spectra. Bandgap of CBO films are 1.57, 1.58, 1.60, 1.70, and 1.67 eV for 0.40 CBO, 0.45 CBO, 0.50 CBO, 0.55 CBO, and 0.60 CBO samples.

Figure S17. XRD patterns of the fabricated photocathodes.

Figure S18. (a) UPS cutoff spectra for different CBO films; Magnified UPS spectra used to estimate (b) work function and (c) VBM.

Figure S19. (a) Constant potential measurements for 0.55 CBO photocathode at 0.6 V vs RHE in 0.3 M K_2SO_4 and 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.65), the on and off photocurrent at around 1.0 h and 2.0 h was due to the chopped illumination of the light source. (b) Raman spectra of 0.55 CBO photocathode before and after stability test. SEM images of 0.55 CBO photocathode (c) before test and (d) after test.

Figure S20. SEM-EDS mapping of 0.55 CBO photocathode after testing for two hours at 0.6 V vs RHE in 0.3 M K_2SO_4 and 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.65).

Table S1. Process parameters of CuBi ₂ O ₄ films with different thicknesses.				
Thickness	150 nm	300 nm	400 nm	
Precursor concentration	0.12 M	0.18 M	0.225 M	
(Cu)				
Solvent	acetic acid : ethanol :	acetic acid : ethanol :	acetic acid : ethanol :	
	ethylene glycol 1:1:1	ethylene glycol 1:1:1	ethylene glycol 1:1:1	
F-108	0.1 g/ml	0.2 g/ml	0.225 g/ml	
Rotating speed	2000r,10s; 4500r,40s	2000r,10s; 3500r,40s	2000r,10s; 3000r,40s	
Preheating temperature	150 °C	150 °C	125 °C	
Calcination temperature	450 °C	450 °C	450 °C	

Sample (Cu/Bi	Rs (ohm)	R _{ct} (ohm)		
ratio)				
0.4 CBO	78	15000		
0.45 CBO	80	5437		
0.5 CBO	77	6132		
0.55 CBO	84	4980		
0.6 CBO	1980	9440		

Table S2. Series resistance (R_s) and charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) of different CuBi₂O₄ samples.

Schottky plot.			
Sample (Cu/Bi	$\phi_{\mathbf{fb}}\left(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{RHE}}\right)$	N _A (cm ⁻³)	
ratio)			
0.4 CBO	1.31	$1.7 imes 10^{18}$	
0.45 CBO	1.35	$2.3 imes 10^{18}$	
0.5 CBO	1.31	$2.4 imes 10^{18}$	
0.55 CBO	1.26	$4.2 imes 10^{18}$	
0.6 CBO	1.31	$2.5 imes 10^{18}$	

Table S3. Flat-band potential (ϕ_{fb}) and acceptor density (N_A) of different Cu/Bi ratio CBO samples calculated by Mott–Schottky plot.

Sample (Cu/Bi ratio)	E _g (eV)	E _v (eV)	E _c (eV)	E _f (eV)
0.4 CBO	1.57	5.47	3.90	4.69
0.45 CBO	1.58	5.42	3.84	4.82
0.5 CBO	1.60	5.60	4.00	4.92
0.55 CBO	1.67	5.51	3.84	5.11
0.6 CBO	1.70	5.36	3.66	4.81

Table S4. Bandgap and positions (distance from the vacuum level) of VBM (E_v), CBM (E_c), and Fermi level (E_f) of CBO samples determined by UV/Vis spectroscopy and UPS.

Table S5. Experimental results summary for the recently published $CuBi_2O_4$ photocathodes for PEC water splitting.

CuBi ₂ O ₄	Photocurrent @	Electrolyte	Light Source	Preparation	References
Photocathode	Potential (mA/cm ²)			Technique	
CuO/ CuBi ₂ O ₄ /Pt	0.7 @ 0 V NHE	$0.3M K_2 SO_4$	>420nm	Drop-casting	S1
		рН 6.8			
FTO/Au/	-1.24 @ 0.1V vs	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	AM1.5G	Cathodically	S2
CuBi ₂ O ₄ /Pt	RHE	рН 6.8	Simulator	electrochemical	
				deposition	
Ag- CuBi ₂ O ₄ /Pt	1.0@0.6 V RHE	0.1 M NaOH (pH	AM1.5G	Electrodeposition	S3
		with O ₂	Simulator		
CuBi ₂ O ₄	2.0 @0.6 V RHE	$0.3 \text{ M} \text{ K}_2 \text{SO}_4 \text{ and}$	AM1.5G	Spray pyrolysis	S4
		0.2 M phosphate	Simulator		
		buffer, with H ₂ O ₂			
CuBi ₂ O ₄	0.02 @ -0.25V vs	0.3 M Na ₂ SO ₄	100 mW/cm ²	Hydrothermal	S5
	Ag/AgCl				
CuBi ₂ O ₄ /Pt	0.5 @ 0.4 V RHE	$0.3 \text{ M K}_2\text{SO}_4 \text{ and}$	AM1.5G	Drop-casting	S6
		0.2 M phosphate	Simulator		
		buffer			
CuBi ₂ O ₄	0.07@ 0.6V vs	$0.1 \text{ M} \text{ Na}_2 \text{SO}_4$	Xe lamp, >120 nm	Electrochemical	S7
	RHE	adjusted pH 10.8	24201111	Synthesis	
CuBi ₂ O ₄ /CuO	0.28 @ -0.4V vs	$0.1 \text{ M} \text{ Na}_2 \text{SO}_4$	Xe lamp, 400	Spray-coating	S8
	Ag/AgCl	pH 6.8	W		
CuBi ₂ O ₄	0.03 @ -0.4V vs	$0.1 \text{ M} \text{ Na}_2 \text{SO}_4$	500W Xe	Electrodeposition	S9
	Ag/AgCl	pH 6.8	lamp,>420nm		
			filter		
CuB ₁₂ O ₄	0.12(a) - 0.3 V vs	$0.5 \text{ M} \text{ Na}_2 \text{SO}_4$	AM 1.5, 100	Flux-mediated one-	S10
	Ag/AgCl		mW cm ⁻²	pot solution	
Gradiant CuDi O		0.2 M V SO and	AM15C	process	S11
	2.5 @ 0.6V vs	$0.3 \text{ M} \text{ K}_2 \text{ SO}_4 \text{ and}$ 0.2 M phosphate	Simulator	Spray pyrorysis	511
	KHE (With H_2O_2)	buffer (H_2O_2)			
Taxturad	0.72 @ 0.6V vs		AM15C	Vacuum Dron	S12
CuBiO	0.72 (a) -0.0 v vs	$0.1 \text{ M} \text{ Na}_2 \text{ SO}_4$	Simulator	vacuum Drop-	512
$CuDl_2O_4$	2.82 @ 0.6V vc	$0.3 \text{ M K}_2\text{SO}_4 \text{ and}$	AM1 5G	Spray	\$12
	2.83 @ 0.0V VS	0.2 M phosphate	Simulator	pyrolysis	515
C. D. O		buffer (H_2O_2)	AM1 5G		014
(DisC) = 1.5	1.1/ @ 0.58 V	with 0.1 M	Simulator	spin coating	514
(BI:Cu=1.5)	VS KHE	Na ₂ S ₂ O ₈ pH 8.2			
CuBi ₂ O ₄	2.66 @ 0.6V vs	$0.3 \text{ M K}_2\text{SO}_4 \text{ and}$ 0.2 M phosphate	AM1.5G Simulator	spin coating	This work
	RHE (With	buffer (H_2O_2)	Simulator		
	H_2O_2)				

References

- S1. H. S. Park, C.-Y. Lee and E. Reisner. Photoelectrochemical reduction of aqueous protons with a CuO|CuBi₂O₄ heterojunction under visible light irradiation. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2014, 16, 22462- 22465.
- S2. D. Cao, N. Nasori, Z. Wang, Y. Mi, L. Wen, Y. Yang, S. Qu, Z. Wang and Y. Lei. p-type CuBi₂O₄: an Easily Accessible Photocathodic Material for High-efficient Water Splitting. J. Mater. Chem. A., 2016, 4, 8995-9001.
- S3. D. Kang, J. C. Hill, Y. Park, and K.-S. Choi. Photoelectrochemical Properties and Photostabilities of High Surface Area CuBi₂O₄ and Ag-Doped CuBi₂O₄ Photocathodes. Chem. Mater., 2016, 28, 4331-4340.
- S4. F. Wang, A. Chemseddine, F. F. Abdi, R. van de Krol, S. P. Berglund. Spray pyrolysis of CuBi₂O₄ photocathodes: improved solution chemistry for highly homogeneous thin films. J. Mater. Chem. A., 2017, 5, 12838-12847.
- S5. L. Zhu, P. Basnet, S. R. Larson, L. P. Jones, J. Y. Howe, R. A. Tripp, and Y. Zhao. Visible Light- Induced Photoeletrochemical and Antimicrobial Properties of Hierarchical CuBi₂O₄ by Facile Hydrothermal Synthesis. ChemistrySelect 2016, 1, 1518-1524.
- S6. S. P. Berglund, F. F. Abdi, P. Bogdanoff, A. Chemseddine, D. Friedrich, and R. van de Krol. Comprehensive Evaluation of CuBi₂O₄ as a Photocathode Material for Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting. Chem. Mater. 2016, 28, 4231-4242.
- N. T. Hahn, V. C. Holmberg, B. A. Korgel, and C. Buddie Mullins. Electrochemical Synthesis and Characterization of p- CuBi₂O₄ Thin Film Photocathodes. J. Phys. Chem. C 2012, 116, 6459-6466.
- S8. M. K. Hossain, G. F. Samu, K. Gandha, S. Santhanagopalan, J. Ping Liu, C. Janáky, and K. Rajeshwar. Solution Combustion Synthesis, Characterization, and Photocatalytic Activity of CuBi₂O₄ and Its Nanocomposites with CuO and α-Bi2O3. J. Phys. Chem. C 2017, 121, 8252-8261.
- S9. Y. Nakabayashi, M. Nishikawa, Y. Nosaka. Fabrication of CuBi₂O₄ photocathode through novel anodic electrodeposition for solar hydrogen production. Electrochimica Acta, 2014, 125, 191-198.
- S10. Y.-H. Choi, K. D. Yang, D.-H. Kim, K. T. Nam, S.-H. Hong. p-Type CuBi₂O₄ thin films prepared by flux-mediated one-pot solution process with improved structural and photoelectrochemical characteristics. Materials Letters, 2017, 188, 192-196.
- S11. F. Wang, W. Septina, A. Chemseddine, F. F. Abdi, D. Friedrich, P. Bogdanoff, R. van de Krol, S. D. Tilley, and S. P. Berglund. Gradient self-doped CuBi₂O₄ with highly improved charge separation efficiency. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 15094-15103.
- S12. J. Li, M. Griep, Y. Choi and D. Chu, Photoelectrochemical overall water splitting with textured CuBi₂O₄ as a photocathode, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 3331-3334.
- S13. A. Song, P. Plate, A. Chemseddine, F. Wang, F. F. Abdi, M. Wollgarten, R. van de Krol and S. P. Berglund, Cu:NiO as a hole-selective back contact to improve the photoelectrochemical performance of CuBi₂O₄ thin film photocathodes, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 9183–9194.
- S14. Z. Zhang, S. A. Lindley, R. Dhall, K. Bustillo, W. Han, E. Xie, and J. K. Cooper, Beneficial CuO Phase Segregation in the Ternary p-Type Oxide Photocathode CuBi₂O₄, ACS Appl. Energy Mater.2019, 2, 4111-4117.