# Reactions of zirconium amide amidinates with dioxygen. Observation of an unusual peroxo intermediate in the formation of oxo compounds

Adam C. Lamb, Zheng Lu, and Zi-Ling Xue\*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA. Fax: +1-865-974-3454; Tel: +1-865-974-3443; E-mail: xue@utk.edu

## **Supplementary information**

#### **Experimental Section**

All manipulations were carried out under a dry nitrogen atmosphere with the use of either a glovebox or standard Schlenk techniques. All glassware was flamed dried under vacuum. Solvents were purified by distillation from potassium benzophenone. NMR solvents were dried and stored over 5 Å molecular sieves. O<sub>2</sub> (Airgas) was purified by passing it through a P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> column. Deionized H<sub>2</sub>O was degassed and stored in a Schlenk flask. High purity H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was prepared from 30% aqueous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution (Fischer Scientific, reagent grade) and its purification is discussed below. Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, KMnO<sub>4</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> were bought from Fischer Scientific and used without further purification. N,N'-Diisopropylcarbodiimide, LiNMe<sub>2</sub> and MeLi (1.6 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O) were purchased from Acros and used without further purification. ZrCl<sub>4</sub> (Strem) was sublimed at 170 °C before use. Li[MeC(N'Pr)<sub>2</sub>] was prepared by the reaction of N,N'diisopropylcarbodiimide with MeLi by an approach similar to that of Hessen et al.<sup>S1</sup> Zr(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Zr(NEt<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub> were prepared according to literature.<sup>S2 1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra were recorded on an AMX-400 FT or Varian VNMRS-500 spectrometer. Solidstate NMR spectrum was recorded on a Solid-State Varian INOVA 400 MHz spectrometer equipped with a Chemagnetics 5 mm CPMAS probe and referenced to adamantine. Elemental analyses were conducted by Complete Analysis Laboratories, Inc., Parsippany, NJ.

Mass spectra were recorded on a JEOL AccuTOF<sup>™</sup> DART Mass Spectrometer (http://www.jeolusa.com/PRODUCTS/AnalyticalInstruments/MassSpectrometers/AccuT OF%E2%84%A2DART%C2%AE/AccuTOF%E2%84%A2DART%E2%84%A2Technol ogy/tabid/449/Default.aspx accessed on May 23, 2014) using He as the carrier gas. The analyte reacted with the protonated water, produced from reacting water with the excitedstate helium metastable, to form a protonated molecule before being analyzed by the mass spectrometer. To record IR spectra, solid samples were grounded with KBr, which had been dried at 100 °C and under vacuum, and then pressed into pellets. IR spectra were recorded on a Varian 4100 Excalibur. Thermal gravametreic analysis was recorded on a Q-50 TGA.

Caution: Extreme care should be taken in using hydrogen peroxide in the reactions. A shield should be used for protection.

## Synthesis of <sup>*i*</sup>PrN(H)C(Me)=N<sup>*i*</sup>Pr

<sup>i</sup>PrN(H)C(Me)=N<sup>i</sup>Pr has been prepared by two different groups; Oshima and

S2

Miller. They have reported <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of <sup>*i*</sup>PrN(H)C(Me)=N<sup>*i*</sup>Pr in CDCl<sub>3</sub><sup>S3a</sup> and CD<sub>3</sub>CN<sup>S3b</sup>, respectively. We are reporting its <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra at 208 K in toluene- $d_8$  and in benzene- $d_6$  at 23 °C.

Li[MeC(N<sup>*i*</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub>] (5.893 g, 39.77 mmol) was dissolved in THF (50 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (0.80 mL, 0.80 g, 44.42 mmol) was added via syringe. The solution was allowed to stir for 20 min and Et<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL) was added. Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, a drying agent, was added to the solution and stirred for 12 h, followed by filtration. Et<sub>2</sub>O in the filtrate was removed at 23 °C. The liquid product <sup>*i*</sup>PrN(H)C(Me)=N<sup>*i*</sup>Pr was distilled from the liquid residue at 115 °C and ~0.1 Torr. We have found that at room temperature the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum in benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub> and toluene-*d*<sub>8</sub> showed a dynamic exchange as the peaks were broad. A variable temperature NMR experiment was performed and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra were collected from 203 K to 298 K in 5 K intervals. We had hoped to obtain its rate of exchange and calculate its activation parameters. However, due to peak overlap in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR these efforts were not successful.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 400.17 MHz, 23 °C): δ 3.85 (br, 2H, C*H*Me<sub>2</sub>), 3.01 (br, 1H, N*H*), 1.36 (s, 3H, NC(*Me*)N), 1.13 (d, 12H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 100.62 MHz, 23 °C): δ 151.58 (NC(Me)N), 45.29 (br, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 24.22 (CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 15.71 (NC(*Me*)N). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (toluene-*d*<sub>8</sub>, 400.17 MHz, 23 °C): δ 3.74 (br, 1H, N*H*), 3.33 (br, 2H, C*H*Me<sub>2</sub>), 1.43 (s, 3H, NC(*Me*)N), 1.04 (d, 12H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (toluene-*d*<sub>8</sub>, 100.62 MHz, 23 °C): δ 151.55 (NC(Me)N), 45.18 (br, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 24.18 (CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 15.47 (NC(*Me*)N). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (toluene-*d*<sub>8</sub>, 400.17 MHz, -65 °C): δ 4.71 (br, 1H, N*H*), 4.28 (m, 1H, C*H*Me<sub>2</sub>), 3.47 (m, 1H, C*H*Me<sub>2</sub>), 1.60 (s, 3H, NC(*Me*)N), 1.22 (d, 6H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 1.11

(d, 6H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (toluene-*d*<sub>8</sub>, 100.62 MHz, -65 °C):  $\delta$  152.11 (N*C*(Me)N), 49.04 (*C*HMe<sub>2</sub>), 41.10 (*C*HMe<sub>2</sub>), 25.58 (CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 22.54 (CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 15.79 (NC(*Me*)N). DART-MS: Calculated *m*/z = 143.15482 [M+H<sup>+</sup>], Found *m*/z = 143.15488 [M+H<sup>+</sup>]; 0.419 ppm difference.

## Synthesis of $Zr(NMe_2)_2[MeC(N^iPr)_2]_2(1)$

Zr(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (1.601 g, 5.984 mmol) was dissolved in pentane (50 mL) and cooled to -30 °C. <sup>*i*</sup>PrN(H)C(Me)=N<sup>*i*</sup>Pr (1.758 g, 12.36 mmol) was dissolved in pentane (50 mL) and added dropwise to the solution of Zr(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (Scheme 2). The solution was stirred for 12 h and volatiles were removed in vacuo affording a yellow solid (2.7640 g, 5.985 mmol, 95.6% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (benzene- $d_6$ , 399.17 MHz, 23 °C):  $\delta$  3.45 (m, 4H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 3.23 (s, 12H, NMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.58 (s, 6H, NC(Me)N), 1.18 (d, 24H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (benzene- $d_6$ , 100.63 MHz, 23 °C):  $\delta$  175.07 (NC(Me)N), 48.34 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 46.10 (NMe<sub>2</sub>), 25.61 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 11.31 (NC(Me)N). <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR assignments were confirmed by DEPT, HMBC and HSQC experiments. Anal. Calcd: C, 52.01; H, 10.04. Found: C, 51.86; H, 9.98.

## Synthesis of $Zr(NEt_2)_2[MeC(N^iPr)_2]_2$ (7)

 $Zr(NEt_2)_4$  (2.344 g, 6.171 mmol) was dissolved in pentane (50 mL) and cooled to -30 °C. <sup>*i*</sup>PrN(H)C(Me)=N<sup>*i*</sup>Pr (1.761 g, 12.38 mmol) was dissolved in pentane (50 mL) and added dropwise to the pentane solution of  $Zr(NEt_2)_4$  (Scheme 2). The solution was stirred for 12 h and volatiles removed in vacuo affording a yellow solid (2.954 g, 5.702 mmol, 92.4% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 400.17 MHz, 23 °C):  $\delta$  3.71 (br, 8H,

N(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 3.46 (br, 4H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.59 (s, 6H, NC(Me)N), 1.20 (br, 24H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.10 (t, 12H, N(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>);  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (benzene- $d_6$ , 100.62 MHz, 23 °C):  $\delta$  173.35 (NC(Me)N), 48.04 $(CHMe_2)$ , 41.67  $(N(CH_2CH_3)_2)$ , 25.62  $(CHMe_2)$ , 13.69  $(N(CH_2CH_3)_2)$ , 11.90 (NC(Me)N). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (toluene- $d_8$ , 400.08 MHz, 23 °C):  $\delta$  3.68 (br, 8H, N(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 3.46 (br, 4H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.61 (s, 6H, NC(Me)N), 1.26 (br, 24H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.09 (t, 12H, N(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>);  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$  NMR (toluene-d<sub>8</sub>, 100.60 MHz, 23 °C):  $\delta$ 172.64 (NC(Me)N), 48.27 (br, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 41.65 (N(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 25.67 (br, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 13.68  $(N(CH_2CH_3)_2)$ , 11.81 (NC(Me)N). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (toluene- $d_8$ , 400.08 MHz, -60 °C):  $\delta$  3.95 (m, 4H, N(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 3.62 (m, 4H, N(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 3.47 (m, 2H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 3.32 (m, 2H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.53 (s, 6H, NC(Me)N), 1.42 (d, 6H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.36 (d, 6H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.14 (d, 6H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.13 (d, 6H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.13 (t, 12H, N(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>);  $^{13}C{^{1}H}$  NMR (toluened<sub>8</sub>, 100.60 MHz, -60 °C): δ 173.14 (NC(Me)N), 48.15 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 47.55 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 41.11 (N(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 25.93 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 25.91 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 25.53 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 23.52 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 13.30  $(N(CH_2CH_3)_2)$ , 11.27 (NC(Me)N). <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR assignments were confirmed by HMBC and HSQC experiments. Anal. Calcd: C, 55.65; H, 10.51; N, 16.23. Found: C, 55.49; H, 10.40; N, 16.17.

# X-ray Crystallographic Studies of $\{(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2-O_2)Zr[MeC(N^iPr)_2]_2\}_3$ (2)

The X-ray crystal structure of **2** was determined on a Bruker AXS Smart 1000 Xray diffractometer equipped with a CCD area detector and a graphite-monochromated Mo source ( $K\alpha$  radiation, 0.71073 Å) and fitted with an upgraded Nicolet LT-2 low temperature device. A suitable crystal was coated with paratone oil (Exxon) and mounted on a fiber loop under a stream of nitrogen at 100(2) K. The structure was solved by direct methods. All non-hydrogen atoms were anistropically refined. Empirical absorption correction was performed with SADABS.<sup>S4a</sup> Global refinements for the unit cells and data reductions were performed under the Saint program (Version 6.02). All calculations were performed using SHELXTL (Version 5.1) proprietary software package.<sup>S4b</sup>

## Reaction of $Zr(NMe_2)_2[MeC(N^iPr)_2]_2$ (1) with O<sub>2</sub>

*NMR-scale Reactions.* In a Young's tube, **1** (28.9 mg, 0.0626 mmol) was dissolved in benezene- $d_6$ . The headspace volume in the Young's tube was 2.6 mL. The solution was frozen in liquid nitrogen and nitrogen gas was removed in vacuo. O<sub>2</sub> (0.5 atm, 0.0626 mmol) was then added. Several <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were taken and after 3 weeks, the disappearance of **1** and the appearance of soluble species **3**, HNMe<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and an insoluble solid **4** had occurred.

In a separate test,  $O_2$  (1 atm, 0.0396 mmol) was added to **1** (18.3 mg, 0.0396 mmol) in benzene- $d_6$ . Volume of the headspace in the Young's tube was 2.1 mL. The Young's tube was heated at 70 °C. After about ca. 12 days, the reaction was quenched in ice water and the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed the disappearance of **1** and appearance of new peaks which corresponds to an oxo-bridged dimer **3**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR also revealed two byproducts: HNMe<sub>2</sub> (0.125 mg, 6.99% yield based on NMR) and CH<sub>2</sub>(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1.09 mg, 26.9% yield based on NMR). The byproducts, HNMe<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> were confirmed with GC-MS. A light yellow precipitate formed which was identified to be the polymeric product **4** (9 mg, 58.4% yield).

Reaction Conducted in a Schlenk Flask. In another experiment,  $O_2$  (1 atm, 2.014 mmol) was added to **1** (0.465 g, 1.007 mmol) in toluene in a Schlenk flask. The

S6

headspace of the flask was 20.0 mL. The solution was heated at 80 °C for ca. 5 days. A pale yellow solid had precipitated from the solution. Volatiles were removed in vacuo and pentane was added. The solution was filtered and concentrated in attempts to grow crystals. Over time, a light yellow/white solid would precipitate out. An attempt to grow crystals of **3** in Et<sub>2</sub>O, hexanes and toluene was also made. The characterization of the precipitate **4** is discussed below. NMR characterization of **3**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 400.17 MHz, 23 °C):  $\delta$  4.26 (m, 4H, *CHM*e<sub>2</sub>), 3.47 (m, 4H, *CHM*e<sub>2</sub>), 1.34 (s, 12H, NC(*Me*)N), 1.25 (d, 24H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>) 1.02 (d, 24H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 100.63 MHz, 23 °C):  $\delta$  151.38 (NC(*Me*)N), 49.20 (*C*HMe<sub>2</sub>), 41.40 (*C*HMe<sub>2</sub>), 25.60 (*C*H*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 22.90 (*C*H*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 15.38 (NC(*Me*)N). <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR assignments were confirmed by HSQC experiment.

## Reaction of $Zr(NEt_2)_2[MeC(N^iPr)_2]_2$ (7) with O<sub>2</sub>

*NMR-scale Reaction.* In a Young's tube, **7** (16.5 mg, 0.0319 mmol) was dissolved in benzene- $d_6$ . Volume of headspace in the Young's tube was 2.3 mL. Before adding O<sub>2</sub>, the solution was frozen in liquid nitrogen and nitrogen gas was removed in vacuo. O<sub>2</sub> (1 atm, 0.0319 mmol) was then added. The solution was then heated at 70 °C for 7 days. <sup>1</sup>H NMR revealed the oxo-bridged dimer **3** and HNEt<sub>2</sub> had formed. Based on NMR the yield of HNEt<sub>2</sub> was 14.1% (2 mg). HNEt<sub>2</sub> was confirmed by GC-MS. A light yellow precipitate was identified as {( $\mu$ -O)Zr[MeC(N<sup>*i*</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>}<sub>n</sub> (**4**) (7.8 mg, 62.8% yield). The NMR chemical shifts were the same for the reaction of the methyl amide analog **1** with O<sub>2</sub> to produce the oxo-bridged dimer **3**.

Reaction Conducted in a Schlenk Flask. In a large scale experiment, O<sub>2</sub> (1 atm,

1.06 mmol) was added to **7** (0.549 g, 1.06 mmol) in toluene in a Schlenk flask. The volume of the headspace of the Schlenk flask was 53.6 mL. The solution was heated at 80 °C for 4 days. A pale yellow solid **4** had precipitated. Volatiles were removed in vacuo and pentane was added. The solution was filtered and concentrated in an attempt to grow crystals. Over time a cloudy solution would form and it was believed the polymer **4** had formed.

#### Reaction of 1 or 7 with H<sub>2</sub>O

NMR-scale Reaction. In a Young's NMR tube, 1 (35.3 mg, 0.0682 mmol) was dissolved in benezene- $d_6$ . In a separate Young's NMR tube, H<sub>2</sub>O (1.2 mg, 0.0611 mmol) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of THF. The solution of 1 in benezene- $d_6$  was cooled with an ice bath and the H<sub>2</sub>O/THF solution was added dropwise. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectroscopies showed the formation of **3** and HNEt<sub>2</sub>. The polymer { $(\mu$ -O)Zr[MeC(N<sup>*i*</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>]<sub>n</sub> (4) precipitated out of the solution. This was the same procedure used for the reaction of 1 with  $H_2O$  to confirm 3 and 4. The reactions were monitored by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectroscopies. The reaction was much faster than that of 1 or 7 with O2, and was completed in a few minutes. The product mixture turned cloudy, as the result of the precipitation of the polymer  $\{(\mu-O)Zr[MeC(N^{i}Pr)_{2}]_{2}\}_{n}$  (4). The <sup>1</sup>H and  $^{13}C{^{1}H}$  NMR chemical shifts of **3** are identical to those from the reactions of O<sub>2</sub> with  $Zr(NR_2)_2[MeC(N'Pr)_2]_2$  (R = Me, 1; Et, 7). The amount of the solid was too small to be isolated. These observations point to the reaction in Scheme 3. Since the reactions here with  $H_2O$  unlikely give peroxo products, the observations of **3** and **4** in the reactions in Scheme 3 support the assignments of **3** and **4** as the dimeric and polymeric oxo products,

ruling out that they are peroxo species.

## **Purification of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>**

#### *Caution: Extreme care should be taken when using hydrogen peroxide.*

High purity  $H_2O_2$  was prepared by evacuating at <0.1 torr a 20 mL of 30% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  solution at 23 °C till the volume of the remaining solution is ca. 2 mL.<sup>S5</sup> Since boiling points of  $H_2O_2$  and water are 150.2 and 100 °C, respectively, water was expected to be preferentially removed during the process. The residue, containing mostly  $H_2O_2$ , was then vacuum-transferred at <0.1 torr and condensed by liquid nitrogen in another Schlenk flask at -178 °C in order to remove the stabilizer in the  $H_2O_2$  solution. The condensed  $H_2O_2$  liquid was titrated three times with a 0.05 M aqueous KMnO<sub>4</sub> solution which had been standardized with potassium oxalate. The  $H_2O_2$  liquid was found to be 96% pure.

To standardize KMnO<sub>4</sub>,  $K_2C_2O_4$  (0.2447 g, 1.47 mmol) was dissolved in deionized water (15 mL) and heated to ~70 °C. (Do not boil the solution!) Concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  (1 mL) was then added. After two titrations the concentration of KMnO<sub>4</sub> was 0.506 M.

To find the concentration of the hydrogen peroxide liquid,  $H_2O_2$  (0.0818 g, 2.40 mmol) was dissolved in deionized water (15 mL) and concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  (1 mL). After three titrations with KMnO<sub>4</sub> (0.506 M, 18.2 mL, 9.21 mmol) the concentration of  $H_2O_2$  was 95.5-96.6%.

#### **Reaction of 1 with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>**

*Caution: Extreme care should be taken when using hydrogen peroxide.* 

*NMR-scale Reaction.* In a Young's tube, **1** (13.6 mg, 0.0294 mmol) was dissolved in benzene- $d_6$ . H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0.7 µL, 0.0297 mmol) was then added. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra revealed the oxo-bridged dimer **3**, HNMe<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. A precipitate formed and was presumed to be {(µ-O)Zr[MeC(N<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>}<sub>n</sub> (**4**). The NMR chemical shifts were the same for the reaction of the methyl amide analog **1** with O<sub>2</sub> to produce the oxobridged dimer **3**.

*Reaction Conducted in a Schlenk Flask.* In a large scale experiment,  $H_2O_2$  (26.5 mg, 0.780 mmol) in THF (20 mL) at 0 °C was added to 1 (356.7 mg, 0.772 mmol) in THF (15 mL) and cooled to -50 °C. It was allowed to stir overnight and volatiles were removed in vacuo. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum revealed the oxo-bridged dimer **3**. A precipitate formed and was presumed to be  $\{(\mu-O)Zr[MeC(N^iPr)_2]_2\}_n$  (**4**).

Reactions of Solid 1 with  $H_2O_2$ . High purity  $H_2O_2$  (0.4 µL, 0.0170 mmol) was added directly on powders of  $Zr(NMe_2)_2[MeC(N^iPr)_2]_2$  (1, 17.1 mg, 0.0370 mmol) in a glovebox. This was an attempt to minimize the contact of the product, peroxo 2, with unreacted 1 by not using a solvent. As soon as the liquid  $H_2O_2$  was added via syringe to the powders of 1, a spark with smoke occurred. This observation suggests that {( $\mu$ - $\eta^2$ : $\eta^2$ - $O_2)Zr[MeC(N^iPr)_2]_2$ } (2) is a very unstable and reactive compound (Scheme 3).

### Characterization of $\{(\mu-O)Zr[MeC(N'Pr)_2]_2\}_n$ (4)

It is presumed that the polymer  $\{(\mu-O)Zr[MeC(N'Pr)_2]_2\}_n$  (4) is the same for the reactions of 1 and 7 with O<sub>2</sub>. For the characterization here, the polymer 4 was prepared

from the reaction of **7** with O<sub>2</sub>. The solid-state <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of **4** (Figure S1) shows peaks at 14.19 ppm for NC(*Me*)N, 23.27 ppm for -CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>, 46.34 ppm for -CHMe<sub>2</sub>, and 152.35 ppm for NC(Me)N. The IR spectrum (Figure S2) shows a C=N peak at 1641 cm<sup>-1</sup>. A TGA experiment was performed under N<sub>2</sub>, the sample was heated from room temperature to 1000 °C at a rate of 10 °C per minute (Figure S3). There was a 36.21% loss which accounted for one amidinate ligand to be removed and **4** is considered to be a stable compound at room temperature. Anal. Calcd: C, 49.31; H, 8.79; N, 14.38. Found: C, 49.24; H, 8.71; N, 14.29.

#### Mass Spectrometric Studies of 2 and the Reactions between 1 or 7 and H<sub>2</sub>O in Air

Zirconium has five stable isotopes and in MS displays a unique isotopic pattern. It is easy to determine whether a compound has one Zr atom or two Zr atoms because their MS patterns are very different. Crystals of 2 (which contained 1) were added to a heated stream of He gas at 400 °C and the peroxo dimer 5 (Figure 2) was observed along with 3. It is believed that the high temperature converted the crystals of 2 to its more stable oligomer which is the peroxo dimer 5.

Since the reactions of  $Zr(NR_2)_2[MeC(N'Pr)_2]_2$  (R = Me, 1; Et, 7) with O<sub>2</sub> or water have been studied, it was of interest to see what products would be detected in MS from the reactions. In MS, there is a brief exposure time for the sample to enter into the gas phase. The exposure to O<sub>2</sub> was not of concern because it takes days for 1 or 7 to react with O<sub>2</sub>.

Solid powders of 1 or 7 were kept in a sealed vial under nitrogen until the MS analysis by a JEOL AccuTOF<sup>TM</sup> DART (Direct Analysis in Real Time) mass

spectrometer was heated to 200 °C. The powders were collected on the sealed end of a capillary tube and added quickly to the heated stream of He gas in air. Compounds **1** or **7** reacted with  $H_2O$  in air and the volatile products  $[M+H^+]$  (species+H<sup>+</sup>) were then analyzed by MS.

Since the reactions of both **1** and **7** with water give the same products, it was not surprising that the same products are observed in MS. The MS analyzer detected three zirconium and oxygen containing products: oxo monomer **9**, oxo dimer **3**, and a dihydroxyl complex **10** as shown in Scheme 5. The calculated mass for  $[9+H^+]$  is 389.18579 *m*/z, and the cation was observed at 389.18249 *m*/z. The calculated and observed isotopic patterns for  $[9+H^+]$  are given in Figure S4. The calculated mass for  $[10+H^+]$  is 407.19635 *m*/z, and the cation was observed at 407.19077 *m*/z. The calculated and observed isotopic patterns for  $[10+H^+]$  are shown in Figure S5. The calculated mass for  $[3+H^+]$  is 777.36375 *m*/z, and the cation was observed at 777.37085 *m*/z. The calculated mass in Figure S6. Also, the cations {Zr(NR<sub>2</sub>)[MeC(N<sup>*i*</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>} + (R = Me, Et) were observed and are likely formed by the MS process. The calculated and observed isotopic patterns for  $[1-NMe_2^+]$  and  $[2-NEt_2^+]$  are given in Figure S7 and S8, respectively.

S12



Figure S1. Solid-state <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 4.



Figure S12. IR spectrum of 4 in KBr.



Figure S3. TGA of 4.

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S4.** (Top) Calculated and (Bottom) Observed MS for  $[9+H^+]$ .

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S5.** (Top) Calculated and (Bottom) Observed MS for  $[10+H^+]$ .

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S6.** (Top) Calculated and (Bottom) Observed MS for  $[3+H^+]$ .

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S7.** (Top) Calculated and (Bottom) Observed MS of [1-NMe<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>].

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S8.** (Top) Calculated and (Bottom) Observed MS of  $[7-NEt_2^+]$ .

#### **Additional references**

(S1) S. Ge, A. Meetsma, B. Hessen, Organometallics, 2008, 27, 3131.

(S2) (a) J. Robertson, Rep. Prog. Phys. 2006, 69, 327; (b) A. C. Jones, , H. C. Aspinall, P.

R. Chalker, R. J. Potter, K. Kukli, A. Rahtu, M. Ritala, M. Leskelae, J. Mater. Chem.

2004, 14, 3101; (c) R. Arghavani, G. Miner, M. Agustin, Semicond.

*Internal.* 2007, **30**, 32-34, 36, 38; (d) G. M. Diamond, R. F. Jordan, J. L. Petersen, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 4030.

(S3) (a) K.-I. Tada, K. Inaba, T. Furukawa, T.Yamakawa, N.Oshima, PCT Int. Appl.,

2007, WO 2007015436 A1 20070208. The patent is in Japanese with an English

abstract.; (b) J. H. Forsberg, V. T. Spaziano, T. M. Balasubramanian, G. K. Liu, S. A.

Kinsley, C. A. Duckworth, J. J. Poteruca, P. S. Brown, J. L. Miller, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1987, 52, 1017.

(S4) (a) Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS, A Program for Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1996; (b) Sheldrick,
G. M. SHELXL-97, A Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

(S5) (a) A. U. Grunewald, K. H. Gericke, F. J. Comes, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1988, 89, 345; (b)
J. Lee, N. D. Chasteen, G. Zhao, G. C. Papaefthymiou, S. M. Gorun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, 124, 3042.