A phenylbenzoxazole-amide-azacrown linkage as a selective fluorescent receptor for ratiometric sening of Pb(II) in aqueous media

Yasuhiro Shiraishi,* Yoshinao Matsunaga, Prannicha Hongpitakpong and Takayuki Hirai

Research Center for Solar Energy Chemistry, and Division of Chemical Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka 560-8531, Japan. E-mail: shiraish@cheng.es.osaka-u.ac.jp

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI†)

CONTENTS

Page Table S1 Table S2 Protonation/stability constants of L......4 Fig. S1 Fig. S2 Fig. S3 Fig. S4 Fig. S5 Fig. S6 Fig. S7 Fig. S8 Absorption and fluorescence spectra of L measured with Pb^{2+} 10 Fig. S9 **Fig. S10 Fig. S11 Fig. S12**

Experimental

Materials.

All reagents were supplied from Wako, Sigma-Aldrich, and Tokyo Kasei and used without further purification. Perchlorate (Cu^{2+} , Na^+ , Hg^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Cr^{3+} , Fe^{3+} , Co^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Li^+ , Ni^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , K^+ , Ca^{2+} , Pb^{2+}) and tetrafluoroborate (Ag^+) salts were used as the metal cation source. Water was purified by the Milli-Q system. **1** was synthesized according to literature procedure.^[1]



Synthesis of L.

1 (86.0 mg, 0.30 mmol), 1-aza-18-crown 6-ether (92.2 mg, 0.35 mmol), KI (30 mg), and DIPEA (500 μL) were added to MeCN (40 mL), and the solution was refluxed for 12 h under N₂. The resultant was concentrated by evaporation and purified by silica gel column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 11/1 v/v), affording L as a yellow solid (80.0 mg, 52%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD, TMS): $\delta = 2.88-2.91$ (m, 4H), 3.54–3.71 (m, 22H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 7.10 Hz, 1H), 7.44–7.47 (m, 2H), 7.56–7.60 (m, 1H), 7.69–7.71 (m, 1H), 7.83–7.85 (m, 1H), 8.25 (dd, *J* = 1.37, 7.79 Hz, 1H), 8.70 (d, *J* = 8.24 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD, TMS): $\delta = 173.13$, 163.15, 150.82, 142.14, 139.45, 133.75, 129.80, 127.30, 126.37, 125.08, 122.21, 120.88, 115.29, 111.85, 71.19, 71.08, 71.04, 71.02, 69.38, 59.74, 57.83. FAB–MS: m/z calcd for C₂₇H₃₅N₃O₇: 513.25; found: 536.4 [M + Na⁺]. HR–MS (FAB): m/z calcd for [M + Na⁺], 536.2373; Found, 536.2377.

Measurements.

UV–vis absorption spectra were measured at 298 K on an UV–visible photodiode–array spectrophotometer (Shimadzu; Multispec–1500) equipped with a temperature controller.^[2] Fluorescence spectra were measured at 298 K on a JASCO FP–6500 fluorescence spectrophotometer equipped with a temperature controller. FT-IR spectra were recorded at room temperature using a JASCO FTIR–610 spectrometer with a liquid sample cell with a CaF₂ window.^{[3] 1}H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained by a JEOL JNM–AL400 spectrometer using TMS as standard. FAB and ESI–MS analysis was performed by a JEOL–JMS 700 Mass Spectrometer.

Potentiometric titration.

The titrations were performed on a COMTITE–550 potentiometric automatic titrator (Hiranuma Co., Ltd.) with a glass electrode GE-101.^[4,5] Aqueous solution (water/MeCN, 1/1 v/v) containing

L in the absence or presence of Pb(ClO₄)₂ (1 equiv) was kept under dry N₂ at 298 K. The titration was done at 298 ± 1 K in the presence of 0.15 M NaCl. The program HYPERQUAD was used for determination of protonation and stability constants.^[6] K_w (= [H⁺]·[OH⁻]) value used was $10^{-14.00}$ (298 K). The stability constants used for Pb²⁺ hydrolysis (298 K) were log K (Pb(OH)/Pb·OH) = -8.00, (Pb(OH)₂/Pb·2OH) = -17.41, (Pb(OH)₃/Pb·3OH) = -28.06, (Pb₂(OH)/2Pb·OH) = -6.07, (Pb₃(OH)₄/3Pb·4OH)= -24.45, (Pb₄(OH)₄/4Pb·4OH) = -20.31, and (Pb₆(OH)₈/6Pb·8OH) = -43.61, respectively.^[7]

Computational details.

Ab initio calculations were carried out with the Gaussian 03 program.^[8] Geometry optimization was performed with the density functional theory (DFT) using the B3LYP function within the Gaussian 03 program. Calculations were carried out using the 6–31G* basis set for all atoms except for Pb²⁺, for which Stuttgart/Dresden (SDD)^[9] basis set with effective core potential was used. Electronic excitation energies and oscillator strengths were calculated with the time–dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) at the same level of optimization using the polarizable continuum model (PCM)^[10] with water as a solvent. Cartesian coordinates for respective compounds are summarized in the end of this ESI.

References

- M. Chen, X. Lv, Y. Liu, Y. Zhao, J. Liu, P. Wang and W. Guo, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 2345–2349.
- [2] D. Wang, R. Miyamoto, Y. Shiraishi and T. Hirai, Langmuir, 2009, 25, 13176–13182.
- [3] Y. Shiraishi, Y. Matsunaga and T. Hirai, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 5485-5487.
- [4] Y. Shiraishi, Y. Tokitoh, G. Nishimura and T. Hirai, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 2611–2614.
- [5] Y. Shiraishi, Y. Tokitoh and T. Hirai, Chem. Commun., 2005, 5316–5318.
- [6] A. Sabatini, A. Vacca and P. Gans, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1992, 120, 389-405.
- [7] C. F. Baes and R. E. Mesmer, The Hydrolysis of Cations, John Wiley, New York, 1976.
- [8] Gaussian 03, Revision B.05, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, Jr., J. A. Montgomery, T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A.

Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.

- [9] D. Andrae, U. Häußermann, M. Dolg, H. Stoll and H. Preuß, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1990, 77, 123–141.
- [10] M. Cossi, V. Barone, R. Cammi and J. Tomasi, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1996, 255, 327-335.

Table S1. Calculated excitation energy (*E*), wavelength (λ), and oscillator strength (*f*) for low-laying singlet state (S_n) of L and L–Pb²⁺.

Species		Main orbital transition (CIC ^a)	E / eV	λ / nm	f
L	$S_0 \rightarrow S_1$	HOMO→LUMO (0.70109)	3.1850	389.28	0.0007
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_2$	HOMO-1→LUMO (0.64740)	3.8267	323.99	0.4833
$L-Pb^{2+}$	$S_0 \rightarrow S_1$	HOMO→LUMO (0.66580)	3.8539	321.71	0.6499
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_2$	HOMO−1→LUMO (0.42379)	4.2011	295.13	0.0732

^{*a*} CI expansion coefficients for the main orbital transitions.

Table	S2 .	Protonation	/stability	constants	of L. ^a

Reaction	log K
$\mathbf{\Gamma} + \mathbf{H}_{+} = \mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{H}$	6.04 ± 0.19
$\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{P}\mathbf{b}^{2+} = \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{b}^{2+}$	6.20 ± 0.25

^{*a*} Measurements were carried out in an aqueous solution (water/MeCN; 1/1 v/v) at 298 K in the presence of 0.15 M NaCl.





Fig. S1¹H NMR chart of L in CD₃OD (400 MHz).



Fig. S2 ¹³C NMR chart of L in CD₃OD (100 MHz).



Fig. S3 FAB–MS chart of L.



Fig. S4 Absorption spectra of L (20 μ M) measured in a buffered water/MeCN mixture (1/1 v/v; HEPES 100 mM; pH 7.0) with each respective metal cation (1 equiv).



Fig. S5 (a) Time-dependent change in fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{ex} = 311$ nm) of L (20 μ M) measured in a buffered water/MeCN mixture (1/1 v/v; HEPES 100 mM; pH 7.0), after addition of 1 equiv of Pb²⁺. (b) Change in fluorescence intensity at 508 nm.



Fig. S6 (a) Fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{ex} = 311 \text{ nm}$) of L (20 μ M) measured in a buffered water/MeCN mixture (1/1 v/v; HEPES 100 mM; pH 7.0) with 1 equiv of Pb²⁺ together with 1 equiv of other respective metal cation. (b) Fluorescence intensity at 508 nm.



Fig. S7 Job's plot of L with Pb^{2+} obtained by fluorescence measurements ($\lambda_{ex} = 311$ nm). Total concentration of L and Pb^{2+} is 20 μ M.



Fig. S8 ESI-MS chart of a water/MeCN (1/1 v/v) mixture containing of L and 1 equiv of Pb(ClO₄)₂.



Fig. S9 (a) Absorption and (b) fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{ex} = 311 \text{ nm}$) of L (20 μ M) in a buffered water/MeCN mixture (1/1 v/v; HEPES 100 mM; pH 7.0) measured (1) without cations, (2) with Pb²⁺ (1 equiv), and (3) after addition of EDTA (20 equiv) to the sample (2).



Fig. S10 (Top) Effect of pH on the fluorescence spectra of L measured in a water/MeCN (1/1 v/v) mixture with or without 1 equiv of Pb²⁺. (Bottom) Relationship between the fluorescence intensity and the mole fraction distribution of species determined by potentiometric titration (Table S2).



Fig. S11 IR spectra of L (2 mM) measured at 298 K in a D₂O/MeCN (1/1 v/v, pH 7.0) mixture with and without Pb²⁺ (1 equiv).



Fig. S12 Fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{ex} = 311 \text{ nm}$) of L (20 μ M) in a buffered water/MeCN mixture (HEPES 100 mM; pH 7.0) with solvent composition, measured with 1 equiv of Pb²⁺ together with 1 equiv of Cu²⁺ or Hg²⁺.

Cartesian coordinates (in Å) of L



С	5.350461	-2.638833	-0.239629	С	-2.553016	-2.357635	-2.936288	Н	-1.708208	2.46366	-2.523713
С	4.760101	-3.903607	-0.318499	С	-3.354039	-1.112962	-3.267125	Н	-3.249275	3.250518	-2.229155
С	3.362796	-4.066715	-0.299934	0	-0.742721	-2.6374	0.699994	Н	-3.581498	1.532926	-3.903183
С	2.496086	-2.977409	-0.202716	С	-1.693877	-3.640406	0.395243	Н	-4.421559	1.04706	-2.405854
С	3.077476	-1.709386	-0.123784	С	-1.859235	-3.693373	-1.11298	Н	-3.010385	-3.222513	-3.449633
С	4.471047	-1.571987	-0.144403	С	-3.247746	2.921744	0.292715	Н	-1.522895	-2.249144	-3.312603
Ν	2.513762	-0.439585	-0.021174	С	-4.210697	2.197354	1.230018	Н	-3.510951	-1.063747	-4.359641
С	3.526235	0.385884	0.008721	0	-3.461573	1.390397	2.127584	Н	-4.34472	-1.187509	-2.789984
0	4.758413	-0.232653	-0.058687	С	-4.174775	0.296965	2.657203	Н	-2.666436	-3.41508	0.853562
С	3.515527	1.838298	0.089075	С	-3.217765	-0.600733	3.417617	Н	-1.356086	-4.626636	0.759943
С	2.306177	2.59476	0.048394	0	-2.252438	-1.106517	2.515464	Н	-0.864973	-3.747716	-1.58555
С	2.395619	3.998816	0.11291	С	-1.521245	-2.200193	3.020149	Н	-2.411312	-4.610148	-1.388864
С	3.629701	4.629276	0.216927	С	-0.376433	-2.519271	2.062303	Н	-3.825621	3.668753	-0.264497
С	4.81644	3.892074	0.259648	Н	6.426055	-2.49906	-0.253603	Н	-2.5146	3.479789	0.890378
С	4.749205	2.509407	0.194756	Н	5.397411	-4.779809	-0.396195	Н	-4.891775	1.570661	0.634541
Ν	1.075105	1.934846	-0.043245	Н	2.950507	-5.069999	-0.363869	Н	-4.819568	2.925904	1.795117
С	-0.175915	2.488362	-0.257856	Н	1.416623	-3.087477	-0.178829	Н	-4.644518	-0.284265	1.847962
0	-0.378005	3.683765	-0.433033	Н	1.482187	4.572631	0.06808	Н	-4.974452	0.630942	3.34291
С	-1.329724	1.460866	-0.232752	Н	3.661023	5.714641	0.265836	Н	-3.797578	-1.421445	3.8738
С	-2.701171	2.312438	-2.077415	Н	5.778174	4.38942	0.341334	Н	-2.729209	-0.04023	4.231131
Ν	-2.596763	2.018668	-0.652821	Н	5.657064	1.917628	0.22364	Н	-2.184189	-3.072273	3.15742
0	-2.663279	0.025895	-2.803712	Н	1.133086	0.915191	-0.009766	Н	-1.080431	-1.975646	4.007471
С	-3.429064	1.216958	-2.854365	Н	-1.432072	1.065467	0.78427	Н	0.353635	-1.705364	2.082241
0	-2.556151	-2.542204	-1.535746	Н	-1.087305	0.599411	-0.865455	Н	0.122153	-3.438469	2.418925

Cartesian coordinates (in Å) of L–Pb²⁺ complex



С	-8.522253	-0.47994	-0.155673	С	3.962446	-2.892702	-1.484859	Н	0.060937	-4.094487	0.471333
С	-8.810046	-1.84461	-0.094936	0	3.805431	2.06417	-0.961218	Н	2.165823	-4.566007	-0.684741
С	-7.791185	-2.817527	-0.047571	С	5.119608	1.722346	-1.424298	Н	2.518771	-3.610151	0.761909
С	-6.442882	-2.468266	-0.058699	С	5.005347	0.550252	-2.375959	Н	5.454274	-2.043457	-2.761456
С	-6.141002	-1.104091	-0.119542	С	-0.092901	-1.925882	1.840184	Н	3.784218	-1.681373	-3.266006
С	-7.173821	-0.158865	-0.165913	С	1.161909	-1.884422	2.701267	Н	3.94098	-3.840255	-2.037335
Ν	-4.928956	-0.414103	-0.148471	0	1.892682	-0.693812	2.36422	Н	4.630769	-3.003434	-0.620613
С	-5.253786	0.854096	-0.210303	С	3.015397	-0.386126	3.215617	Н	5.771305	1.452413	-0.583616
0	-6.593096	1.089931	-0.223033	С	3.314882	1.097369	3.091732	Н	5.559752	2.579433	-1.950533
С	-4.352779	2.001922	-0.269577	0	3.416706	1.426294	1.70165	Н	4.341661	0.794644	-3.21684
С	-2.938477	1.854346	-0.271823	С	4.138623	2.636726	1.413135	Н	6.000583	0.313121	-2.774845
С	-2.117601	2.984869	-0.33595	С	3.753713	3.108722	0.014022	Н	-0.702415	-2.789242	2.146386
С	-2.686377	4.256486	-0.400605	Н	-9.297856	0.276515	-0.193468	Н	-0.670825	-1.024023	2.063039
С	-4.072716	4.415125	-0.399516	Н	-9.847085	-2.16456	-0.084687	Н	1.79795	-2.76869	2.575813
С	-4.895051	3.295118	-0.333982	Н	-8.069083	-3.865825	-0.001981	Н	0.859693	-1.834221	3.754503
Ν	-2.427818	0.527651	-0.217928	Н	-5.660798	-3.219629	-0.023817	Н	3.879014	-0.993415	2.916347
С	-1.178408	0.079714	-0.230047	Н	-1.044174	2.864557	-0.338084	Н	2.763252	-0.620069	4.256107
0	-0.146814	0.812147	-0.226709	Н	-2.038761	5.126212	-0.453481	Н	4.260815	1.307737	3.606372
С	-1.042229	-1.443363	-0.336516	Н	-4.512786	5.405648	-0.45055	Н	2.521011	1.698714	3.552242
С	0.52922	-3.307929	-0.136906	Н	-5.972949	3.408485	-0.334304	Н	5.213505	2.4386	1.508697
Ν	0.153602	-1.955059	0.371741	Н	-3.192528	-0.181254	-0.192454	Н	3.868332	3.419389	2.133217
0	2.631574	-2.57107	-1.037088	Н	-0.934138	-1.670208	-1.403348	Н	2.716354	3.456491	0.011014
С	2.028443	-3.580911	-0.220124	Н	-1.961561	-1.939204	0.005674	Н	4.395573	3.948646	-0.281679
0	4.489524	-0.57193	-1.647507	Н	0.130774	-3.409385	-1.149422	Pb	2.120923	-0.065601	-0.141364
С	4.449939	-1.787074	-2.397731								