# Hydrogen bonding boosted persistent room temperature phosphorescence of pure organics for multiple applications 
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## Experimental Section

Instruments. Emission and UV-vis absorption spectra were respectively tested on a LS 55 (Perkin Elmer Inc., USA) fluorescence spectrometer and an ultraviolet/visible light photometer Lambda 35 (Perkin Elmer, Inc., USA). With a delay time ( $t_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) of $\geq 0.1 \mathrm{~ms}$, all nanosecond emission signals can be excluded according to the fluorescence spectrometer instructions. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data were recorded on a AVANCE ш HD 500 NMR spectrometer in deuterated dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) at room temperature, and chemical shifts were reported in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS, $\delta=0$ ppm ). Absolute emission efficiencies ( $\Phi_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) and fluorescence lifetimes ( $\langle\tau\rangle_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) of crystals, films and solutions were determined on a $\mathrm{QM} / \mathrm{TM} / \mathrm{IM}$ steady-transient time-resolved spectroscopy (PTI, USA). Emission lifetimes of the crystalline samples were measured on a FLS980 (Edinburgh, UK) spectrofluorometer. XRD data was recorded on a D8 Advance diffractometer (Bruker, German) at a scan rate of $6^{\circ}(2 \theta) / \mathrm{min}$ (scan range: $2-70^{\circ}$ ). Single crystal data was recorded on a Bruker D8 VENTURE CMOS photon 100 diffractometer with helios mx multilayer monochromator Cu with $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=1.54178 \AA$ ). High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were performed on an ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer (ACQUITYTM UPLC \& Q-TOF MS Premier, USA). Photographs and video were taken on a digital camera (Canon EOS 70D, Japan). Dynamic light scattering (DLS) was performed on a 90 plus particle size analyzer. In vitro and in vivo afterglow imaging were collected on IVIS Lumina II imaging system.

Materials. Methyl 4-bromobenzoate (Energy Chemical Ltd.), methyl 3-bromobenzoate (J\&K Chemical Scientific Ltd.), methyl 2-bromobenzoate (J\&K Chemical Scientific Ltd.), palladium acetate $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right.$, J\&K Chemical Scientific Ltd.], tri-tert-butylphosphine $\left[\mathrm{P}(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{3}\right.$, Adamas Reagent Ltd.] polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) (TCI Shanghai Development Co., Ltd.) and carbazole (CZ, Adamas

Reagent Ltd.) were analytical reagents. CZ was purified through column chromatography before use, and other materials were used directly. Sodiumhydroxide, tetrahydrofuran (THF), methanol, hydrochloric acid ( concentration $=35 \%$ ), 1,2-dichloroethane, petroleum ether $(\mathrm{PE})$, toluene and copper powder were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. and used as received. The amphiphilic surfactant PEG-b-PPG-b-PEG (F127) was purchased from Laysan Bio, Inc. (Arab, AL).

Synthesis of 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ and 2-BACZ. We synthesized methyl 4-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)benzoate (4-MBACZ), methyl 3-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)benzoate (3-MABCZ) and methyl 2-(9H-carbazol-9yl)benzoate (2-MBACZ) using carbazole (CZ) and methyl benzoate (MBA) conjugates in our previous report [S1]. In this paper, we further synthesized the corresponding acids as below route. ${ }^{[52]} 4-(9 \mathrm{H}-$ carbazol-9-yl)benzoic acid (4-BACZ) was synthesized first as route below. 4-MBACZ (347.3 mg) was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran ( 10 mL ) in a two-neck flask, and 5 mL methanol was added, followed by 5 mL sodium hydroxide solution $(0.8 \mathrm{M})$. The reaction was started under $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, and the reaction was confirmed to be end through thin-layer chromatography (TLC). The reaction mixture was then poured into a beaker containing deionized water $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hydrochloric acid (concentration $35 \%, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), then white precipitate was obtained. After standing for 5 h , the white precipitate was filtered and dried in a vacuum oven, then white solid ( 320.8 mg ) was obtained in yield $96.9 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of 4-BACZ: $13.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26-8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.79-7.77 (m, 2H), 7.50-7.45 (m, 4H), 7.33-7.30 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of 4-BACZ: 166.69, $140.84,139.58,131.27,129.37,126.42,126.27,123.09,120.59,120.54,109.77$. HRMS, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calcd. 288.1025, found 288.1020.

3-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)benzoic acid (3-BACZ) and 2-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)benzoic acid (2-BACZ) were synthesized in similar approach in yields $94.0 \%$ and $84.3 \%$ respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO-
$\left.d_{6}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of 3-BACZ: $13.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27-8.26(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.11-8.10(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7,84-$ $7.83(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.30(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of 3-BACZ: 167.06, 140.43, 137.64, 133.33, 131.55, 131.18, 128.79, 127.52, 126.87, 123.34, 121.10, 120.80, 109.93. HRMS, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calcd. 288.1025, found 288.1018.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of 2-BACZ: $12.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23-8.22(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.09-8.07(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.10-7.08 (d, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ of 2-BACZ: 166.70, 141.01, 135.52, $133.38,131.33,131.12,129.88,128.73,126.01,122.62,120.35,119.56,109.24 . \operatorname{HRMS},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calcd. 288.1025, found 288.1024.

Crystal culture. Single crystals of 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ and 2-BACZ, which are suitable for X-ray crystallography, were obtained through slow evaporation from their dilute solution in chloroform.

Computational Details. The 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ, 2-BACZ molecules were extracted from their crystal structures, the 4-MBACZ, 3-BMACZ, 2-MBACZ molecules were extracted from the crystal structures in report [S1]. The computational models were built from the crystal structure. The quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) theory with two-layer ONIOM method was implemented to deal with the electronic structures in crystal, where the central molecule is chosen as the active QM part and set as the high layer, while the surrounding ones are chosen as the MM part and defined as the low layer. The universal force field (UFF) was used for the MM part, and the molecules of MM part were frozen during the $\mathrm{QM} / \mathrm{MM}$ geometry optimizations. The electronic embedding is adopted in QM/MM calculations by incorporating the partial charges of the MM region into the quantum
mechanical Hamiltonian. The B3LYP/6-31G(d) was employed to evaluate the natural transition orbitals (NTOs) for the lowest triplet states and reorganization energy by using Gaussian 09 package. ${ }^{[53]}$ Preparation of films. After the sample (4-BACZ/3-BACZ/2-BACZ) ( 1 mg ) and PMMA ( 99 mg ) were dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 5 mL ), a piece of transparent film was obtained by drop casting and dried in a vacuum oven at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for overnight before use.

Preparation of nanoparticles (NPs). The sample 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ or 2-BACZ solids (1 mg) was added into the aqueous solution ( 3 mL ) of F127 $(10 \mathrm{mg})$. The solution was then sonicated for 10 minutes through a microtip-equipped probe sonicator (Branson, S-250D). Afterwards, NPs solution was obtained by filtering the mixture in a $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ syringe driven filter.

Cell Culture. We purchased Hela cervical cancer cells from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The cells were cultured with 1\% penicillin-streptomyc and 10\% FBS in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM).

Cytotoxicity Study. Cytotoxicity tests were conducted according to the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. A 96-well plates (Costar, IL, USA) contained sample NPs of concentration $25,50,100$ and $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively. Hela cancer cells were seeded and kept at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h , then washed twice with $1 \times$ PBS. $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of freshly prepared MTT $(0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ solution was added for each well. 3 h later, after removing the MTT solution, DMSO $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added into each well. The plate was then gently shaken for 10 minutes. Cell viability was expressed. The ratio was of the absorbance of cells cultured in NPs suspension to that of cells cultured only in medium reflects cell viability. The absorbance of cells was tested on the microplate reader (Genios Tecan).

In Vivo Afterglow Imaging. We purchased BALB/c nude mice aged at 6 weeks from the Laboratory

Animal Center of the Academy of Military Medical Sciences (Beijing, China) in this paper.

After being anesthetized with $2 \%$ isoflurane at oxygen atmosphere, the nude mice were subcutaneously injected the sample NPs ( 25 nM based on NPs) at the left back, then placed in IVIS instrument cabinet, and subjected to 30 s irradiation with a hand-held UV lamp ( $10 \mathrm{~mW} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-2}$ ) at 365 nm . Then, in the bioluminescence mode, the IVIS system was used to remove the light source, and an open filter (exposure time: 17 s ) was set to obtain the image. During this process, the mice were placed on a heating pad.


Scheme S1 Synthetic route to 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ and 2-BACZ.


Fig. S1 High resolution mass spectrum of 4-BACZ.


Fig. S2 High resolution mass spectrum of 3-BACZ.


Fig. S3 High resolution mass spectrum of 2-BACZ.
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Fig. S4 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 4-BACZ in DMSO- $d_{6}$.


Fig. S5 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 4-BACZ in DMSO- $d_{6}$.


Fig. S6 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3-BACZ in DMSO- $d_{6}$.




Fig. S7 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 3-BACZ in DMSO- $d_{6}$.


Fig. $\mathbf{S 8}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2-BACZ in DMSO- $d_{6}$.


Fig. S9 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 2-BACZ in DMSO- $d_{6}$.


Fig. S10 (A) Absorption, (B) emission ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=293 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), (C) lifetime ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=325 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and (D) photos taken under 312 nm UV of 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ and 2-BACZ in THF solution $\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$.


Fig. S11 (A) Emission spectra $\left(\lambda_{e x}=293 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ and $(B)$ lifetimes $\left(\lambda_{e x}=335 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ of the films with $1 \mathrm{wt} \%$ compounds for $4-\mathrm{BACZ}, 3-\mathrm{BACZ}$ and $2-\mathrm{BACZ}$.


Fig. S12 Photographs of 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ and 2-BACZ solids taken before and after ceasing 365 nm UV light.


Fig. S13 XRD patterns of the 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ and 2-BACZ solids.


Fig. S14 (A) Fluorescence lifetimes for 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ, and 2-BACZ crystals and (B) peak deconvolution analysis curves for 4-BACZ.

The RTP yields ( $\Phi_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) were calculated based on their proportions of the area of RTP peaks in the whole emissions. For 4-BACZ: $\Phi_{\mathrm{p}}=53.26 \% \times \frac{397.57+3105.64}{397.57+3105.64+8699.93+5200.00+8499.93+1200.00} \approx 6.9 \%$ (Table S1).

Table S1 The peaks fitting data for 4-BACZ.

| Peak index | Peak type | Area Intg | FWHM | Max height | Center Grvty | Area IntgP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gaussian | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 397.36 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Gaussian | 1200.00 | 19.00 | 59.33 | 413.00 | 4.43 |
| 3 | Gaussian | 8499.93 | 35.50 | 224.94 | 425.00 | 31.36 |
| 4 | Gaussian | 5200.00 | 37.50 | 130.27 | 449.00 | 19.19 |
| 5 | Gaussian | 8699.93 | 66.00 | 123.83 | 481.00 | 32.10 |
| 6 | Lorentz | 3105.64 | 71.00 | 32.28 | 541.00 | 11.46 |
| 7 | Gaussian | 397.57 | 100.00 | 3.76 | 581.00 | 1.47 |

Table S2 Single crystal data of 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ and 2-BACZ.

| Compound reference | $4-\mathrm{BACZ}$ | $3-\mathrm{BACZ}$ | $2-\mathrm{BACZ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| Formula Mass | 287.30 | 287.30 | 287.30 |
| system | Triclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| $a / \AA$ | $11.660(2)$ | $4.02120(10)$ | $27.6342(16)$ |
| $b / \AA$ | $15.654(4)$ | $18.6170(4)$ | $8.7182(5)$ |
| $c / \AA$ | $18.325(4)$ | $20.8228(5)$ | $12.6486(7)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 88.065 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $75.24(2)$ | $94.2040(10)$ | $101.026(2)$ |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 68.408 | 90 | 90 |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $3000.7(12)$ | $1554.66(6)$ | $2991.1(3)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | 299 | 299 | 298 |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}-1$ | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Density $/\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.272 | 1.227 | 1.276 |
| c | 8 | 4 | 4 |



Fig. S15 All intramolecular contacts of 4-BACZ in single crystal structure.


Fig. S16 All intramolecular contacts of (A) 3-BACZ and (B) 2-BACZ in single crystal structure.
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Fig. S17 Torsion angles between CZ and benzene ring (BR) of (A) 4-BACZ, (B) 3-BACZ and (C) 2BACZ.
A)



Fig. S18 Torsion angles between carboxyl and BR of (A) 4-BACZ, (B) 3-BACZ and (C) 2-BACZ.

Table S3 Torsion angle between CZ and BR of 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ and 2-BACZ.

| compounds | torsion angle between CZ and $\mathrm{BR} /{ }^{\circ}$ | mean torsion angle $/^{\circ}$ | mean (mean torsion angle) $/{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $43.3 / 36.2$ | 43.8 |  |  |
| $4-\mathrm{BACZ}$ | $50.6 / 53.4$ | 52.0 | 49.7 |
|  | $54.7 / 54.6$ | 54.6 |  |
| $3-\mathrm{BACZ}$ | $48.2 / 48.7$ | 48.4 |  |
|  | $52.9 / 46.0$ | 49.4 | 70.4 |
| 2-BACZ | $78.8 / 79.6$ | 79.2 |  |
|  | $53.4 / 69.7$ | 61.5 |  |

Table S4 Torsion angle between carboxyl and BR of 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ and 2-BACZ.

| compounds | torsion angle between carboxyl and $\mathrm{BR} /{ }^{\circ}$ | mean torsion angle $/{ }^{\circ}$ | mean (mean torsion angle) ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $19.8 / 20.4$ | 20.1 |  |
| $4-\mathrm{BACZ}$ | $3.4 / 2.3$ | 2.8 |  |
|  | $3.5 / 1.2$ | 2.4 | 7.05 |
| 3-BACZ | $2.7 / 3.1$ | 2.9 |  |
|  | $0.7 / 0.8$ | 0.8 |  |
| 2-BACZ | $18.6 / 18.4$ | 18.5 | 20.3 |
|  | $21.6 / 22.4$ | 22.0 |  |

Table S5 Torsion angle between CZ and BR of 4-MBACZ, 3-MBACZ and 2-MBACZ. ${ }^{a}$

| compounds | torsion angle between CZ and $\mathrm{BR} /{ }^{\circ}$ | mean torsion angle $/{ }^{\circ}$ | mean (mean torsion angle) $/{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4-\mathrm{MBACZ}$ | $55.6 / 48.3$ | 52.0 |  |
| $3-\mathrm{MBACZ}$ | $43.7 / 52.0$ | 47.9 |  |
|  | $79.8 / 82.2$ | 81.0 |  |
| $2-\mathrm{MBACZ}$ | $61.4 / 62.6$ | 62.0 | 71.5 |
|  | $79.0 / 80.6$ | 79.8 |  |
|  | $63.6 / 62.6$ | 63.1 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Data of 4-MBACZ, 3-MBACZ and 2-MBACZ were adopted from our report [S1].

Table S6 Torsion angle between carboxyl and BR of 4-MBACZ, 3-MBACZ and 2-MBACZ. ${ }^{a}$

| compounds | torsion angle between carboxyl and $\mathrm{BR} /{ }^{\circ}$ | mean torsion angle $/{ }^{\circ}$ | mean (mean torsion angle) $/{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4-\mathrm{MBACZ}$ | $6.6 / 5.9$ | 6.3 |  |
| $3-\mathrm{MBACZ}$ | $7.3 / 7.8$ | 2.5 |  |
|  | $20.5 / 21.2$ | 39.8 | 29.1 |
| 2-MBACZ | $39.4 / 38.7$ | 37.1 |  |
|  | $36.0 / 38.1$ | 19.5 |  |
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Fig. S19 The natural transition orbitals (NTOs) of $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ states of 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ, 2-BACZ, 4-MBACZ, 3-MBACZ and 2-MBACZ.


Fig. S20 (A) Preparation of nanoparticles and schematic diagram of nanoparticles. (B) The diameters of 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ and 2-BACZ NPs after storage at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dark for 6 and 14 days respectively. (C) The phosphorescence intensities of 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ, 2-BACZ NPs solutions as a function of the cycle number of light activation. (D) The ultralong phosphorescence intensities of 4-BACZ, 3-BACZ and 2-BACZ NPs under continuous light irradiation for 80 min (the power density: $10 \mathrm{~mW} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-2}$ ).


Fig. $\mathbf{S 2 1}$ (A) Signal-to-background ratio (SBR) of 4-BACZ NPs and 2-BACZ NPs for in vivo imaging; (B) the SBR calculation diagram of (left) 4-BACZ and (right) 2-BACZ NPs. It was calculated according to the previous reference $[\mathrm{S} 4] . \mathrm{SBR}=\frac{(\text { RTP signal, ROI 1)-(background 2, ROI 3) }}{\text { (background 1, ROI } 2) \text {-(background 2, ROI 3) }}$
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