Supporting Information. Tables S1-S5 and Figures S1-S6. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at

http://pubs.acs.org.

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for Compound 1.

Identification code	Compound1	
Empirical formula	C66 H42 In2 N4 O13	
Formula weight	1328.66	
Temperature	295(2) K	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Crystal system	Triclinic	
Space group	P-1	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 9.835(2) Å	α= 96.492(4)°.
	b = 13.839(3) Å	β= 100.320(5)°.
	c = 21.881(5) Å	γ= 104.967(4)°.
Volume	2790.5(11) Å3	
Ζ	2	
Density (calculated)	1.579 Mg/m3	
Absorption coefficient	0.899 mm-1	
F(000)	1332	
Crystal size	0.30 x 0.25 x 0.20 mm3	
Theta range for data collection	0.96 to 20.81°.	
Index ranges	-9<=h<=9, -13<=k<=13, -21<=l<=21	
Reflections collected	12374	
Independent reflections	5647 [R(int) = 0.1929]	
Completeness to theta = 20.81°	96.7 %	
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	
Max. and min. transmission	0.835 and 0.764	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F2	
Data / restraints / parameters	5647 / 0 / 775	
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.069	
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0758, wR2 = 0.1700	
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1252, $wR2 = 0.2108$	
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.094 and -0.964 e.Å-3	

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for Compound 2.

Identification code	Compound2	
Empirical formula	C19 H13.5 In N O5.25	
Formula weight	454.38	
Temperature	296(2) K	
Wavelength	1.54178 Å	
Crystal system	Monoclinic	
Space group	P2(1)/n	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 10.7789(3) Å	α= 90°.
	b = 8.2923(2) Å	β= 95.0310(10)°.
	c = 19.6538(5) Å	$\gamma = 90^{\circ}$.
Volume	1749.93(8) Å3	
Ζ	4	
Density (calculated)	1.725 Mg/m3	
Absorption coefficient	11.083 mm-1	
F(000)	901	
Crystal size	0.08 x 0.04 x 0.04 mm3	
Theta range for data collection	4.52 to 63.28°.	
Index ranges	-12<=h<=12, -9<=k<=9, -22<	=1<=18
Reflections collected	22655	
Independent reflections	2832 [R(int) = 0.0361]	
Completeness to theta = 63.28°	99.1 %	
Absorption correction	None	
Max. and min. transmission	0.6655 and 0.4709	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F2	2
Data / restraints / parameters	2832 / 1 / 230	
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.065	
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0739, wR2 = 0.2276	
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0918, wR2 = 0.2452	
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.686 and -1.126 e.Å-3	

Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for Compound 3.

Identification code	incomp	ound3
Empirical formula	C38 H2	27 In N2 O9
Formula weight 770.44	1	
Temperature 293(2) K		
Wavelength 0.7107	avelength 0.71073 Å	
Crystal system Orthorhombic		
Space group P2(1)2(1)2(1)		
Unit cell dimensions	a = 12.8	8039(9) Å α= 90°.
b = 14.0375(9)	Å β= 90°.	
c = 18.3577(12)) Å	$\gamma = 90^{\circ}$.
Volume 3299.5(4) Å3		
Z 4		
Density (calculated) 1.551 Mg/m3		
Absorption coefficient 0.778 mm-1		
F(000) 1560		
Crystal size 0.40 x	0.30 x 0.2	20 mm3
Theta range for data collection 1.83 to 28.32°.		
Index ranges -16<=	h<=16, -18	8<=k<=18, -24<=1<=24
Reflections collected	30563	
Independent reflections 8003 $[R(int) = 0.0594]$		
Completeness to theta =	28.32°	98.9 %
Absorption correction	None	
Refinement method	Full-ma	atrix least-squares on F2
Data / restraints / parameters 8003 / 2 / 559		
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.073	
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] R1 = 0.0398, wR2 = 0.0621		
R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0519$, wR2 = 0.0646		
Absolute structure parar	neter	0.002(15)
Largest diff. peak and hole		0.764 and -0.395 e.Å-3

Table S4. Crystal data and structure refinement for Compound 4.

Identification code	InCompound4	
Empirical formula	C76 H54 In2 N4 O18	
Formula weight	1540.87	
Temperature	295(2) K	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Crystal system	Triclinic	
Space group	P-1	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 9.9495(6) Å	α= 82.5430(10)°.
	b = 13.0898(8) Å	β= 85.4720(10)°.
	c = 13.2297(8) Å	γ= 80.5710(10)°.
Volume	1682.4(12) Å3	
Z	1	
Density (calculated)	1.521 Mg/m3	
Absorption coefficient	0.763 mm-1	
F(000)	780	
Crystal size	0.30 x 0.25 x 0.20 mm3	
Theta range for data collection	1.59 to 26.37°.	
Index ranges	-12<=h<=12, -16<=k<=16, -16<=l<=16	
Reflections collected	13615	
Independent reflections	6578 [R(int) = 0.0425]	
Completeness to theta = 26.37°	95.6 %	
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	
Max. and min. transmission	0.8624 and 0.8035	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F2	
Data / restraints / parameters	6578 / 2 / 460	
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.054	
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0405, wR2 = 0.0860	
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0610, $wR2 = 0.0922$	
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.643 and -0.435 e.Å-3	

Table S5. Crystal data and structure refinement for Compound 5.

Identification code	Incompound5	
Empirical formula	C76 H50 In2 N4 O16	
Formula weight 1504.84	4	
Temperature 295(2)	K	
Wavelength 0.7107.	3 Å	
Crystal system Triclini	c	
Space group P-1		
Unit cell dimensions	$a = 10.6135(12) \text{ Å}$ $\alpha = 75.745(2)^{\circ}.$	
b = 11.6513(13)	Å $\beta = 68.609(2)^{\circ}$.	
c = 14.0949(15)	Å γ= 88.736(2)°.	
Volume 1568.5(3) Å3		
Z 1		
Density (calculated)	1.593 Mg/m3	
Absorption coefficient	0.814 mm-1	
F(000) 760		
Crystal size 0.30 x 0	0.25 x 0.20 mm3	
Theta range for data colle	ection 1.81 to 28.85°.	
Index ranges -13<=h	<=13, -15<=k<=15, -18<=l<=18	
Reflections collected	13544	
Independent reflections 7022 $[R(int) = 0.0527]$		
Completeness to theta = 28.85° 85.3 %		
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F2	
Data / restraints / parameters 7022 / 0 / 542		
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.049	
Final R indices [I>2sigma	a(I)] $R1 = 0.0652, wR2 = 0.0979$	
R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.1009, wR2 = 0.1072$		

Largest diff. peak and hole 0.994 and -0.831 e.Å-3



Figure S1. Comparison of the experimental PXRD patterns for as-synthesized compound **1** (black) with the simulated pattern from single-crystal X-Ray data (blue).



Figure S2. Comparison of the experimental PXRD patterns for as-synthesized compound **2** (black) with the simulated pattern from single-crystal X-Ray data (blue).



Figure S3. Comparison of the experimental PXRD patterns for as-synthesized compound **3** (black) with the simulated pattern from single-crystal X-Ray data (blue).

TGA curves for 1-3 are shown in Figure S4, which reveal the thermal stability of these compounds up to \sim 300 °C (under N₂). In order to check their structural stability after losing water molecules, XRPD patterns of the samples heated at 250°C were recorded (Figure S5-S7).



Figure S4. TGA profiles of 1, 2 and 3 compounds performed under N_2 (flow of 50 mL.min⁻¹) with a heating rate of 5

°C/min.



Figure S5. Comparison of the experimental XRPD pattern of 1 after heating a 250°C under N_2 , with the pattern of the assynthesized sample.



Figure S6. Comparison of the experimental XRPD pattern of 2 after heating a 250°C under N2, with the pattern of the as-

synthesized sample.



Figure S7. Comparison of the experimental XRPD pattern of 3 after heating a 250°C under N_2 , with the pattern of the assynthesized sample.



Figure S8. FTIR spectra of compounds 1-3 in the 4000-250 cm⁻¹ range.