## Supporting Information



Figure S1. TGA results obtained for OCP.


Figure S2. TGA results obtained for succinic acid.


Figure S3. FT-IR spectra of OCP (upper trace) and OCPS (lower trace).


Figure S4. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-{ }^{31}$ P DARR measurements with a mixing time of 300 ms . The dashed lines show the chemical shift of the HAp impurity phase.

Determination of the proportionality constants between the van Vleck's second moment and the experimental DQ build-up rates for OCP

The proportionality constants between the van Vleck's second moment and the experimental DQ build-up rates were determined for OCP in the following way. Firstly, we made an assignment of the peak at -0.2 ppm . Then we calculated the corresponding $M_{2}$ values based on the X-ray crystal structure. Because there are three possible assignments of the peak at -0.2 ppm for OCP , viz. $\mathrm{P} 5 / \mathrm{P} 6, \mathrm{P} 4 / \mathrm{P} 5 / \mathrm{P} 6$, and $\mathrm{P} 2 / \mathrm{P} 5 / \mathrm{P} 6$, we had calculated three sets of proportionality constants as shown in Table S1 to S3.

Table S1. Proportionality constants between the van Vleck's second moment and the experimental DQ build-up rates determined for OCP. The signal at -0.2 ppm is assigned to P 5 and P 6 .

| Correlation <br> peaks <br> $(\mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{ppm})$ | Assignments | $A$ | Calculated $M_{2}$ <br> $\left(\times 10^{6}\right.$ <br> $\left.\mathrm{rad}^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{-2}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathbf{2}} / \boldsymbol{A}$ <br> $\left(\times 10^{6}\right.$ <br> $\left.\mathrm{rad}^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{-2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3.7,-0.2$ | $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{P} 5 / 6$ | 0.926 | 0.347 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 5}$ |
| $3.3,-0.2$ | $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{P} 5 / 6$ | 0.840 | 0.696 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 2 8}$ |
| $2.0,-0.2$ | $\mathrm{P} 3-\mathrm{P} 5 / 6$ | 0.710 | 1.076 | $\mathbf{1 . 5 1 6}$ |
| $-0.2,-0.2$ | $\mathrm{P} 5 / 6-\mathrm{P} 5 / 6$ | 0.639 | 2.1082 | $\mathbf{3 . 3 0 1}$ |
| $3.7,3.7$ | $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{P} 1$ | 1.148 | 3.222 | $\mathbf{2 . 8 0 6}$ |
| $3.7,2.0$ | $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{P} 3$ | 0.819 | 0.581 | $\mathbf{0 . 7 1 0}$ |

Table S2. Proportionality constants between the van Vleck's second moment and the experimental DQ build-up rates determined for OCP. The signal at -0.2 ppm is assigned to $\mathrm{P} 4, \mathrm{P} 5$, and P 6 .

| Correlation <br> peaks <br> $(\mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{ppm})$ | Assignments | $A$ | Calculated $M_{2}$ <br> $\left(\times 10^{6}\right.$ | $\boldsymbol{M}_{2} / \boldsymbol{A}$ <br> $\left(\times 10^{6}\right.$ <br> $\left.\mathrm{rad}^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{-2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3.7,-0.2$ | $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{P} 4 / 5 / 6$ | 0.926 | 0.894 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 6}$ |
| $3.3,-0.2$ | $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{P} 4 / 5 / 6$ | 0.840 | 0.789 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 3 9}$ |
| $2.0,-0.2$ | $\mathrm{P} 3-\mathrm{P} 4 / 5 / 6$ | 0.710 | 1.100 | $\mathbf{1 . 5 5 0}$ |
| $-0.2,-0.2$ | $\mathrm{P} 4 / 5 / 6-\mathrm{P} 4 / 5 / 6$ | 0.639 | 1.405 | $\mathbf{2 . 2 0 0}$ |
| $3.7,3.7$ | $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{P} 1$ | 1.148 | 3.222 | $\mathbf{2 . 8 0 6}$ |
| $3.7,2.0$ | $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{P} 3$ | 0.819 | 0.581 | $\mathbf{0 . 7 1 0}$ |

Table S3. Proportionality constants between the van Vleck's second moment and the experimental $D Q$ build-up rates determined for OCP. The signal at -0.2 ppm is assigned to P 2 , P 5 , and P 6 .

| Correlation <br> peaks <br> $(\mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{ppm})$ | Assignments | $A$ | Calculated $M_{2}$ <br> $\left(\times 10^{6}\right.$ | $\boldsymbol{M}_{2} / \boldsymbol{A}$ <br> $\left(\times 10^{6}\right.$ <br> $\left.\mathrm{rad}^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{-2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table S4. The calculated $M_{2}$ of OCPS based on the assignment of $P_{A} \rightarrow P 6$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} 5$.
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Site } & \text { Assignment } & A & \begin{array}{c}\text { Extracted } M_{2} \text { of } \\ \text { oCPS }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { P1- } P_{A} & \text { P1-P6 } & 0.706 & \begin{array}{c}\text { Calculated } M_{2} \text { of } \\ \text { oCP }\end{array} \\ \hline P 1-P_{B} & \text { P1-P5 } & 0.490 & 0.682 \\ \left(\times 10^{6} \mathrm{rad}^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{-2}\right)\end{array}\right]$
${ }^{+}$The proportionality constants ( $M_{2} / A$ ) were taken from Table S2. ${ }^{\ddagger}$ The $M_{2}$ values were calculated based on the X-ray crystal structure.

Table S5. The calculated $M_{2}$ of OCPS based on the assignment of $P_{A} \rightarrow P 5$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} 6$.

| Site | Assignment | A | Extracted $M_{2}$ of OCPS ${ }^{\dagger}$ $\left(\times 10^{6} \mathrm{rad}^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{-2}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated } M_{2} \text { of } \\ \text { OCP }^{\ddagger} \\ \left(\times 10^{6} \mathrm{rad}^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{-2}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{P}_{\text {A }}$ | P1-P5 | 0.706 | 0.682 | 0.0847 |
| $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | P1-P6 | 0.490 | 0.473 | 0.60889 |
| P3- $\mathrm{P}_{\text {A }}$ | P3-P5 | 0.599 | 0.929 | 0.5623 |
| P3- $\mathrm{P}_{\text {B }}$ | P3-P6 | 0.346 | 0.537 | 1.5891 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | P5-P6 | 0.397 | 0.873 | 1.3509 |

${ }^{\dagger}$ The proportionality constants ( $M_{2} / A$ ) were taken from Table S2. ${ }^{\ddagger}$ The $M_{2}$ values were calculated based on the X-ray crystal structure.

As shown in the crystal structure of OCP, P 4 is more likely to react with water to form the species of $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$. Therefore, in the main text of our manuscript, we chose to employ the entries of Table S2 to calculate the $M_{2}$ values of OCPS. Nevertheless, the same conclusion shown in Figure 7 was obtained when we employed the entries of Table S1 or S3.

Determination of the chemical formula of OCPS and the overall $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{P}$ ratio

Table S6. Summary of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spin counting data.

|  | HAp | OCP | OCPS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-31 signal integral <br> (a.u.) | 1.0 | 2.3 | $1.1^{\text {a }}$ |
| Sample mass <br> (mg) | 37.9 | 26.9 | 15.0 |
| Mw (g/mol) | 1004.0 | 982.6 | -- |
| Number of scan | 4 | 12 | 12 |
| \# of P-31 per formula <br> unit | 6 | 0.16426 |  |
| \# of mole of P-31 (10³) | 0.22649 | 1.16684 |  |
| Integral per scan per <br> mole of P-31 spins <br> $\left(10^{3}\right)$ | 1.1038 |  |  |

The average integral per scan per mole of $\mathrm{P}-31$ spins was equal to $1.135 \times 10^{3}$, from which the number of mole of P-31 spins per unit mg of OCPS was calculated as $1.1 / 12 /\left(1.135 \times 10^{3}\right) / 15=\mathbf{5 . 3 8} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-6}$.

By comparing the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Bloch decay spectra of OCPS and L-alanine, the number of mole of succinate ions per unit mg of OCPS was found to be $\mathbf{5 . 4 9} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{- 7}}$.

Assuming that the formula of OCPS is $\mathrm{Ca}_{8-\mathrm{y} / 2}\left(\mathrm{HPO}_{4}\right)_{2-x}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{4-\mathrm{y}}(\text { succinate })_{x+y}: \mathrm{zH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ :
$5.49 \times 10^{-7}: 5.38 \times 10^{-6}=(x+y):[6-(x+y)]$
$\Rightarrow x+y=\mathbf{0 . 5 6}$

From the deconvolution data, the ratio of the integrals of $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}: \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ is calculated as
$\frac{1.38}{2.72}=\frac{2-x}{4-y}$
$\Rightarrow x=\mathbf{0 . 1 7} \quad$ and $\quad y=\mathbf{0 . 3 9}$
Therefore, the molecular formula of OCPS is found to be:
$\mathbf{C a}_{7.81}\left(\mathbf{H P O}_{4}\right)_{1.82}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{3.61}(\text { succinate })_{0.56} \mathbf{z H}_{2} \mathbf{O}$
Hence the amount of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ due to OCPS is calculated as $5.38 \times 10^{-6} \times 7.81 /(1.82+$ $3.61)=7.74 \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-6}$.

Based on ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ integral ratio of the HAp (impurity phase) and OCPS, the amount of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ due to HAp is calculated as $5.38 \times 10^{-6} \times 0.64 / 4.10=\mathbf{8 . 4 0} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-7}$.
Assuming that the HAp phase has a perfect stoichiometry, the amount of Ca ions due to HAp is then calculated as $8.40 \times 10^{-7} \times 1.67=\mathbf{1 . 4 0} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-6}$.

Overall, the $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{P}$ ratio of our OCPS sample is calculated as

$$
\frac{(7.74+1.40)}{(5.44+0.84)}=\mathbf{1 . 4 6}
$$

