# Supplementary Information

# Hydrophobic effect on supported ionic liquid phase Pd nanoparticles hydrogenation catalysts

Leandro Luza,<sup>a</sup> Aitor Gual,<sup>a</sup> Camila P. Rambor,<sup>a</sup> Dario Eberhardt<sup>b</sup>, Sérgio R. Teixeira,<sup>b</sup> Fabiano Bernardi,<sup>b</sup> Daniel L. Baptista<sup>b</sup> and Jairton Dupont<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Institute of Chemistry, UFRGS Avenida Bento Gonçalves, 9500 Porto Alegre 91501-970 RS Brazil Fax: (+55) 5133087304 E-mail: jairton.dupont@ufrgs.br

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Physics, UFRGS Avenida Bento Gonçalves, 9500 Porto Alegre 91501970 RS Brazil

## Experimental

#### 1. General methods

All syntheses were performed using standard Schlenk techniques under an argon atmosphere. CH<sub>3</sub>CN and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were purified by standard procedures.[77] The ILs B1-B4 were prepared by previously described procedures.[78] Elemental analyses of the organosilicas were carried out on a CHN Perkin Elmer M CHNS/O Analyzer 2400. The N<sub>2</sub> isotherms of the supports, previously degassed at 100°C under vacuum for 3 h, were obtained using Tristar 3020 Micromeritics equipment. The specific surface areas were determined by the BET multipoint method and the average pore size was obtained by the BJH method. Solid-state <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>29</sup>Si NMR measurements for the **sg0-sgB4** supports were taken using a Varian 500 MHz spectrometer. The infrared spectra were obtained on an ABB FTLA 2000 with a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>, with 128 cumulative scans. STEM samples were prepared by the slow evaporation of a drop of each colloidal solution deposited under an argon atmosphere onto a holey carbon-covered copper grid. STEM analyses were performed using an XFEG Cs-corrected FEI Titan 80/300 microscope at INMETRO operated at 200 kV. XRF analyses were performed using a Shimadzu Sequential XRF-1800 spectrometer. GC analyses were run with an Agilent Technologies GC System 6820 with a DB-17 column (oven temperature 40°C). GC-MS analyses were run with a Shimadzu QP50 with a Rtx-5MS column (oven temperature 40°C) employing an ionising voltage of 17 eV.[79] <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>2</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, COSY and HSQC analysis of the samples obtained by reduction with deuterium of 1,3-cyclohexadiene catalysed by Pd/sg0 and Pd/sgB4 catalysts were performed on a Varian 400 MHz. The deuterium incorporation in the reaction products was quantified by comparing the <sup>1</sup>H NMR obtained spectra with those obtained using a standard sample and by carrying out <sup>2</sup>H NMR (relaxation delay 1 to 10 s for both cases).[80, 81] The Pd depth profiles were determined using the RBS (Rutherford Backscattering Spectrometry) technique with a 1.5 MeV He<sup>+</sup> incident beam provided by the 3 MV Tandetron accelerator of the IF-UFRGS. The backscattered particles were detected by an Si surface-barrier detector placed at a scattering angle of 165°. The electronic resolution of the system was around 13 keV. The energy to

depth conversion was accomplished by using stopping power values provided by the SRIM code (2013.00 version).[82]

# 2. Synthesis of the B1-B4 ILs

1-n-Butylimidazole (6.2 g, mmol) was 3-50 reacted with chloropropyltrimethoxysilane (9.9 g, 50 mmol) at 90°C under an inert atmosphere for 72 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and then washed with dry diethyl ether. After being dried under reduced pressure at 40°C, a slightly yellow viscous liquid 1-n-butyl-3-(3-trimethoxysilylpropyl)imidazolium chloride (B1) was obtained and stored under inert atmosphere for further characterisation and application (yield: 14.5 g, 90%). To a solution of B1 (3.2 g, 10 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (10 mL), the desired salt AgNO<sub>3</sub> (1.7 g, 9.8 mmol)mmol), AgPF<sub>6</sub> (2.5 g, 9.8 mmol) or LiNTf<sub>2</sub> (3.4 g, 12 mmol) was added and the resulting mixture was vigorously stirred at room temperature under inert atmosphere for 24 h. After that, the solution was filtered and washed with dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. After being dried under reduced pressure at 40°C, in each case, a yellow viscous liquid was obtained and stored under an inert atmosphere for their further characterisation and application, thus providing the ILs B2, B3 and **B4**.

**B1** *IL*: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 400MHz): δ (ppm) 0.57–0.63 (t, 2H, J = 8.49 Hz, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 0.91–0.96 (t, 3H, J = 7.32 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.25–1.39 (m, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.81–1.85 (m, 4H, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.52 (s, 9H, CH<sub>3</sub>OSi), 4.22–4.36 (m, 4H, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 7.70 (s, 2H, NCHCHN), and 10.08 (s, 1H, NCHN). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 400MHz): δ (ppm) 6.2 (SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 13.6 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 19.8 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 24.4 (SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 32.5 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 49.8 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 50.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>OSi), 52.1 (SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 123.1 (NCHCHN), and 137.7 (NCHN). Yield: 14.5 g; 90%.

**B2** *IL*: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 400MHz):  $\delta$  (ppm) 0.57–0.63 (t, 2H, J = 8.50 Hz, SiC<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 0.92–0.97 (t, 3H, J = 7.33 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.28–1.40

(m, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.80–1.87 (m, 4H, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.55 (s, 9H, CH<sub>3</sub>OSi), 4.17–4.25 (m, 4H, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 7.54 (s, 2H, NCHCHN), and 9.17 (s, 1H, NCHN). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 400MHz):  $\delta$  (ppm) 6.3 (SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 13.7 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 20.0 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 24.1 (SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 32.5 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 50.2 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 50.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>OSi), 52.5 (SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 123.4 (NCHCHN), and 137.2 (NCHN). Yield: 3.2 g; 95%.

**B3** *IL*. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 400MHz): δ (ppm) 0.59–0.64 (t, 2H, J = 8.50 Hz, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 0.96–1.01 (t, 3H, J = 7.31 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>1</sub>), 1.29–1.43 (m, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.81–1.96 (m, 4H, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.57 (s, 9H, CH<sub>3</sub>OSi), 4.12–4.19 (m, 4H, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 7.43 (s, 2H, NCHCHN), and 8.45 (s, 1H, NCHN). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 400MHz): δ (ppm) 6.3 (SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 13.7 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 24.4 (SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 32.4 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 50.3 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 51.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>OSi), 52.6 (SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 123.4 (NCHCHN), and 136.2 (NCHN). Yield: 4.0 g; 94%.

**B4** *IL*: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 400MHz): δ (ppm) 0.59–0.65 (t, 2H, J = 8.49 Hz, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 0.90–0.93 (t, 3H, J = 7.30 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.19–1.32 (m, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.83–1.95 (m, 4H, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.62 (s, 9H, CH<sub>3</sub>OSi), 4.20–4.35 (m, 4H, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 7.35 (s, 2H, NCHCHN), and 8.75 (s, 1H, NCHN). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 400MHz): δ (ppm) 6.1 (SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 13.8 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 24.5 (SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 32.0 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 49.7 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 50.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>OSi), 52.0 (SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 115.5, 118.6, 121.7 (CF<sub>3</sub>), 123.5 (NCHCHN), 124.8 (CF<sub>3</sub>), and 138.0 (NCHN). Yield: 5.3 g; 95%.

## 3. Synthesis of the **sg0–sgB4** organosilica supports

As a general procedure, a mixture of IL (**B1–B4**), TEOS, and H<sub>2</sub>O with a molar ratio of 0.05:1.0:10.5 was dissolved in acetone ( $0.35 \times V_{TEOS}$ ) and heated

at 60°C under vigorous stirring. After 10 min, aqueous HF (5.0 M, molar ratio of HF to TEOS of 1:100) was added to the solution. After 24 h, the resultant solid material was cooled to room temperature, washed with acetone and dried under reduced pressure at 60°C to remove the volatile components, thus providing the supports (**sgB1–sgB4**). The support **sg0** was synthesised in the absence of IL. The white powders were recovered and stored under inert atmosphere for their further characterisation and application.

#### 4. Sputtering deposition of Pd-NPs onto organosilica supports

As a general procedure, each support (2.0 g of **sg0**, **sgB1**, **sgB2**, **sgB3** and **sgB4**) was placed into a conical aluminium flask and placed inside the vacuum chamber. Then, the chamber was closed and its pressure lowered to a base pressure of 4 µbar, with the supports being evacuated at this pressure for 4 h. Then, the vacuum chamber was placed under a sputtering working pressure of 4 mbar by adding Ar flow. The supports were continuously homogenised by revolving the aluminium flask at a vibration frequency of 24 Hz. The Pd was sputtered onto the revolving support at 35 mA of discharge current during 3.0 min to give the Pd/sg0–Pd/**sgB4** catalysts. After the deposition, the chamber was vented with N<sub>2</sub> and the grey powders were recovered and stored under an inert atmosphere for their further characterisation and application.

#### 5. Hydrogenation of 1,3-dienes

As a general procedure, 10 mL of a solution of the corresponding 1,3diene (diene/Pd = 5000) dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  was added to a Fischer-Porter reactor containing the appropriate amount of catalysts (0.1 µmol Pd). After that, the reactor was pressurised with 4 bar of H<sub>2</sub> at 40°C. Samples were taken from the reaction mixture every 5 min. After the desired reaction time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and then depressurised. The conversion and selectivity was determined by GC-analysis of the reaction samples. The activity values were calculated from the slope of plots of time vs. TON at low substrate conversions: TOF = mol 1,3-diene converted/(mol Pd surface × time).

Support	Carbon/ ppm									
	(a), (j) <sup>[a]</sup>	(b)	(C)	(d), (h) <sup>[a]</sup>	(e)	(f), (g) <sup>[a]</sup>	(i)	MeOH		
sg0		_	—	_	—	_		—		
sgB1	11.3	19.4	32.0	50.4	135.9	123.3	23.5	_		
sgB2	11.3	19.4	32.0	50.4	135.2	122.5	24.2	58.4		
sgB3	12.8	19.4	31.5	50.2	135.0	122.3	23.9	58.5		
sgB4	12.3	17.3	32.4	51.1	136.3	123.6	24.3	59.1		

Table S1. <sup>13</sup>C CP-MAS NMR spectra of **sg0–sgB4** supports.

<sup>[a]</sup> Overlapped signals.



Figure S1. <sup>13</sup>C CP-MAS NMR spectra of (a) **sg0**, (b) **sgB1**, (c) **sgB2**, (d) **sgB3** and (e) **sgB4** supports.

Attribution	Wavenumber/ cm <sup>-1</sup>						
Autouton	sg0	sgB1	sgB2	sgB3	sgB4		
<i>v</i> (OH)	3420	3440	3450	3450	3446		
<i>v</i> (=C-H)	—	3149	3155	3157	3154		
v <sub>as</sub> (C-H)	—	2968	2970	2970	2974		
v <sub>as</sub> (C-H)	—	2941	2941	2941	2941		
<i>v</i> (C-H)	—	2881	2881	2879	2883		
δ (H-O-H)	1631	1641	1654	1652	1641		
v (C=N)	—	1566	1571	1571	1570		
v (C=C)	—	1566	1571	1571	1570		
δ (CH <sub>2</sub> )	—	1463	1467	1458	1465		
<i>v</i> (N-O)	—	—	1385	—	—		
v <sub>as</sub> (SO <sub>2</sub> )	—	—	—	—	1352		
v <sub>as</sub> (SO <sub>2</sub> )	—	—	—	—	1332		
v <sub>as</sub> (CF <sub>3</sub> )	—	—	—	—	1207		
v <sub>as</sub> (Si-O-Si) <sub>L</sub>	1191	1190	1188	1189	1197		
v <sub>as</sub> (Si-O-Si) <sub>⊺</sub>	1091	1087	1093	1089	1095		
v (S-N-S)		—	—	—	1064		
v (Si-OH)	956	964	960	962	962		
<i>v</i> (P-F)	—	—	—	846	—		
v (Si-O-Si)⊤	796	798	798	798	796		
v <sub>as</sub> (P-F)	—	—	—	741	—		

Table S2. FT-IR spectra of **sg0–sgB4** supports.



Figure S2. FT-IR spectra of (a) **sg0**, (b) **sgB1**, (c) **sgB2**, (d) **sgB3** and (e) **sgB4** supports.



Figure S3. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of (a) **sg0**, (b) **sgB1** and **sgB2**, and (c) **sgB3** and **sgB4** supports.



Figure S4. Pore diameter distribution of **sg0–sgB4** supports.



Figure S5. STEM images and histograms of the (a) Pd/**sgB1**, (b) Pd/ **sgB2** and (c) Pd/**sgB3** catalysts.



Figure S6. Hydrogenation of 1,3-cyclohexadiene: time [min] vs. TON with Pd/**sg0**–Pd/**sgB4** catalysts.

Table S3. Deuteration of 1,3-cyclohexadiene by Pd/sg0 and Pd/sgB4 catalysts.



<sup>[a]</sup> Reaction conditions: 0.1 µmol Pd, 1,3-cyclohexadiene/Pd = 5000, 10 mL of  $CH_2CI_2$ , 4 bar  $D_2$ , 40°C; <sup>[b]</sup> Conversion and selectivity determined by GC and NMR-<sup>1</sup>H/<sup>2</sup>H analysis; <sup>[c]</sup> TOF = mol 1,3-cyclohexadiene converted/(mol Pd surface × time); <sup>[d]</sup> Calculated from the slope of plots of time vs. TON at low substrate conversions.