Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

Metallomacrocycle or helix: Supramolecular isomerism in bis(pyridylurea) metal complexes

Jianjun Liang, Biao Wu, * Chuandong Jia, Xiao-Juan Yang*

S1. Experimental

S1.1 General

¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Mercury plus-400 spectrometer with calibration against the solvent signal (DMSO- d_6 2.50 ppm for ¹H). IR spectra were obtained using a Nicolet AVATAR 360 FT-IR spectrometer as KBr pallets. Elemental analyses were done on a VarioEL instrument from Elementaranalysensysteme GmbH. ESI-MS measurements were carried out using a Waters ZQ4000 spectrometer in methanol. Melting points were detected on an X-4 Digital Vision MP Instrument. Powder X-Ray diffraction data were obtained with an X'Pert Pro instrument. TGA was carried out under N₂ flow (60 mL min⁻¹) with an STA 449C DSC/DTA-TG instrument.

S1.2 Synthesis of L

To a solution of 3-isocyanatopyridine (0.24 g, 2.0 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) was added butane-1,4-diamine (0.09 g, 1.0 mmol). A mass of precipitate appeared immediately. The reaction mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 1 h, and cooled to room temperature before filtration. The crude solid was purified by recrystallization from CH₃OH/H₂O (1:2) as colorless crystals. Yield: 0.30 g (91%). M.p.: 208–209 °C. Anal. Found: C 58.42, N 25.83, H 5.89; Calcd for C₁₆H₂₀N₆O₂ (328.27): C 58.52, N 25.59, H 6.14%. ESI-MS: *m/z* 165.0 ([L+2H]²⁺), 329.5 ([L+H]⁺). ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, ppm): 1.43 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 4H, urea-CH₂-CH₂-), 3.07 (dt, *J* = 5.6, 2.0 Hz, 4H, urea-CH₂-), 6.32 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz; 2H, CH₂-N*H*), 7.24 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 4.8 Hz, 2H, Py-H5), 7.87 (m, 2H, Py-H4), 8.08 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H, Py-H6), 8.50 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 2H, Py-H2), 8.60 (s, 2H, pyridyl-N*H*-). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): 27.17 (N-CH₂-CH₂-), 38.86 (urea-CH₂-), 123.37 (Py-C5), 124.33 (Py-C4), 137.17 (Py-C3), 139.48 (Py-C2), 141.96 (Py-C6), 155.08 (C=O). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3325, 3080, 2945, 2871, 1682, 1647, 1557, 1482, 1283, 1229, 1026, 812, 703, 608.

S1.3 Synthesis of [Zn₂L₂Cl₄(toluene)] (1)

A methanolic solution (5 mL) of L (32.8 mg, 0.10 mmol) was layered on a toluene/MeOH solution (5 mL,

1:3 v/v) of ZnCl₂ (13.6 mg, 0.10 mmol). Colorless block crystals were obtained after two weeks. Yield: 36.0 mg (70%). Turns to opaque at 140 °C, M.p.: 209–210 °C. Anal. found: C 45.22, H 4.74, N 16.69; Calcd. for [Zn₂L₂Cl₄(toluene)_{0.9}]: C 45.45, H 4.70, N 16.60% (about 10% of the guest was lost during the drying of the sample for the analysis). ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): 1.43 (s, 8H, urea-CH₂-CH₂-), 3.07 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 8H, urea-CH₂-), 6.34 (t, J = 5.6 Hz; 4H, NH), 7.31 (dd, J = 8.4, 5.2 Hz, 4H, Py-H5), 7.92 (m, 4H, Py-H4), 8.10 (t, J = 4.4 Hz, 4H, Py-H6), 8.56 (s, 4H, Py-H2), 8.72 (s, 4H, pyridyl-N*H*-), 2.26 (s, 3H, Ar-C*H*₃), 7.16 (m, 3H, toluene-H2,4), 7.25 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, tolenen-H3). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆), 27.17 (N-CH₂-CH₂-), 39.08 (urea-CH₂-), 123.79 (Py-C5), 125.03 (Py-C4), 137.14 (Py-C3), 139.52 (Py-C2), 141.69 (Py-C6), 155.04 (C=O), 128.17 (Ar-C3), 126.85 (Ar-C2). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3386 , 3305, 3082, 2939, 2856, 1712, 1650, 1553, 1483, 1427, 1270, 1231, 1026, 810, 697, 652.



Fig. S1 The intermolecular N–H…O and the N–H…Cl hydrogen bonds in compound 1.



Fig. S2 TGA curve of compound **1**.



Fig. S3 1 H NMR Spectrum of compound 1.

S1.4 Synthesis of [Zn₂L₂Cl₄(*m*-xylene)] (2):

In a similar procedure, $[Zn_2L_2Cl_4(m-xylene)]$ (2) was synthesized by layering a methanolic solution (5 mL) of L (32.8 mg, 0.10 mmol) on an *m*-xylene/MeOH solution (5 mL, 1:3 v/v) of ZnCl₂ (13.6 mg, 0.10 mmol) as colorless crystals. Yield: 30.0 mg (53 %). M.p.: 206 °C. Anal found: C 46.16, H 4.55, N 16.05, calc. for ($[Zn_2L_2Cl_4(m-xylene)]$) C 46.40, H 4.87, N 16.23%. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆), 1.45 (s, 8H, urea-CH₂-CH₂-), 3.11 (d, 8H, *J* = 4.8 Hz, urea-CH₂-), 6.33 (t, 4H, *J* = 4.8 Hz; CH₂-N*H*), 7.29 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 4.4 Hz, 4H, Py-H5), 7.91 (m, 4H, Py-H4), 8.10 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 4H, Py-H6), 8.55 (s, 4H, Py-H2), 8.77 (d, 4H, *J* = 4.4 Hz, pyridyl-N*H*-), 2.25 (s, 6H, xylene-CH₃), 6.96 (t, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, xylene-H2), 7.21 (m, 3H, xylene-H4,5,6). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆), 27.17 (N-CH₂-CH₂-), 39.08 (urea-CH₂-), 123.65 (Py-C5), 124.77 (Py-C4), 137.38 (Py-C3), 139.30 (Py-C2), 141.80 (Py-C6), 155.05 (C=O), 125.93. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3386, 3303, 3112, 2858, 1712, 1649, 1552, 1482, 1270, 811, 697.



Fig. S4 Macrocyclic structure of compound **2**. (a) An *m*-xylene molecule is included in the boat-like macrocycle; (b) Weak interactions in compound **2** (N2···O1: 2.848 Å, \angle N2–H2A···O1: 143.8°; N3···O1: 2.695 Å, \angle N3–H3A···O1: 151.5°; N4···Cl2: 3.428 Å, \angle N4–H4B···Cl2: 166.6°; N5···Cl2: 3.269 Å, \angle N5–H5B···Cl2: 161.7°).



Fig. S5 ¹H NMR Spectrum of compound **2**.



Fig. S6 TGA curve of compound 2.

S1.5 Synthesis of $[ZnLCl_2]_n$ (3):

A methanolic solution (5 mL) of L (32.8 mg, 0.10 mmol) was layered on a mesitylene/MeOH solution (5 mL, 1:3 v/v) of ZnCl₂ (13.6 mg, 0.10 mmol). After two weeks, colorless crystals were obtained. Yield: 40.8 mg (88 %). M.p.: 235°C–237°C. Anal found: C 41.22, H 4.29, N 17.89; Calcd. for [ZnLCl₂] (464.69): C 41.36, H 4.34, N 18.09%. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6), 1.45 (s, 2H, urea-CH₂-CH₂-), 3.11 (d, 2H, J = 5.6 Hz, urea-CH₂-), 6.33 (t, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz; NH), 7.31 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 4.4 Hz, Py-H5), 7.92 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, Py-H4), 8.10 (d, 1H, J = 4.0 Hz, Py-H6), 8.57 (s, 1H, Py-H2), 8.72 (s, 1H, pyridyl-N*H*-). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3358, 3071, 2932, 2853, 1708, 1676, 1546, 1482, 1424, 1302, 1226, 1025, 805, 698, 653.



Fig. S7 Racemic helices viewed along the *c* axis in compound **3**.

S1.6 Experiments on the possible transformation of the macrocyclic and helix isomers:

Powder XRD analyses (Fig. S8) revealed that the metallomacrocycle $[Zn_2L_2Cl_4(toluene)]$ (1) was retained after colorless crystals of 1 were immersed in methanol/mesitylene (10:1, 5:1 and 2:1 v/v, respectively) for two days at different temperature (20 °C and 60 °C). Similar experiments were carried out by placing single crystals of 3 in methanol/toluene, and powder XRD patterns showed no change of the phase (Fig. S9)."



Fig. S8 Power XRD patterns of compound **1**. (a) Simulated pattern; (b) After immersing into methanol/mesitylene (5:1 v/v) at 60 °C.



Fig. S9 Power XRD patterns of compound 3. (a) Simulated pattern; (b) After immersing into methanol/toluene (5:1 v/v) at 60 °C.

S1.7 Experiments on the reversibility of toluene inclusion of compound 1:

The following experiments were carried out to investigate the reversibility of the toluene inclusion. Colorless crystals of **1** were dried for 24 hours at 160 °C in vacuum and then immersed in toluene for 10 hours at 60 °C. TGA analyses revealed that **1** lost 86.7% of toluene guests upon heating (measured weight loss of the toluene molecule after drying, 1.2%; calculated weight loss for toluene per **1**, 9.0%; Fig. S10). After immersing in toluene, the guest was completely re-introduced (measured weight loss of the toluene molecule, 9.4%; Fig. S12). Powder XRD analyses indicated that the macrocyclic structure was retained during the processes (Fig. S11).



Fig. S10 TGA curve of compound 1 after drying for 24 hours at 160 °C in vacuum.



Fig. S11 Powder XRD of 1. (a) Simulated pattern; (b) After drying for 24 hours at 160 °C in vacuum.



Fig. S12 TGA curve of compound 1 after reversibly reintroducing the toluene guest.

S1.8 X-Ray crystal structure determination:

Diffraction data for the complexes 1-3 were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer at room temperature (293 K) with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). An empirical absorption correction using SADABS was applied for all data.¹ The structures were solved by direct methods using the SHELXS program.² All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by full-matrix least-squares on F^2 by the use of the program SHELXL.² Hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon were included in idealized geometric positions with thermal parameters equivalent to 1.2 times those of the atom to which they were attached. For complex **1**, the methyl group of toluene molecule was refined into two half-occupancy positions, and the hydrogen atom on the carbon attaching disordered methyl group is not included. For complex **3**, the low ratio of observed/unique reflections of 38% is due to the poor quality of the crystal, which led to a large number of weak reflections.

References:

1. Sheldrick, G. M., *SADABS: Area-Detector Absorption Correction*, **1996**, University of Göttingen, Germany.

2. Sheldrick, G. M., SHELXS-97, SHELXL-97, Programs for Crystal Structure Analysis, 1997, University of Göttingen, Germany.