Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for CrystEngComm. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013

Polynuclear coordination compounds: A magnetostructural study of ferromagnetically coupled Ni₄O₄ cubane core motif

Sibasree Karmakar, and Sumit Khanra*

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) – Kolkata BCKV Main Campus PO, Mohanpur, Nadia, WB 741252, India E-mail: <u>sumit.khanra@iiserkol.ac.in</u>





 H_2L^1







 H_2L^3

 H_2L^4

Fig S1 Representation of the ligands



Fig S2 Molecular ion peak in the ESI-MS spectrum for **1**(top) and **4**(bottom) with simulated and observed isotopic distributions.



Fig S3 Molecular ion peak in the ESI-MS spectrum for 5 with simulated and observed isotopic distributions.



Fig S4: Experimental (red) and calculated (blue) powder XRD patterns of complex 1.



Fig S5: Experimental (red) and calculated (blue) powder XRD patterns of complex 2.



Fig S6: Experimental (red) and calculated (blue) powder XRD patterns of complex 3.



Fig S7: Experimental (red) and calculated (blue) powder XRD patterns of complex 4.



Fig S8 Energy level calculation for **1** with parameters g = 2.06, $J_1 = -3.9$ cm⁻¹, $J_2 = +7.55$ cm⁻¹.



Fig S9 Energy level calculation for **2** with parameters g = 2.055, $J_1 = -2.45$ cm⁻¹, $J_2 = +7.3$ cm⁻¹.



Fig S10 Energy level calculation for **3** with parameters g = 2.045, $J_1 = -3.2$ cm⁻¹, $J_2 = +7.8$ cm⁻¹.

SK-213 Ni4 cmnt: cubane



Fig S11 Energy level calculation for **4** with parameters g = 2.13, $J_1 = -3.9$ cm⁻¹, $J_2 = +8.4$ cm⁻¹.



Fig. S12: A perspective view of the 3D packing of **1**; green lines represent hydrogen bonding.



Fig. S13: A perspective view of the 3D packing of **2**; green lines represent hydrogen bonding.



Fig. S14: 2D and 3D-contour projection of the relative error surface of fitting the magnetic data of **1**.



Fig. S15: 2D and 3D-contour projection of the relative error surface of fitting the magnetic data of **1**.



Fig. S16: 2D-contour projection of the relative error surface of fitting the magnetic data of **2** and **3**.



Fig. S17: 2D-contour projection of the relative error surface of fitting the magnetic data of 4.



Fig. S18: 2D-contour projection of the relative error surface of fitting the magnetic data of 2.

The magnetic data was also simulated by using additional parameter D based on the Hamiltonian noted below:

 $H = -2J_1 (S_1 \bullet S_2 + S_3 \bullet S_4) - 2J_2 (S_1 \bullet S_3 + S_1 \bullet S_4 + S_2 \bullet S_3 + S_2 \bullet S_4) + \Sigma D_{Ni} S_{iz}^2$

The simulation yielded the parameters $J_1 = -3$. 75 cm⁻¹, $J_2 = +7.66$ cm⁻¹, $D_{Ni} = |4|$ cm⁻¹, g = 2.06 for **1**; $J_1 = -2$. 27 cm⁻¹, $J_2 = +7.45$ cm⁻¹, $D_{Ni} = |4|$ cm⁻¹, g = 2.06 for **2**; $J_1 = -3$. 06 cm⁻¹, $J_2 = +7.88$ cm⁻¹, $D_{Ni} = |4|$ cm⁻¹, g = 2.05 for **3** and $J_1 = -3$. 72 cm⁻¹, $J_2 = +8.53$ cm⁻¹, $D_{Ni} = |4|$ cm⁻¹, g = 2.13 for **4**.

| $Ni(1) \bullet \bullet Ni(2)$ | 3.038(1) | | $Ni(2) \bullet \bullet Ni(3)$ | 3.035(2) | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------|--|----------------------|----------|
| $Ni(1) \bullet \bullet Ni(3)$ | 3.159(2) | | $Ni(2) \bullet \bullet Ni(4)$ | 3.175(2) | |
| $Ni(1) \bullet \bullet Ni(4)$ | 3.037(1) | | Ni(3)●●Ni(4) | 3.039(2) | |
| Ni(1) - O(2) | 1 979(6) | | Ni(3) = O(3) | 2 147(6) | |
| Ni(1) = O(3) | 1.996(6) | | Ni(3) = O(6) | 2.117(0) 2.018(6) | |
| Ni(1) = O(9) | 2 143(6) | | Ni(3) = O(8) | 1.977(6) | |
| Ni(1) = O(12) | 2.143(0) 2.032(6) | | Ni(3) = O(0) Ni(3) = O(9) | 1.977(0) | |
| Ni(1) = O(12) Ni(1) = O(13) | 2.032(0) 2.172(7) | | Ni(3) = O(15) | 2.150(6) | |
| Ni(1) = O(13) Ni(1) = N(1) | 2.172(7) 1 088(8) | | Ni(3) = O(13) Ni(3) = N(3) | 2.137(0) 1 080(8) | |
| Ni(1) = N(1) Ni(2) = O(3) | 2.065(6) | | Ni(3) = N(3) Ni(4) = O(6) | 2 1.700(0) | |
| Ni(2) = O(5) | 1.959(6) | | Ni(4) = O(0) Ni(4) = O(9) | 2.144(0) 2.068(6) | |
| Ni(2) = O(3) Ni(2) = O(6) | 1.937(0) 1.003(6) | | $N_{1}(4) = O(2)$ $N_{2}(4) = O(2)$ | 1.062(6) | |
| Ni(2) = O(0) Ni(2) = O(12) | 1.335(0) 2.126(6) | | Ni(4) = O(11) Ni(4) = O(12) | 1.902(0) 1.007(6) | |
| Ni(2) = O(12) Ni(2) = O(14) | 2.130(0) 2.165(6) | | Ni(4) = O(12) Ni(4) = O(16) | 1.997(0) 2.188(6) | |
| NI(2) = O(14) NI(2) = N(2) | 2.103(0) | | NI(4) = O(10) NI(4) = N(4) | 2.100(0) | |
| NI(2) - IN(2) | 1.999(8) | | NI(4) - IN(4) | 2.002(8) | |
| Ni(1) - O(3) | - Ni(2) | 96.9(3) | Ni(2) - O(3) - | Ni(3) | 92.2(2) |
| Ni(1) - O(12) | - Ni(2) | 93.6(3) | Ni(2) - O(6) - | Ni(3) | 98.4(3) |
| Ni(1) - O(3) | - Ni(3) | 99.3(3) | Ni(2) - O(6) - | Ni(4) | 100.2(3) |
| Ni(1) - O(9) | - Ni(3) | 99.5(3) | Ni(2) - O(12) | - Ni(4) | 100.3(3) |
| Ni(1) - O(9) | - Ni(4) | 92.3(2) | Ni(3) - O(6) - | Ni(4) | 93.8(2) |
| Ni(1) - O(12) | - Ni(4) | 97.8(3) | Ni(3) - O(9) - | Ni(4) | 96.9(3) |
| | | | | | |
| O(2) - Ni(1) | - O(3) 1 | 71.6(3) | O(8) - Ni(3) - | O(9) | 171.8(3) |
| O(5) - Ni(2) | - 0(6) 1 | .68.5(3) | O(11) - Ni(4) - | O(12) | 166.7(3) |
| | | | | | |

Table S1 for selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for complex (5)