# Supplementary info Automated generation of radical species in crystalline carbohydrate using *ab initio* MD simulations

Siv G. Aalbergsjø<sup>1\*</sup>, Ewald Pauwels<sup>2</sup>, Andy Van Yperen-De Deyne<sup>3</sup>, Veronique Van Speybroeck<sup>3</sup> and Einar Sagstuen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Oslo, P. O. Box 1048 Blindern, N-0316, Oslo, Norway.
 <sup>2</sup>UGent HPC, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281 S9, B-9000 Gent, Belgium
 <sup>3</sup>Center for Molecular Modeling, Ghent University, Technologiepark 903, B-9052 Zwijnaarde, Belgium
 \*E-mail: s.g.aalbergsjo@fys.uio.no

#### A. Radical product formation



**Figure 1:** Oxidative alkoxy deprotonation product formation including hydrogen molecule formation. An alkoxy radical is stabilized on O1 by deprotonation along O1-O2-Ow-... hydrogen bond chain. Proton from deprotonation reaction chain has attracted a hydrogen anion from the C5 position of another rhamnose which has maintained neutrality by deprotonation from O1.

## B. Hyperfine couplings of radical structures produced by MD simulations

**Table 1:** Calculated hfcs for the dehydroxylation products. Isotropic ( $A_{iso}$ ) and anisotropic ( $A_{ani}$ ) hyperfine couplings are in MHz. Principal directions are given with respect to the orthogonal crystallographic axes  $a^*bc$ .

				Dire	ction cosine	es
Structure	Atom	Aiso	A <sub>ani</sub>	<i>a</i> *	b	с
(a)	H1	-52.3	-33.5	0.657	-0.353	-0.667
			-3.2	0.592	0.789	0.167
			36.7	-0.467	0.504	-0.727
	H2	6.6	-6.6	0.587	-0.578	0.567
			-3.4	0.638	0.761	0.115
			10.0	-0.499	0.294	0.816
	H5	10.0	-3.9	-0.324	-0.391	0.862
			-2.8	0.026	0.907	0.421
			6.7	0.946	-0.158	0.284
	HO2	15.8	-5.2	-0.786	0.417	-0.456
			-2.3	0.322	0.907	0.273
			7.5	-0.528	-0.068	0.847
(b)	H1	24.0	-5.8	-0.735	0.613	0.291
			-3.6	-0.655	-0.752	-0.071
		_	9.4	-0.176	0.242	-0.954
	H2	-49.0	-34.2	0.208	-0.375	0.903
			-3.7	-0.654	-0.740	-0.156
		_	37.9	0.727	-0.559	-0.400
	H3	122.8	-5.3	-0.401	0.555	0.730
			-2.4	0.475	0.806	-0.353
		_	7.7	-0.784	0.205	-0.590
	HO1	7.5	-5.0	0.164	-0.802	-0.574
			-1.9	0.981	0.193	0.011
			6.9	0.102	-0.565	0.819
(c)	H1	12.7	-1.9	0.690	0.706	0.156
			-1.0	-0.463	0.266	0.846
		_	2.9	-0.556	0.656	-0.510
	H2	10.8	-3.6	0.740	0.590	-0.323
			-1.5	0.417	-0.026	0.908
			5.0	-0.527	0.807	0.265
	H3	43.4	-5.1	0.749	0.305	-0.589
			-4.0	0.662	-0.300	0.687
			9.1	-0.033	0.904	0.426
	H4	-40.2	-32.9	-0.541	0.275	0.795
			-3.3	0.705	-0.367	0.607
			36.2	-0.458	-0.889	-0.004
	H5	21.3	-6.2	-0.226	0.775	0.589
			-3.1	-0.767	0.231	-0.599
	1104	2.0	9.3	-0.000	-0.588	0.545
	H04	-3.0	-8.0	-0.888	-0.093	0.451
			0.5	-0.422	-0.227	-0.8/8
	Ц	2.0 -	0.2	-0.164	0.909	-0.102
	ПW	-3.0	-9.1 1 0	0.104	-0.830	-0.323
			1.U Q 1	-0.200	-0.340	0.010
			0.1	-0.904	-0.024	-0.204

				Dire	ection cosine	es
Structure	Atom	Aiso	A <sub>ani</sub>	<i>a</i> *	b	С
(d)	H4	8.4	-5.8	-0.233	-0.901	0.365
			-3.0	0.590	0.168	0.790
			8.9	-0.773	0.400	0.492
	Н5	-48.2	-34.3	-0.439	-0.405	0.802
			-3.3	0.713	0.387	0.585
			37.6	-0.547	0.829	0.119
	H6	103.7	-4.8	0.981	-0.188	-0.041
			-3.2	0.190	0.906	0.379
			8.1	0.034	0.379	-0.925
	H6	91.8	-4.9	-0.028	0.668	0.744
			-3.6	0.831	-0.398	0.388
			8.4	0.555	0.629	-0.544
	H6	2.0	-4.3	0.796	-0.073	-0.600
			-3.6	0.571	0.419	0.706
			7.8	-0.200	0.905	-0.376
	HO4	-5.3	-9.1	-0.995	-0.042	-0.089
			-1.5	-0.067	-0.365	0.929
			10.6	-0.071	0.930	0.360
	HOring	9.4	-3.5	-0.730	0.574	0.372
			-1.5	-0.427	-0.807	0.408
			5.0	-0.534	-0.139	-0.834
(e)	H1	-19.9	-33.1	-0.506	-0.719	0.477
			-4.1	-0.850	0.511	-0.132
			37.2	0.149	0.472	0.869
	H2	72.7	-5.7	-0.583	-0.801	-0.137
			-4.7	0.788	-0.598	0.147
			10.4	-0.199	-0.022	0.980
	HO1	-5.7	-10.6	-0.260	-0.704	0.661
			-6.8	-0.867	0.472	0.162
			17.5	-0.426	-0.531	-0.733
	H6	-0.7	-7.0	0.0293	0.420	0.907
			-6.03	-0.217	-0.883	0.416
			13.0	0.976	-0.209	0.065

**Table 2:** Calculated hfcs for the ring-opened reduction products. Isotropic ( $A_{iso}$ ) and anisotropic ( $A_{ani}$ ) hyperfine couplings are in MHz. Principal directions are given with respect to the orthogonal crystallographic axes  $a^*bc$ .

**Direction cosines** Aiso Structure Atom  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{ani}}$ a\* b С 12.3 -5.8 -0.332 0.014 0.943 (f)-I H2 -3.3 0.642 0.736 0.215 9.0 -0.691 0.677 -0.253 H4 100.2 -5.2 -0.003 -0.374 0.927 -1.4 -0.981 -0.179 -0.076 0.195 -0.910 -0.366 6.7 HO2 -7.4 0.992 0.018 -4.2 -0.126 0.2 0.428 0.037 0.903 0.895 0.122 -0.429 7.1 HO3 0.598 13.9 -11.0 -0.522 0.608 -8.2 0.700 0.706 -0.107 19.2 -0.487 0.362 -0.794 -0.951  $H(H_2)$ 13.5 -3.5 0.308 0.011 -2.9 0.591 0.182 -0.786 6.4 0.746 0.248 0.619 (f)-II H2 7.2 -5.7 -0.378 0.019 0.926 -3.3 0.662 0.705 0.256 9.0 -0.647 0.709 -0.278 H4 101.5 -4.8 -0.213 -0.426 0.880 0.960 0.269 -2.0 0.076 0.902 0.393 6.8 -0.181 HO2 -8.0 -0.139 0.985 0.106 -4.7 0.4 0.427 -0.037 0.904 7.6 0.894 0.171 -0.415 HO3 -11.2 -0.444 0.617 0.650 3.4 -8.2 0.762 0.642 -0.088 19.4 -0.471 0.456 -0.755 -3.7 -0.279 -0.807 0.522 H (H<sub>2</sub>) 3.3 -3.0 -0.455 0.589 0.668 6.7 -0.846 -0.052 -0.531 5.3 -3.7 0.831 0.236  $H(H_2)$ -0.504-3.4 -0.472 0.778-0.414 -0.295 -0.582 7.1 -0.758 H4 99.0 -5.5 0.629 0.778 0.022 (g) -1.3 0.563 -0.474 0.677 6.8 -0.537 0.413 0.735 H6 31.9 -4.7 -0.004 0.892 0.451 -4.1 -0.225 0.453 0.862 0.506 0.391 -0.769 8.8 0.998 H6 14.8 -4.9 0.069 0.028 -4.0 -0.624 -0.036 0.780 -0.065 8.9 0.622 0.781 H6 108.3 -4.1 0.140 0.480 0.866 -0.083 -2.6 0.987 -0.137 6.7 0.079 0.866 -0.493 H (H<sub>2</sub>) 84.0 -3.1 0.134 0.392 0.910

**Table 3:** Calculated hfcs for the dehydrogenated reduction products. Isotropic  $(A_{iso})$  and anisotropic  $(A_{ani})$ hyperfine couplings are in MHz. Principal directions are given with respect to the orthogonal crystallographicaxes a\*bc.

		-2.7	-0.324	-0.851	0.414
		5.8	0.937	-0.350	0.013
H (H <sub>2</sub> )	-11.2	-13.6	0.163	0.408	0.899
		-11.2	-0.308	-0.844	0.439
		24.8	0.937	-0.348	-0.012

				Dir	ection cosi	nes
Structure	Atom	A <sub>iso</sub>	A <sub>ani</sub>	<i>a*</i>	b	с
(f)-I	H2	9.9	-5.7	-0.311	0.024	0.950
			-3.3	0.642	0.742	0.192
			9.0	-0.700	0.670	-0.246
	H4	97.8	-5.1	-0.036	-0.375	0.926
			-1.6	-0.980	-0.169	-0.107
			6.7	0.196	-0.912	-0.361
	HO2	-4.1	-7.4	-0.134	0.991	0.009
			0.2	0.431	0.050	0.901
			7.1	0.892	0.125	-0.434
	HO3	3.7	-12.1	-0.482	0.591	0.646
			-8.9	0.713	0.694	-0.103
			21.0	-0.509	0.411	-0.756
(f)-II	H2	6.1	-5.7	-0.359	0.036	0.933
			-3.3	0.666	0.710	0.229
			9.0	-0.654	0.703	-0.279
	H4	97.4	-4.8	-0.235	-0.419	0.877
			-2.0	0.959	0.048	0.280
			6.7	-0.159	0.907	0.391
	HO2	-4.6	-7.9	-0.151	0.984	0.092
			0.4	0.416	-0.021	0.909
			7.5	0.897	0.175	-0.406
	HO3	-0.8	-11.9	-0.379	0.624	0.683
			-8.8	0.796	0.596	-0.103
			20.7	-0.472	0.505	-0.723
(g)	H4	95.4	-5.4	0.644	0.764	0.031
			-1.4	0.516	-0.464	0.720
			6.8	-0.564	0.448	0.693
	H6	37.0	-4.7	-0.706	0.686	-0.174
			-4.5	0.467	0.637	0.613
			9.2	-0.532	-0.352	0.770
	H6	14.6	-4.8	-0.130	0.153	0.980
			-4.4	0.752	-0.629	0.198
			9.2	0.646	0.762	-0.033
	H6	122.9	-4.0	0.076	0.485	0.871
			-2.6	0.991	-0.135	-0.011
			6.6	0.113	0.864	-0.490

**Table 4:** Calculated hfcs for the dehydrogenated reduction products with  $H_2$  molecules removed from the crystalstructure. Isotropic ( $A_{iso}$ ) and anisotropic ( $A_{ani}$ ) hyperfine couplings are in MHz. Principal directions are givenwith respect to the orthogonal crystallographic axes  $a^*bc$ .

				Dire	ction cosines	
Structure	Atom	Aiso	A <sub>ani</sub>	<i>a*</i>	b	с
(h)	H1	128.9	-5.3	0.371	0.724	-0.581
			-3.8	-0.289	0.685	0.669
			9.2	-0.882	0.080	-0.464
	H2	-7.6	-9.3	-0.844	0.161	-0.511
			-2.0	-0.275	0.689	0.671
			11.3	-0.460	-0.707	0.537
	HO2	20.4	-5.7	0.595	0.122	0.794
			-4.5	-0.111	0.991	-0.069
			10.2	0.796	0.047	-0.603
	HO3	11.8	-2.8	0.776	0.534	-0.336
			-1.5	-0.406	0.830	0.381
			4.3	-0.483	0.159	-0.861
(i)	Н3	10.3	-1.2	-0.602	0.683	0.414
	neighbor		-0.5	0.472	-0.114	0.874
	U		1.7	-0.644	-0.721	0.254
	HO3	-4.7	-7.4	0.843	-0.035	-0.537
	neighbor		-0.9	0.140	-0.949	0.282
			8.3	0.520	0.313	0.795
	H2	264.6	-10.5	-0.797	-0.233	-0.557
			-8.7	-0.592	0.483	0.645
			19.1	-0.119	-0.844	0.523
	H3	-5.8	-63	-0.400	0.059	0.914
		0.0	-17	0.470	0.870	0 149
			8.1	-0.786	0.490	-0.376
	H4	67	-4.6	-0.092	0.204	0.975
		0.1	1.3	0.113	-0.970	0.214
			3.3	0.989	0.130	0.066
	HO2	-1.2	-6.3	0.388	-0.731	0.561
	deprot		-5.6	0.736	0.612	0.289
			11.9	-0.555	0.301	0.776
	HO3	-30	-5.6	-0 739	0.532	0.413
			-2.5	-0.529	-0.838	0.133
			8.1	-0.417	0.120	-0.901
	Н	-1.8	-6.9	-0.639	0.574	0.512
	H-bonded to O2		-5.9	0.714	0.691	0.115
	11 0011404 00 02		12.8	-0.288	0.439	-0.851
(i)	H2	41.1	-4.4	-0.888	0.417	0.193
()			0.6	0.163	-0.106	0.981
			3.8	0.430	0.903	0.026
	H3	369	-4.8	-0.122	-0.241	0.963
		20.7	-3.7	-0.575	-0.773	-0.267
			8.5	0.809	-0.586	-0.044
	H4	41	-8.6	0 375	0.671	0.640
		1.1	-0.2	0.678	0.273	-0.683
			8.8	-0.633	0.690	-0.352
	H6	89	-1 3	-0 794	0.455	-0.403

**Table 5:** Calculated hfcs for alkoxy radicals not observed in experiments. Isotropic ( $A_{iso}$ ) and anisotropic ( $A_{ani}$ ) hyperfine couplings are in MHz. Principal directions are given with respect to the orthogonal crystallographic axes a\*bc.

		-0.5	0.492	0.871	0.013
		1.8	-0.357	0.188	0.915
HO3	-0.8	-7.8	-0.785	0.530	0.320
deprot		-5.7	-0.485	-0.848	0.214
		13.6	-0.385	-0.012	-0.923
H2	12.7	-7.3	0.780	0.084	0.621
neighbor		-6.3	-0.528	-0.444	0.724
		13.6	-0.336	0.892	0.301

				Dire	ction cosines	
Structure	Atom	Aiso	A <sub>ani</sub>	<i>a</i> *	b	с
(k)	H2	81.4	-4.2	0.816	0.441	-0.374
I			-1.8	0.512	-0.251	0.821
			6.1	-0.268	0.862	0.431
	H3	5.4	-8.9	-0.150	-0.230	0.962
			-1.0	-0.827	0.562	0.005
			9.9	-0.542	-0.794	-0.275
	H4	37.6	-6.0	0.252	-0.707	0.660
			-3.2	0.429	-0.530	-0.731
			9.2	0.867	0.468	0.170
	HO3	-6.0	-8.7	0.586	0.744	-0.322
			-1.4	0.690	-0.666	-0.283
			10.1	-0.425	-0.057	-0.904
	HO4	-1.8	-7.0	0.518	-0.004	0.856
			-6.3	0.836	-0.211	-0.507
			13.3	0.182	0.978	-0.106
	Hw	-0.7	-6.1	0.242	-0.598	0.764
			-4.9	0.321	-0.694	-0.645
			11.0	0.916	0.401	0.024
(k)	H2	81.0	-4.2	-0.852	0.381	0.358
II			-1.7	0.468	0.250	0.848
			5.9	-0.234	-0.890	0.391
	H3	7.1	-8.3	-0.157	0.178	0.972
			-1.1	0.788	0.615	0.015
			9.4	-0.595	0.768	-0.236
	H4	22.3	-6.2	0.269	0.666	0.696
			-2.9	-0.434	-0.561	0.705
			9.1	0.860	-0.491	0.138
	HO3	-6.7	-9.4	-0.631	0.684	0.367
			-1.9	-0.638	-0.726	0.257
			11.2	-0.442	0.071	-0.894
	HO4	-2.3	-7.5	0.638	0.006	0.770
			-6.9	0.765	0.115	-0.634
			14.4	-0.093	0.993	0.069
(k)	H2	82.2	-4.3	0.822	0.404	-0.402
111			-1.7	0.523	-0.255	0.813
			5.9	-0.226	0.878	0.421
	H3	4.5	-9.0	-0.161	-0.182	0.970
			-0.9	-0.813	0.581	-0.026
			10.0	-0.559	-0.793	-0.241
	H4	38.4	-6.0	0.232	-0.681	0.694
			-3.5	0.409	-0.579	-0.705
	1102	<u> </u>	9.3	0.882	0.448	0.145
	HO3	-6.1	-8.6	0.603	0.718	-0.348
			-1.3	0.677	-0.691	-0.253
	1104	<b>2</b> 0 —	9.9	-0.422	-0.083	-0.903
	HO4	-2.9	-/.5	0.293	-0.037	0.955
1	aeprot		-/.1	0.949	-0.108	-0.293

**Table 6:** Calculated hfcs for alkoxy radical matching experimentally observed data. Isotropic  $(A_{iso})$  andanisotropic  $(A_{ani})$  hyperfine couplings are in MHz. Principal directions are given with respect to the orthogonalcrystallographic axes  $a^*bc$ .

			14.6	0.114	0.993	0.003
(k)	H2	83.0	-4.2	-0.818	0.423	0.389
IV			-1.5	0.516	0.242	0.822
			5.7	-0.253	-0.873	0.416
	H3	5.0	-9.1	-0.154	0.177	0.972
			-0.9	0.815	0.579	0.023
			10.0	-0.558	0.796	-0.234
	H4	36.8	-6.1	0.249	0.656	0.713
			-3.1	-0.421	-0.590	0.690
			9.2	0.872	-0.472	0.129
	HO3	-6.4	-9.0	0.608	-0.712	-0.351
			-1.5	-0.671	-0.698	0.251
			10.5	-0.424	0.083	-0.902
	HO4	-2.5	-7.4	0.506	-0.026	0.862
	deprot		-6.7	-0.844	-0.218	0.490
			14.0	0.175	-0.976	-0.132
	Hw	-0.7	-6.2	0.215	0.538	0.815
			-4.9	-0.324	-0.748	0.579
			11.1	0.921	-0.389	0.013

**Table 7:** Calculated hfcs for ring-opened oxidation product. Isotropic  $(A_{iso})$  and anisotropic  $(A_{ani})$  hyperfine couplings are in MHz. Principal directions are given with respect to the orthogonal crystallographic axes  $a^*bc$ .

				Dire	ction cosines	
Structure	Atom	Aiso	A <sub>ani</sub>	<i>a*</i>	b	С
(l)	H4	-4.7	-8.5	-0.653	-0.754	0.074
			-2.0	0.174	-0.054	0.983
			10.5	0.737	-0.655	-0.166
	Н5	94.7	-5.3	0.703	0.706	-0.084
			-4.4	-0.278	0.381	0.882
			9.7	-0.654	0.597	-0.464
	H6	10.2	-3.3	-0.655	0.727	-0.209
			-0.8	0.258	0.474	0.842
			4.1	-0.711	-0.497	0.498
	H6	8.1	-3.1	0.940	-0.276	-0.200
			-2.0	0.266	0.225	0.938
			5.2	0.214	0.935	-0.284
	HO4	-4.3	-8.6	0.534	0.839	-0.105
			-3.7	-0.235	0.266	0.935
			12.2	-0.812	0.474	-0.339
	HO3	-4.0	-9.1	-0.739	0.617	0.270
	neighbor		-7.8	-0.543	-0.783	0.304
	H-bonded to ring oxygen		16.9	-0.399	-0.078	-0.914

### C. On the Schonland ambiguity for the C3-centered radical

The hfcs of radical (f) show a good match with the radical described as Radical 3 and 4 in the experimental work of Sagstuen *et al.*<sup>1</sup> except for the sign of the *c*-components of the principal directions of the hfc tensor. A change in sign of the *c*-component is not an allowed site transformation in this crystal as there are only two asymmetric units per unit cell and the *b*-axis is the only twofold symmetry axis (space group P2<sub>1</sub>). It is well known that the experimental analysis of hyperfine coupling- and g-tensors result in two possible solutions, the physical solution and an unphysical solution. This is called the Schonland ambiguity<sup>2, 3</sup>. Specific experimental work by Sagstuen *et al.*<sup>1</sup>, one of the two solutions was chosen almost arbitrarily, as they were very similar.

The calculation of the second solution (the Schonland conjugate) variant has been made using the procedures of Vrielinck *et al.* under the assumption that it was the high-frequency ENDOR line which was used to determine the tensor by the experimentalists and using B-field values from two extreme points of the EPR spectrum from the radical (339-343 mT). In addition the Schonland conjugate was calculated by only changing signs in the off-diagonal elements of the squared hfc matrix. These two different versions of the Schonland conjugate were nearly indistinguishable, as expected for a  $\beta$ -coupling<sup>3</sup>.

The two versions of the experimental tensor are given in Table 8 and it can be seen that distinguishing between these tensors is difficult considering only the overall shape of the coupling. Table 9 displays the C3 ... H4 directions in the intact crystal structure as determined by neutron diffraction<sup>4</sup> as well as the corresponding directions in the two versions of this radical seen in the DFT analyses. It is clear that the originally published experimental tensor has as its largest principal value direction along the line connecting the two atoms in the crystal structure. It is therefore natural to assume that this was the main reason for choosing between the two Schonland conjugates in the experimental work. The present analysis on the other hand, shows that the direction between the same atoms in the optimized radical structure is similar to the original except for that the sign of the *c*-component is changed. The direction of interaction between these two atoms in the radical therefore actually fits better with the other Schonland conjugate. Comparing the DFT calculated hfc tensors with the Schonland conjugate of the experimentally published tensor demonstrates an excellent agreement. This is the reason for why the Schonland conjugate of the published experimental tensor is assumed to be the correct physical solution and consequently used for comparison with the DFT data obtained in the present study.

Table 8: Experimental hfc (MHz) tensor <sup>1</sup> for C3-centered net hydrogen abstracted radica	l before and
after Schonland conjugation.	

			Di	rection cosi	ies
	$A_{iso}$	$A_{ani}$	<i>a*</i>	b	С
Original	96.07	6.63	-0.177	0.923	-0.342
		-1.4	0.945	0.062	-0.322
		-5.24	0.276	0.380	0.883
Schonland	96.10	6.73	0.207	-0.913	-0.351
conjugate		-1.77	0.976	0.166	0.144
		-4.96	-0.073	-0.373	0.925

Table	e 9: C3 ··· H4 direction in in	ntact crystal str	ucture vs. r	adical structur	e (f).
		Di	rection cosiı	ies	
	<b>S</b> 4	*	1	-	

	Direction cosines		
Structure	<i>a*</i>	b	С
Intact crystal structure	-0.010	0.928	-0.372
Radical f-I	-0.114	0.928	0.355
Radical f-II	-0.063	0.917	0.394

### **D.** References

- 1. E. Sagstuen, M. Lindgren and A. Lund, Radiat. Res., 1991, 128, 235-242.
- 2.
- D. S. Schonland, *Proceedings of the Physical Society*, 1959, 73, 788-792.
  H. Vrielinck, H. De Cooman, M. A. Tarpan, E. Sagstuen, M. Waroquier and F. Callens, 3. Journal Of Magnetic Resonance, 2008, 195, 196-205.
- S. Takagi and G. A. Jeffrey, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B-Struct. Commun., 1978, 34, 2551-2555. 4.