Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Analytical Methods. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2020

Table S4. Comparison of the M-D- μ SPE-DES method followed by HPLC-UV with other methods for extraction and determination of MEL

Methods	Real	LDR	LOD	RSD%	Extraction	ER	Ref.
	sample	(µg L-1)	(µg L-1)	(intra-	time	(%)	
				day)	(min)		
CPE-HPLC-	Human	45-2000	10	<15.0	10	>92.0	[26]
UV^a	serum						
SPE-HPLC-	Human	10-10000	3	< 5.0	_	>93.0	[27]
UV^b	serum						
IL-UA-	Human	5-1500	1	3.6	4.5	>82.1	[28]
ISFME-	plasma						
HPLC-UV ^c							
LLE-HPLC-	Human	10-2400	-	<4.3	-	>77.2	[29]
$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{d}}$	plasma						
M-D-μSPE-	Human	10-500	1.5-3.0	<6.2	3	>92.0	Present
DES-HPLC-	plasma						study
$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}^{e}$	and urine						

^a Cloud point extraction- high performance liquid chromatography–ultra violet detection

^b Liquid-liquid extraction- high performance liquid chromatography–ultra violet detection

^c Solid phase extraction- high performance liquid chromatography–ultra violet detection

^d Ionic liquid based ultrasound assisted in situ solvent formation microextraction- high performance liquid chromatography—ultra violet detection

^e Magnetic dispersive micro solid phase extraction technique based on deep eutectic solvent- high performance liquid chromatography—ultra violet detection