## **Supplementary Information**

## Synergy between molybdenum nitride and gold leading to platinum-like activity for hydrogen evolution

Adina Morozan<sup>a</sup>, Vincent Goellner<sup>a</sup>, Andrea Zitolo<sup>b</sup>, Emiliano Fonda<sup>b</sup>,

Bruno Donnadieu<sup>a</sup>, Deborah Jones<sup>a</sup>, Frédéric Jaouen<sup>a\*</sup>

a. Institut Charles Gerhardt de Montpellier, UMR 5253 CNRS - Université Montpellier II, Agrégats, Interfaces et Matériaux pour l'Energie, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier cedex 5, France

b. Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers Saint-Aubin - BP 48, 91192 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Bond	distance / Å	Coordination number, N	$\sigma^2$ / Å <sup>2</sup>	ΔE <sub>0</sub> / eV
	M	o3/20/80-Z8FA-105	0	
Mo-O	1.77 (3)	1.5	0.020 (4)	4.83
Mo-N	2.07 (2)	4.0	0.011 (2)	4.83
Mo-Mo	2.97 (2)	4.7	0.013 (2)	4.83
Mo-Mo	4.03 (2)	2.0	0.011 (2)	4.83
Mo-O	1.73 (2)	2.0(3)	0.012 (3)	2.70
Mo-O	1.73 (2)	2.0(3)	0.012 (3)	2.70
MO-N	2.05 (3)	3.5(4)	0.016 (4)	2.70
Mo-Mo	3.08 (3)	4.2(6)	0.020 (3)	2.70
10-1010	4.20(3)	2.0(4)	0.020 (3)	2.70
		$\gamma$ -Mo <sub>2</sub> N		
xpected inte	eratomic distance a	nd coordination num	bers for bulk γ-N	Mo <sub>2</sub> N with c
paramet	ter 4.16 Å and havi	ng nitrogen atoms lo	, ocated in octahed	ral sites)
r		<i>oo</i>		
Mo-N	2.08	3		
Mo-Mo	2.94	12		
Mo Mo	4.16	6		

Table S2. Results from the fitting of the XPS narrow scan spectra									
	Mo <sub>3d</sub>			N <sub>1s</sub>		O <sub>1s</sub>			
	Μοδ	Mo <sup>IV</sup>	Mo <sup>VI</sup>	Mo <sub>2</sub> N & pyridinic	Pyrrolic	Oxidized	O <sup>2-</sup> (MoO)	C=O	С-О-С
	228.7	229.9	232.5	398.8	401.4	402.8	530.8	532.7	534.5
Mo3/20/80	(46.4)	(12.4)	(41.2)	(36.0)	(47.4)	(16.6)	(42.2)	(48.5)	(9.3)
- Z8FA -1050	1.2 at. %			2.6 at. %		4.7 at. %			
	228.8	230.1	233.3	398.4	401.3	402.7	530.7	532.6	534.5
Mo6/20/80	(43.4)	(15.3)	(41.3)	(74.8)	(22.2)	(3.0)	(59.5)	(35.1)	(5.4)
-Z8FA-1050		3.3 at. %			3.0 at. %			5.1 at. %	ó

For each sample, the first row gives the binding energy, BE, (in bold) and relative % (in brackets) for each species, while the second row reports the absolute atomic percentage for each element in the sample. For the  $Mo_{3d}$  signal, the BE of the Mo  $3d_{5/2}$  level is given while the relative percentage corresponds to that of the Mo species assigned to that BE.

Table S3. Electrocatalytic activity for the HER in acid medium								
		Loading	E @ 1.0	Tafel				
Catalyst description	Electrolyte	(all elements)	mA·cm <sup>-2</sup>	slope	Ref.			
		/ <b>mg·cm</b> <sup>-2</sup>	/ mV <i>vs</i> .	/ mV·dec <sup>-1</sup>				
			RHE					
					This			
70 wt. % Pt/C	0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	0.47	+12 (1)	42	work			
MoS <sub>2</sub>	$0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$	300 nm MoS <sub>2</sub>	-160	?	[13]			
		layer						
MoS <sub>2</sub> /graphene	$0.5 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	0.28	-100	41	[17]			
( <i>ca</i> 35 wt. % Mo)								
1T-MoS <sub>2</sub>	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.050	-160	40	[15]			
		(pure MoS <sub>2</sub> )						
chemically exfoliated 1T-	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	?	-140	43	[S1]			
$MoS_2$								
33 wt. % MoS <sub>2</sub> /MWCNT	1.0 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.51	-150	40	[16]			
MoS <sub>2</sub> /Au	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	10 <sup>-3</sup> (excl. Au)	-150	69	[45]			
30 wt. % Mo <sub>2</sub> C/CNT	0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	2.0	-63	55	[19]			
bulk Mo <sub>2</sub> C	1.0 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	1.4	-150	56	[18]			
20 wt. % MoN/Vulcan	0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	0.25	-260	54	[21]			
(Ni <sub>2</sub> Mo <sub>3</sub> N) <sub>0.3</sub> +Mo <sub>2</sub> N /	0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	0.25	-150	36	[21]			
Vulcan (20 wt. % metal on								
Vulcan)								
δ-MoN/Vulcan (20 wt. %	0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	0.25	-250	54	[21]			
metal on Vulcan)								
W <sub>2</sub> C microspheres	1.0 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	?	-60	118	[S2]			
Mo3/20/80-Z8FA-1050			-255 <sup>(2)</sup>	125				
Mo3/20/80-Z8FA-1050 / Au		0.80	-130 (3)	78				
Mo0/20/80-Z8FA-1050 / Au	0.1 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>		-220 (3)	109	This			
		0.80			work			
Mo6/20/80-Z8FA-1050 / Au		(0.25 Au,	-20 (3)	67				
		estimated)						
$^{(1)}$ positive due to the absence of H <sub>2</sub> in the electrolyte shifting unward the H <sup>+</sup> /H <sub>2</sub> equilibrium potential:								
$^{(2)}$ Before and $^{(3)}$ after 1000 cycles at 100 mV·s <sup>-1</sup> between -0.6 and +0.4 V vs. SCE								
$\sim$ Before and $\sim$ after 1000 cycles at 100 mV·s <sup>-1</sup> between -0.6 and +0.4 V vs. SCE.								



Figure S1. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy narrow scan spectra for  $Mo_{3d}$ ,  $N_{1s}$  and  $O_{1s}$  in Mo3/20/80-Z8FA-1050

The filled circles represent the experimental data points and the dotted line the fitting. The  $Mo_{3p}$  contribution to the  $N_{1s}$  region is indicated by the dashed area. For a given core level, each colour identifies one species, with increasing BE in the order: blue, red, green.



Figure S2. Effect of potential limits during cycling on the activation of Mo6/20/80-Z8FA-1050

**Left.** HER polarization curves before and after 1000 cycles at 100 mV·s<sup>-1</sup> in the potential limits of **a**) +0.17 to +0.67 V *vs*. RHE, **c**) -0.33 to +0.67 V *vs*. RHE (scan rate 1 mV·s<sup>-1</sup>). **Right.** Cyclic voltammograms for Mo6/20/80-Z8FA-1050 before and after 1000 cycles at 100 mV·s<sup>-1</sup> in the potential limits of **b**) +0.17 to +0.67 V *vs*. RHE, **d**) -0.33 to +0.67 V *vs*. RHE (scan rate 20 mV·s<sup>-1</sup>)

1.0 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution, rotating speed 1500 rpm, catalyst loading 800  $\mu g_{Mo/N/C} \cdot cm^{-2}$ . The dotted line corresponds to the Pt/C catalyst (328  $\mu g_{Pt} \cdot cm^{-2}$ ). Potential corrected for the iR drop. The peaks labelled I/II are assigned to electrochemical hydrogen adsorption/desorption on Mo<sub>2</sub>N while peaks III/IV are assigned to the reduction/oxidation of the passivated Mo<sub>2</sub>N surface.



Figure S3. Tafel plots for the hydrogen evolution reaction on Mo-based catalysts (a, b) and N-doped carbon (c).

Same figure caption as Figure 3. The values of the Tafel slopes are indicated in  $mV \cdot dec^{-1}$  in the graph. The current density is in absolute value, for a logarithmic-scale presentation.

## **Supplementary references**

- S1. M. A. Lukowski et al, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 10274-10277.
- S2. D. J. Ham, R. Ganesan and J. S. Lee, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2008, **33**, 6865-6872.