Supplementary Data

Ferrofluid-based dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction using a deep eutectic solvent as a support; Application in analysis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in grilled meats

Abolghasem Jouyban¹, Mir Ali Farajzadeh^{2,3}, Mahboob Nemati⁴, Ali Akbar Alizadeh Nabil⁵, Mohammad Reza Afshar Mogaddam⁵*

¹Pharmaceutical Analysis Research Center and Faculty of Pharmacy, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

²Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran

³Engineering Faculty, Near East University, 99138 Nicosia, North Cyprus, Mersin 10, Turkey

⁴ Drug Applied Research Center and Faculty of Pharmacy, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

⁵Food and Drug Safety Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

*Corresponding author: M. R. Afshar Mogaddam

Tel.: +98 41 31773323

Fax: +98 41 31773333

E-mail address: mr.afsharmogaddam@yahoo.com

Figure S1 shows the FT-IR spectra of Fe_3O_4 and Fe_3O_4 @TEOS. The strong peak around 585 cm⁻¹ is attributed to Fe-O bond in Fe_3O_4 . In comparison to Fig. S1a, Figure S1b has new peaks at 1052 (stretching vibration of Si-O bond in SiO₂) and 1629 and 3482 cm⁻¹ (related to O-H).



Fig. S1. FT-IR spectra of (a) Fe₃O₄, and (b) Fe₃O₄@TEOS.



Fig. S2. TGA thermogram (a) and FT-IR spectrum (b) of the prepared DES.

.



Fig. S3 SEM image of (a) the Fe3O4@TEOS magnetic nanoparticles and (b) the prepared ferrofluid.



Fig. S4. Optimization of NaOH solution concentration.

Extraction conditions: sample weight, 10 g spiked with a concentration of 10 ng g⁻¹ of each PAH; acetonitrile volume, 2.5 mL; flow rate, 1.5 mL min⁻¹; solid sodium sulfate filled in extraction barrel, 2 g; extractant in DLLME (volume), Fe₃O₄@TEOS@PChCl:menthol:decanoic acid (100 μ L); aqueous phase in DLLME, 5 mL deionized water; sonication time, 3 min and back-extraction solvent: 10 μ L *n*-hexane. The error bars indicate standard deviations of three repeated determinations.



Fig. S5. Optimization of sonication time

Extraction conditions: are the same as those used in Fig. S4, except NaCl concentration which was 2M.



Fig. S6. Optimization of NaCl concentration

Extraction conditions: are the same as those used in Fig. 5S, except 5.0 min was selected for sonication

time.

Table S1. Results of assays to check the sample matrices effect for PAHs. Analytes contents of the samples were subtracted.

Analyte	Mean relative recovery \pm standard deviation (n=3)										
	Kebab Koobideh samples						Hamburger samples				
	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	
$\frac{1}{2}$											
An samples were spiked with each analyte at a concentration of 400 ng kg ⁻ .											
Acenaphthene	99 ± 4	93 ± 4	93 ± 6	92 ± 7	92 ± 7	92 ± 7	93 ± 5	92 ± 7	95 ± 4	90 ± 4	
Acenaphthylene	96 ± 5	90 ± 4	91 ± 4	95 ± 5	93 ± 5	95 ± 5	90 ± 4	93 ± 5	98 ± 5	95 ± 7	
Anthracene	92 ± 7	93 ± 5	95 ± 7	95 ± 5	90 ± 4	93 ± 6	92 ± 5	93 ± 6	90 ± 6	96 ± 3	
Benzo[a]anthracene	95 ± 5	95 ± 5	94 ± 4	96 ± 3	93 ± 6	94 ± 4	93 ± 6	95 ± 5	93 ± 6	94 ± 7	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	90 ± 6	90 ± 4	92 ± 6	93 ± 6	97 ± 5	92 ± 3	92 ± 5	98 ± 4	93 ± 5	92 ± 5	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	94 ± 5	95 ± 5	93 ± 4	98 ± 4	93 ± 6	92 ± 5	98 ± 4	95 ± 7	93 ± 6	92 ± 5	
Benzo[ghi]perylene	90 ± 4	95 ± 2	93 ± 6	91 ± 7	95 ± 7	92 ± 5	90 ± 7	92 ± 5	97 ± 5	91 ± 5	
Benzo[a]pyrene	95 ± 5	94 ± 4	93 ± 6	97 ± 5	93 ± 6	94 ± 4	98 ± 4	92 ± 5	93 ± 6	89 ± 5	
Chrysene	92 ± 7	93 ± 4	98 ± 4	93 ± 6	94 ± 5	94 ± 4	92 ± 5	90 ± 7	90 ± 6	92 ± 5	
Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene	95 ± 7	91 ± 4	$9/\pm 5$	93 ± 7	96 ± 6	93 ± 3	92 ± 5	92 ± 4	$9/\pm 5$	93 ± 3	
Fluoranthene	95 ± 7	94 ± 5	94 ± 4	93 ± 6	93 ± 6	95 ± 7	92 ± 6	93 ± 7	93 ± 6	94 ± 4	
Fluorene Indona[1,2,2, ad] nurana	91 ± 0 02 ± 6	93 ± 3 02 ± 6	95 ± 0 07 ± 5	92 ± 3	92 ± 0	90 ± 3	94 ± 3	94 ± 5 90 ± 5	90 ± 2 96 ± 4	90 ± 3	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd] pyrene	92 ± 0	92 ± 0	97 ± 3	94 ± 5	95 ± 3	95 ± 5	95 ± 3	90 ± 3	90 ± 4	94 ± 5	
Naphthalene	98 ± 6	93 ± 5	95 ± 3	96 ± 6	95 ± 3	94 ± 5	90 ± 2	95 ± 3	89 ± 5	95 ± 3	
Phenanthrene	94 ± 4	90 ± 4	92 ± 6	95 ± 3	92 ± 5	90 ± 5	96 ± 3	96 ± 5	93 ± 4	96 ± 3	
Pyrene	96 ± 4	92 ± 4	94 ± 5	94 ± 7	91 ± 5	92 ± 5	90 ± 5	94 ± 2	92 ± 5	94 ± 7	
All samples were spiked with each analyte at a concentration of 800 ng kg ⁻¹ .											
Acenaphthene	96 ± 2	92 ± 4	94 ± 6	92 ± 5	96 ± 5	90 ± 4	95 ± 3	94 ± 6	94 ± 2	98 ± 4	
Acenaphthylene	90 ± 6	92 ± 7	95 ± 3	97 ± 5	99 ± 5	99 ± 5	97 ± 4	95 ± 5	92 ± 4	91 ± 5	
Anthracene	94 ± 2	91 ± 5	92 ± 4	92 ± 6	95 ± 3	99 ± 5	92 ± 2	90 ± 3	93 ± 3	97 ± 5	
Benzo[a]anthracene	94 ± 5	90 ± 8	97 ± 5	96 ± 2	99 ± 4	97 ± 3	94 ± 4	96 ± 5	94 ± 5	90 ± 7	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	94 ± 5	99 ± 5	95 ± 6	98 ± 2	97 ± 6	97 ± 5	99 ± 6	92 ± 5	96 ± 5	95 ± 7	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	98 ± 3	91 ± 2	93 ± 5	93 ± 6	95 ± 6	95 ± 5	92 ± 7	90 ± 4	95 ± 4	97 ± 4	
Benzo[ghi]perylene	92 ± 5	98 ± 4	96 ± 6	92 ± 6	94 ± 5	91 ± 4	96 ± 5	90 ± 4	94 ± 5	90 ± 3	
Benzo[a]pyrene	94 ± 5	99 ± 5	95 ± 2	93 ± 6	91 ± 3	92 ± 5	91 ± 6	92 ± 3	90 ± 6	90 ± 7	
Chrysene	94 ± 4	98 ± 2	92 ± 6	96 ± 4	97 ± 5	92 ± 4	93 ± 5	89 ± 6	92 ± 7	95 ± 2	
Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene	96 ± 3	94 ± 3	99 ± 7	95 ± 6	93 ± 6	95 ± 3	94 ± 7	95 ± 4	94 ± 6	95 ± 7	
Fluoranthene	92 ± 6	92 ± 5	95 ± 4	92 ± 5	98 ± 4	94 ± 8	95 ± 5	90 ± 4	96 ± 4	93 ± 6	
Fluorene	96 ± 7	92 ± 2	90 ± 7	94 ± 4	98 ± 5	95 ± 7	90 ± 5	98 ± 4	92 ± 4	93 ± 6	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd] pyrene	92 ± 4	92 ± 3	92 ± 5	94 ± 3	96 ± 4	96 ± 2	98 ± 5	97 ± 2	95 ± 5	97 ± 5	
Naphthalene	95 ± 4	94 ± 4	92 ± 5	94 ± 4	94 ± 7	94 ± 4	97 ± 4	92 ± 3	94 ± 6	92 ± 4	
Phenanthrene	95 ± 6	94 ± 5	92 ± 6	92 ± 6	90 ± 5	95 ± 4	95 ± 7	92 ± 4	98 ± 2	94 ± 6	
Pyrene	92 ± 6	95 ± 3	94 ± 5	95 ± 6	93 ± 5	92 ± 5	94 ± 7	90 ± 4	92 ± 5	90 ± 7	