Bi-functional RuO₂/Co₃O₄ Core/Shell Nanofibers as a
 Multi-component One-Dimensional Water Oxidation
 Catalyst

Jong Wan Ko, Won-Hee Ryu, Il-Doo Kim*, Chan Beum Park*

Supporting Information

9 **Experimental Details**

1

7 8

10 *Materials:* Cobalt (II) acetate tetrahydrate $[CH_3(COO)_2Co\cdot 4H_2O]$, ruthenium (III) chloride 11 (RuCl₃), polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, Mw = 1,300,000), N,Ndimethylformamide, sodium 12 tetraborate decahydrate (Na₂B₄O₇·10H₂O), tris (2,2'-bipyridyl) dichlororuthenium(II) 13 hexahydrate ([Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂·6H₂O), Co₃O₄ (particle size <50 nm), and sodium persulfate 14 (Na₂S₂O₈) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA) and all chemicals were used 15 without further purification.

16 Synthesis of Co_3O_4 , RuO_2 and RuO_2/Co_3O_4 NFs: To prepare the metal precursor solution, (CH₃COO)₂Co·4H₂O and RuCl₃ with a different atomic ratio of Ru/Co (total precursor 17 weight of 3 g) were dissolved in 7.5 g of N,N-dimethylformamide and continuously stirred at 18 room temperature for 1 h. Then, 1 g of PVP was dissolved and stirred in the precursor 19 solution for 6 h. For the RuO_2/Co_3O_4 NFs, the value 8 : 2 was selected as the ratio of Ru : Co. 20 A feeding rate was controlled at 0.5 mL h⁻¹ during electrospinning and a stainless steel foil as 21 a collector was vertically positioned 15 cm away from the syringe needle (25 gauge) under a 22 23 constant potential of 20 kV to collect as-spun sole Co or sole Ru or Ru/Co precursor/PVP 24 NFs. The obtained as-spun NFs were calcined at 600 °C for 1 h in air atmosphere.

Characterization of synthesized Co₃O₄, RuO₂ and RuO₂/Co₃O₄ NFs: The morphologies of the prepared samples were observed using an XL30SFEG scanning electron microscopy
 (PHILIPS, USA) and a Tecnai F30 S-Twin transmission electron microscope (FEI Company,

USA). The element distribution was investigated using EDS mapping. The crystalline phase of the samples was analyzed using a D/MAX-RC X-ray diffractometer (RIGAKU Co., Japan) and a MultiLab 2000 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (Thermo scientific, UK). The surface area of the samples were measured using Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method with a Tristar II 3020 surface area analyzer (Micromeritics, USA).

6 Catalytic oxygen evolution: Photochemical water oxidation experiments were conducted in 7 60 mL vials containing 20 mL of sodium borate buffer (80 mM, pH 8.0) with 24 mg Na₂S₂O₈, 15 mg [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂·6H₂O, and 20 mg of Co₃O₄ nanoparticles, Co₃O₄, RuO₂, and 8 9 RuO₂/Co₃O₄ NFs. Photochemical oxygen evolution was continuously monitored using a custom-made oxygen analysis system. The reaction solution was irradiated using a xenon 10 lamp (450 W) with a 420 nm cut-off filter and purged with N_2 (99.999%) gas as a carrier gas. 11 12 The oxygen concentration of the carrier gas was monitored using a series 3500 Trace Oxygen Transmitter (Alpha Omega Instruments Co., USA). 13

14 *Electrochemical measurements:* Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed using a 15 WMPG1000 potensitostat/galvanostat (WonATech, Korea) with Co_3O_4 , RuO₂, and 16 RuO₂/Co₃O₄ NFs-Nafion solution (1 mg in 200 µL) deposited on a glassy carbon electrode as 17 a working electrode, a platinum wire as a counter electrode, and an Ag/AgCl reference 18 electrode in a sodium borate buffer solution (80 mM, pH 8.0) at room temperature with a 19 scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹.

20 *Catalytic activity measurements:* The catalytic activity of Co₃O₄, RuO₂, and RuO₂/Co₃O₄ NFs 21 in photochemical water oxidation with Ru(bpy)₃³⁺ was estimated by monitoring the decay of 22 Ru(bpy)₃³⁺. A phosphate buffer solution (950 μ L, 100 mM, pH 5.6) containing Na₂S₂O₈ (5 23 mM) and Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ (1 mM) in a 1 mL quartz cuvette (with a path length of 1 cm) was 24 irradiated by a xenon lamp to produce photo-induced Ru(bpy)₃³⁺ for 15 seconds. To the 25 prepared reaction solution, 50 μ L of phosphate buffer solution (100 mM, pH 5.6) containing Co₃O₄, RuO₂, and RuO₂/Co₃O₄ NFs (1 mg in 10 mL) was added. Then, the decrease in UV
absorbance intensity of the reaction solution at 670 nm attributable to Ru(bpy)₃³⁺ was
monitored during catalytic water oxidation by Co₃O₄, RuO₂, and RuO₂/Co₃O₄ NFs using a
UV-visible spectrophotometer (Jasco Inc., Japan) in the time course mode.



- 2 Fig. S1 SEM images of (a, b) the as-spun NFs of Co precursor with PVP, (c) the as-spun NFs
- 3 of Ru precursor with PVP, and (d) the as-spun NFs of Ru/Co (2 : 8) precursor with PVP
- 4 prepared by electrospinning.



Fig. S2 X-ray diffraction patterns of electrospun Co₃O₄, RuO₂ and RuO₂/Co₃O₄ NFs calcined at 600 °C for 1 h. For reference, standard X-ray diffraction data (JCPDS) of Co₃O₄ and RuO₂
were also indexed.



1

Fig. S3 XPS spectra of Co_3O_4 NFs in the wide range from 0 to 1250 eV. (a) the XPS scan of Co₃O₄ NFs indicating two compositional elements (i.e., Co, and O); (b) the XPS spectra of Co₃O₄ NFs in magnified scale exhibiting Co $2p_{1/2}$ and Co $2p_{3/2}$ peaks; (c) the XPS scan of RuO₂ NFs showing Ru and O elements; and (d) Ru 3d spectrum of RuO₂ NF confirming the existence of Ru⁴⁺ ions in the synthesized NF.



- Fig. S4 STEM image of the RuO_2/Co_3O_4 NF; element map of (a) as-synthesized NF (b)
- 3 purple (cobalt); and (c) green (ruthenium)



Fig. S5 (a) The XPS scan of RuO_2/Co_3O_4 NFs indicating three compositional elements (i.e., Co, Ru, and O) in the wide range from 0 to 1250 eV; (b) the XPS spectra of RuO_2/Co_3O_4 at a magnified scale exhibiting Co $2p_{1/2}$ and Co $2p_{3/2}$ peaks; and (c) the Ru 3p spectrum of RuO_2/Co_3O_4 confirming the existence of Ru^{4+} ions in the composite.



Fig. S6 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)
curves of (a) cobalt acetate precursor/PVP fibers and (b) cobalt acetate and ruthenium
chloride precursor/PVP composite fibers. (c) ruthenium chloride precursor/PVP fibers.





Fig. S7 The absorbance spectra of [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂·6H₂O solution (1 mM) containing Na₂S₂O₈ (5 mM) in an 80 mM NaB (pH 8.0) buffer (a) before and (b) after visible light irradiation (> 420 nm). The absorption peaks at approximately 460 nm and 670 nm correspond to $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ and $Ru(bpy)_3^{3+}$, respectively. After visible light irradiation, the absorption peak corresponding to $Ru(bpy)_3^{3+}$ increased because of photo-induced oxidation of $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ whereas the absorption peak of $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ decreased.