## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

## Mn<sub>12</sub> Single-Molecule Magnet Aggregates as Magnetic Resonance Imaging Contrast Agents

Yinglin Wang,<sup>a</sup> Wen Li,<sup>a</sup> Shengyan Zhou,<sup>b</sup> Daliang Kong,<sup>b</sup> Haishan Yang,<sup>b</sup>

and Lixin Wu\*<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Supramolecular Structure and Materials, Jilin University,

Changchun 130012, P. R. China.

<sup>b</sup> Radiology Department, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun,

Jilin, P. R. China

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: wulx@jlu.edu.cn

**Materials:**  $CH_3(CH_2)_{17}(OCH_2CH_2)_nOH$  (average  $M_n = 711$ ) was a product from Aldrich. Bovine serum Albumin (BSA) was obtained from Dingguo Biotechnology (Beijing, China).

**Instrument:** The mass spectrum (MS) was recorded using an autoflex TOF/TOF (Bruker, Germany) mass spectrometer, equipped with a nitrogen laser (337 nm, 3 ns pulse). The mass spectrometer was operated in the negative ion reflector mode. Elemental analysis was performed on the Flash EA1112 from ThermoQuest Italia S.P.A. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on Perkin-Elmer7 series thermal analysis system in a N<sub>2</sub> flow with a heating rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1.</sup> The inductive couple plasma-optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) was performed on Thermo

Scientific iCAP ICP-OES 6000 Series. FT–IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Vertex 80v FT–IR spectrometer equipped with a DTGS detector (32 scans) with a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> on a KBr pellet. Hydrodynamic diameters were determined on Nano-ZS instrument (Malvern Instruments). TEM images were carried out on Hitachi H8100 electron microscope. Magnetization hysteresis data were collected at 2 K, between +5 T and -5 T, cooling the samples at zero field with a magnetometer (Quantum Design MPMSXL-5) equipped with a SQUID senor. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Ultrashield 500 MHz spectrometer, and all the relaxation times were recorded on the same instrument, at 25 °C with a least square fitting to 16 data points.  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are defined as the changes in  $1/T_1$  and  $1/T_2$  normalized to the concentration of metal ion, with unit of mM<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  values can be calculated as the slopes of the lines  $1/T_1$  and  $1/T_2$  versus the CA concentration. All the MRI experiments were performed in a clinical 1.5 T MRI instrument (Signa HDx 1.5 T Series) at room temperature: 32 echoes; repetition time (TR): 1000 ms; echo times (TE): 6–67 ms.

**Preparation of stearic acid modified Mn**<sub>12</sub> (**Mn**<sub>12</sub>–**C**<sub>18</sub>): A mixture of Mn<sub>12</sub>-Ac (0.1 mmol) and stearic acid (3 mmol) was dissolved in 50 ml of 1:1 (v:v) solution of toluene and dichloromethane. The mixture was stirred for 24 h at 50 °C, which was then filtrated and concentrated. Toluene was added and then evaporated to remove free CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H. To make the acetic acid ligands completely substituted, this procedure was repeated four times. The results brown solid was dissolved in hot methanol (65 °C), filtered and washed with hot methanol five times to remove the superfluous stearic acid. The obtained brown product was dried under vacuum.

The characterization of Mn<sub>12</sub>–C<sub>18</sub>: IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu$  = 2920, 2850, 1583, 1569, 1531, 1468, 1455, 1443, 1429, 1380, 1317, 1261, 1098, 1025, 868, 804, 721, 705, 673, 641, 610, 585. LDI–TOF mass spectra of Mn<sub>12</sub>–C<sub>18</sub> show the presence of [Mn<sub>12</sub>O<sub>12</sub>(OOCCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>(OOCC<sub>17</sub>H<sub>35</sub>)<sub>11</sub>]<sup>-</sup> ion at m/z = 4206.4, and fragments resulting from the stepwise loss of several C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>35</sub>CO<sub>2</sub> units (Δ m/z = 283) and CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub> units (Δ

m/z = 59). Anal. Calced for  $Mn_{12}$ – $C_{18}$  ( $C_{208}H_{402}O_{45}Mn_{12}$ , 4282.65): C, 58.33; H, 9.45. Found: C, 57.99; H, 8.99. The TGA at range of 30–150 °C corresponds to the loss of crystallized water (0.52 %), and the calculated number of crystallized water is ca. 1. Combining the MS, TGA and elemental analysis,  $Mn_{12}$ – $C_{18}$  should correspond to the formula of  $Mn_{12}O_{12}$ (OOCCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(OOCC<sub>17</sub>H<sub>35</sub>)<sub>11</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O.



**Fig. S1** IR spectra of (A)  $Mn_{12}$ – $C_{18}$  and (B)  $Mn_{12}$ –Ac in solid state.



Fig. S2 TGA graphs of (A) Mn<sub>12</sub>–Ac and (B) Mn<sub>12</sub>–C<sub>18.</sub>



Fig. S3 High mass region of the negative mode LDI–TOF mass spectrum of  $Mn_{12}$ – $C_{18}$ .



Fig. S4 The magnetization hysteresis loop measured at 2 K for  $Mn_{12}$ - $C_{18}$ .



**Fig. S5** Photograph of  $Mn_{12}$ – $C_{18}/C_{18}EO_{10}$  aqueous solution extracted by chloroform and *n*-hexane.



**Fig. S6** Magnetization hysteresis loop at 2 K for  $Mn_{12}$ – $C_{18}/C_{18}EO_{10}$  complexes.



**Fig. S7** Plots of hydrodynamic diameter of Mn<sub>12</sub>–C<sub>18</sub>/C<sub>18</sub>EO<sub>10</sub> aggregates versus different (a) NaCl concentration, (b) pH conditions, and (c) temperature.



**Fig. S8** The longitudinal relaxation rate  $1/T_1$  changes against time.