# **Supporting information**

# Green-emitting iridium complexes containing pyridine sulfonic acid as ligands for efficient OLEDs with extremely low efficiency roll-off

Lin Zhang,<sup>1</sup> Zhi-Ping Yan,<sup>1</sup> Zhen-Long Tu,<sup>1</sup> Zheng-Guang Wu,<sup>1</sup> You-Xuan Zheng<sup>\*1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Organic Materials, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P. R. China, E-mail: yxzheng@nju.edu.cn <sup>2</sup> MaAnShan High-Tech Research Institute of Nanjing University, MaAnShan, 238200, P. R. China

#### S1 Instrument and details

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were measured on Bruker AM 500 spectrometer. The high resolution electrospray ionization mass spectra (HR ESI-MS) were recorded on Bruker micrOTOF-Q III. UV-vis absorption and photoluminescence spectra were measured on Shimadzu UV-3100 and Hitachi F-4600 spectrophotometer at room temperature, respectively. The absolute photoluminescence quantum yields (PLQY) was measured with HORIBA FL-3 fluorescence spectrometer. Cyclic voltammetry measurements were carried out using chi600 electrochemical workstation with Fc<sup>+</sup>/Fc as the standard at the rate of 0.1 V s<sup>-1</sup>. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on Pyris 1 DSC under nitrogen at a heating rate 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. The decay lifetimes were measured with Edinburg FLS980 lifetime and steady state spectrometer.

X-ray crystallographic measurements of the single crystals were carried out on Bruker APEX-II CCD diffractometer (Bruker Daltonic Inc.) using monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) at room temperature. Cell parameters were retrieved using SMART software and refined using SAINT<sup>1</sup> program in order to reduce the highly redundant data sets. Data were collected using a narrow-frame method with scan width of 0.30° in  $\omega$  and an exposure time of 5 s per frame. Absorption corrections were applied using SADABS<sup>2</sup> supplied by Bruker. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on F2 using the program SHELXS-2014<sup>3</sup>. The positions of metal atoms and their first coordination spheres were located from direct-Emaps, other non-hydrogen atoms were found in

alternating difference Fourier syntheses and least-squares refinement cycles and during the final cyclesrefined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positionand refined as riding atoms with a uniform value of Uiso.

All OLEDs were fabricated on the pre-patterned ITO-coated glass substrate with a sheet resistance of  $15 \Omega \text{ sq}^{-1}$ . Before device fabrication, ITO glasses were cleaned by detergent and rinsed in deionized water for 20 min, and then let the surface dry thoroughly. The deposition rates for organic materials, LiF and Al are about 0.1, 0.01 and 0.1 nm s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The emitters and host were co-evaporated from different sources under the vacuum level lower than  $6 \times 10^{-4}$  mbar. The effective area of the emitting diode is 0.1 cm<sup>2</sup>. The characteristics of the devices were measured with a programmable KEITHLEY 2400 with a calibrated silicon diode in a dark container. The electroluminescence was measured by F-4600 spectrophotometer.

#### **S2** Experiment procedures

#### 2.1 Synthesis of ancillary ligand

NaOH (0.29, 7.19 mmol) was added to a solution of pyridine-2-sulfonic acid (1.04 g, 6 mmol) in 20 ml CH<sub>3</sub>OH and stirred for 0.5 h at room temperature. Then, the solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was washed with 10 ml diethyl ether twice to afford the product as white solid.

#### 2.2 General synthesis of Ir(III) complexes

The mixture of Ir(III) chloro-bridged dimer (1.03 mmol) and ancillary ligand (0.51 mmol) in 15 ml 2ethoxyethanol was refluxed for 8 h under nitrogen. After the reaction was completed, the solvent was evaporated and the product was isolated with column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate =5/1) to obtain yellow solid. The complexes were purified by vacuum sublimation.

Ir1 (yield 71%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.17 (dd, J = 5.8, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (ddd, J = 7.8, 4.6, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.94 – 7.86 (m, 3H), 7.72 (dd, J = 13.0, 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (ddd, J = 5.5, 1.4, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dt, J = 3.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.37 – 7.34 (m, 1H), 7.25 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.22 – 7.15 (m, 2H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 6.25 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  165.80, 164.05, 157.70, 148.99, 148.78, 147.28, 147.13, 146.61, 142.99, 139.34, 138.35, 137.70, 137.42, 127.42, 126.79, 124.39, 123.35, 123.08, 122.89, 122.51, 119.11, 118.90, 118.70, 118.20. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -62.81 (3 H), -62.93 (3 H). MS (HR ESI): *m/z* calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>3</sub>SIrO<sub>3</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>=818.06, found 818. 05.

Ir2 (yield 63%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.25 (dd, J = 5.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.42 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (td, J = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (dd, J = 5.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (ddd, J = 8.9, 7.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.87 – 7.82 (m, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (m, 3H), 7.15 (ddd, J = 7.2, 5.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.14 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  164.35, 162.68, 157.73, 149.21, 148.84, 146.99, 146.62, 144.28, 138.42, 137.35, 137.08, 135.26, 127.87, 127.34, 126.72, 124.46, 122.83, 122.22, 121.13, 120.34. <sup>19</sup> F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -57.06 (3 H), -57.42 (3 H). MS (HR ESI): m/z calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>3</sub>SIrO<sub>3</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>=818.06, found 818. 06.

### 2.3 NMR spectra



Fig. S1 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the complexes.



Fig.S2 <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the complexes.



Fig.S3 <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of the complexes.

# S3 X-ray crystallographic data and theoretical calculation data



Fig. S4 Thermal ellipsoidal diagram of: (a) Ir1; (b) Ir2. Ellipsoids are drawn at 30% probability level.

	Ir1	Ir2
formula	$C_{29}H_{18}N_3O_3F_6SIr$	$C_{29}H_{18}N_3O_3F_6SIr$
Formula weight	794.72	794.72
Temperature/K	296.15	296.15
Crystal system	triclinic	orthorhombic
Space group	Pī	$P_{bca}$
<i>a</i> (Å)	13.4753(12)	9.0788(8)
<i>b</i> (Å)	14.2487(13)	19.4903(17)
<i>c</i> (Å)	16.5970(15)	31.615(3)
$\alpha/^{\circ}$	106.9973(17)	90
$eta / ^{\circ}$	94.9688(17)	90
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	107.8771(15)	90
$V/Å^3$	2845.4(4)	5594.2(8)
Ζ	4	8
$ ho_{ m calc} m g/cm^3$	1.855	1.887
$\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ )/mm <sup>-1</sup>	4.842	4.925
F (000)	1536	3072
Reflns collected	15995	13367

 Table S1 crystallographic data of Ir1 and Ir2

Unique	9946	4851
Data/restraints/parameters	9946/486/847	4851/0/376
GOF on $F^2$	0.984	1.177
$R_1^a$ , $wR_2^b[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0408, 0.0975	0.0558, 0.1084
$R_1^a$ , $wR_2^b$ (all data)	0.0626, 0.1090	0.0785, 0.1155

 $R_1^{a} = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c|| / \Sigma F_0|. \ w R_2^{b} = [\Sigma w (F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \Sigma w (F_0^2)]^{1/2}$ 

## Table S2 selected bond lengths and angles of Ir1 and Ir2

I	rl	Ι	r2
Selected bonds	Bond Lengths (Å)	Selected bonds	Bond Lengths (Å)
Ir <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	2.041(6)	Ir <sub>1</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	1.981(11)
$Ir_1N_3$	2.039(6)	$Ir_1C_1$	2.007(10)
$Ir_1C_3$	1.987(8)	$Ir_1N_2$	2.166(5)
$Ir_1C_1$	2.011(7)	$Ir_1N_1$	2.037(8)
$Ir_1O_2$	2.201(6)	$Ir_1N_3$	2.026(8)
$Ir_1N_2$	2.173(6)	Ir <sub>1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	2.196(8)
Selected Angles	(°)	Selected Angles	(°)
N <sub>1</sub> Ir <sub>1</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	94.4(2)	$C_3Ir_1C_1$	89.4(4)
$N_1Ir_1N_2$	99.0(2)	$C_3Ir_1N_2$	95.8(4)
$N_3 Ir_1 N_1$	170.7(2)	$C_3Ir_1N_1$	97.4(4)
$N_3 Ir_1 O_2$	93.1(3)	$C_3Ir_1N_3$	80.2(4)
$N_3 Ir_1 N_2$	87.7(2)	$C_3Ir_1O_2$	174.3(4)
$N_2 Ir_1 O_2$	79.4(2)	$C_1 Ir_1 N_2$	172.9(3)
$C_3Ir_1N_1$	92.1(3)	$C_1Ir_1N_1$	79.6(4)
$C_3Ir_1O_2$	173.5(2)	$C_1Ir_1N_3$	95.2(4)
$C_3Ir_1N_3$	80.3(3)	$C_1 Ir_1 O_2$	94.6(4)
$C_3 Ir_1 N_2$	100.1(3)	$N_2 Ir_1 O_2$	80.5(3)
$C_3Ir_1C_1$	90.0(3)	$N_1Ir_1N_2$	95.0(3)
$C_1 Ir_1 N_1$	80.7(3)	$N_1 Ir_1 O_2$	87.2(3)
$C_1 Ir_1 O_2$	90.5(3)	$N_3Ir_1N_2$	90.4(3)
$C_1 Ir_1 N_3$	93.8(3)	$N_3 Ir_1 N_1$	174.3(4)
$C_1 Ir_1 N_2$	169.9(3)	$N_3Ir_1O_2$	95.5(3)
$C_{16}$ - $C_{17}$ - $C_{18}$ - $C_{19}$	7.0(14)	C5-C6-C7-C8	-9.3(21)
$C_9-C_8-C_5-C_4$	0.5(13)	$C_{13}$ - $C_{12}$ - $C_{17}$ - $C_{18}$	1.5(20)

# **S4** Properties characterization

Table S4 Absolute photoluminescence quantum yield of the complexes

Complex	Absolute photoluminescence quantum yield			
	In solution	Doped in 2,6-DczPPy (8%)	Doped in TCTA(8%)	
Ir1	3.50%	41.84%	49.46%	
Ir2	5.87%	69.64%	40.34%	



Fig. S5 Absolute photoluminescence quantum yield of (a) Ir1, (b) Ir2 in  $CH_2Cl_2$  solution.



**Fig. S6** Absolute photoluminescence quantum yield of (a) Ir1 doped in 2,6-DczPPy; (b) Ir1 doped in TCTA; (c) Ir2 doped in 2,6-DczPPy; (b) Ir2 doped in TCTA and correlative 3D excitation-emission spectra.



Fig.S7 TGA curves of the complexes at a heating rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. S8 The cyclic voltammogram curves of Ir1 and Ir2 in degassed CH<sub>3</sub>CN at room temperature.



F ig.S9 Phosphorescence lifetime curves of the complexes in  $CH_2Cl_2$  solution.



Fig. S10 The optimized structures and electron cloud distributions of several molecular orbitals (MOs).



Fig. S11 The transient EL signals of Ir1 and Ir2.



Fig. S12 the transient EL signals and electron mobility of Alq3 as a function of the square of the electric field



**Fig. S13** characteristics of devices D1 and D2: (a) luminance-voltage-current density (*L*-*V*-*J*) curves; (b) power efficiency-luminance ( $\eta_p$ -*L*) curves.

# **S5** References

1. SAINT-Plus, version 6.02, Bruker Analytical X-ray System, Madison, WI, 1999.

2. G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, An empirical absorption correction program, Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Madison, WI, 1996.

3. G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL-2014, Universität of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 2014.