Supporting Information

Crystallinity Dependence for High-Selectivity Electrochemical Oxygen Reduction

to Hydrogen Peroxide

Meihuan Liu¹, Hui Zhang¹, Yuanli Li^{1,2}, Hui Su¹, Wanlin Zhou¹, Xu Zhao¹, Weiren Cheng¹, and Qinghua Liu^{1,*} ¹National Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230029, Anhui, P. R. China ²Fundamental Science on Nuclear Wasters and Environmental Safety Laboratory, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, Sichuan, P. R. China *E-mail: qhliu@ustc.edu.cn

1. Experimental section

Typically, 2mg HATP·6HCl (2,3,6,7,10,11-hexaaminotriphenylene hexahydrochloric acid salt) was dissolved in 20 mL dimethyl sulfoxide and heated to 50 °C with stirring in a 50 mL single-mouth flask. The flask was placed in an oil bath and stirred for 10 min at a rotating speed of 300 rmp. Subsequently, in another glass reaction vial, 2mgNiCl₂·6H₂O (nickel (II) chloride hexahydrate) was dissolved in 4 mL H₂O, and the solution was added into the heated flask, and kept the solution in the heated flask stirring under 50 °C for another 10 minutes. After then, 100 µL NH₃·H₂O was added into the flask carefully with changing the temperature of the oil bath to 60 °C. After 12 hours reaction, the resulting black powder was collected by centrifuging, washing with distilled water and ethanol several times. The solid was then dried at 60 °C overnight. Thereafter, the dry sample black powder 2-Ni₃(HITP)₂ was obtained. 5-Ni₃(HITP)₂, 10-Ni₃(HITP)₂, 20-Ni₃(HITP)₂ samples were synthesized in a same process by changing the amount of HATP·6HCl and NiCl₂·6H₂O to 5, 10, 20 mg accordingly.

2. Electrochemical Characterization

The oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) performances of the resultant catalysts were

measured using a commercial potentiostats combined with the rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE) technique in a standard three-electrode configuration electrochemical cell. The as-prepared catalyst ink, consisting of catalyst powder, water, ethanol and Nafion solution was carefully dropped on the RRDE electrode. Graphite rod and saturated calomel electrode (SCE) were used as auxiliary and reference electrode, respectively. Prior to ORR measurement, scanning cycle voltammetry (CV) in N₂-saturated 0.1M KOH and O₂-saturated 0.1M KOH were tested. No obvious oxygen reduction peaks were observed in the N₂-saturated solution.

For the electrode preparation process, 1 mg of catalyst powder was dispersed into a mixture solution of 30 μ L of Nafion (5%, Sigma Aldrich), 250 μ L of ethanol, and 750 μ L of distilled water. And then put the solution in an ultrasonic water bath to form homogeneous catalyst ink. After that, 5 μ L of the dispersion was transferred onto the rotating ring disk electrode with a diameter of 5 mm. The catalysts loading was 25.6 μ g/cm².

3. Calculation Methods

Mass activity. The mass activity (MA, $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1}$) values were calculated from the electrocatalyst loading m and the measured current density j (mA \cdot cm⁻²) near at $\eta = 250 \text{ mV}$ based on the relation¹:

$$MA = j / m$$

Selectivity and Electron Transfer Number. The selectivity for hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) can be obtained by measuring the currents of O_2 reduction at the disk and H_2O_2

oxidation at the Pt ring of RRDE electrode. The number of electron transferred and selectivity based on RRDE measurements were calculated by the equation²:

$$H_2O_2(\%) = 200 \times \frac{\frac{I_r}{N}}{I_d + I_r / N}$$
$$n = 4 \times \frac{I_d}{I_d + I_r / N}$$

Where I_d is the disk current, I_r is the ring current, and N=0.44 is the Pt ring current collection efficiency.

To extract information regarding the correlation between the kinetics activity and the mass transport of reactants, Koutecky-Levich (K-L) plot was acquired from the polarization curves at various rotation speeds. The electron transfer number was determined by the Koutecky-Levich equations³:

$$\frac{1}{J} = \frac{1}{J_L} + \frac{1}{J_K} = \frac{1}{B\omega^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{J_K}$$

In which the B factor was given by

$$B = 0.62 n F C_0 D_0^{2/3} V^{-1/6}$$

Where n is the number of electrons transferred during the oxygen reduction reaction, J is the measured current density, J_K and J_L are the kinetic and limiting current densities, ω is the angular velocity of the disk ($\omega = 2\pi N$, N is the linear rotation speed), F is the Faraday constant (96485 C mol⁻¹), Do is the diffusion coefficient of O₂ in the 0.1 M KOH solution ($1.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$), Co is the bulk concentration of O₂ (1.2×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹) and V is the kinematic viscosity of the electrolyte (0.01 cm² s⁻¹).

Electrochemically Active Surface Area. The electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) for each system was estimated from the electrochemical double-layer

capacitance (C_{dl}) of the catalytic surface. To measure double-layer charging via CV, a potential range in which no apparent Faradaic processes occur was determined from static CV. This range is typically a 0.1 V potential window, and the charging current, i_c , is then measured from CVs at multiple scan rates (v=20, 40, 60, 80, 100 mV/s) in the non-Faradaic region. The C_{dl} can be given by i_c = v C_{dl} . The ECSA of a catalyst sample is calculated from the double-layer capacitance according to the following equation⁴:

$$ESCA = \frac{C_{dl}}{C_s \bullet L}$$

where Cs is the specific capacitance of the sample and the typical values are in the range of Cs= $0.02-0.06 \text{ mF/cm}^2$. For our estimation of the surface area, we used a general Cs = 0.04 mF/cm^2 . L is the loading of catalyst given in mg cm⁻².

4. Supplementary Figures and Tables



Figure S1. TEM images of (a) 2-Ni₃(HITP)₂, (b) 5-Ni₃(HITP)₂, (c) 10-Ni₃(HITP)₂, and (d) 20-Ni₃(HITP)₂.



Figure S2. Electrochemical cyclic voltammetry curves of $2-Ni_3(HITP)_2$, $5-Ni_3(HITP)_2$, $10-Ni_3(HITP)_2$, $20-Ni_3(HITP)_2$ under 1600 rpm in 0.1 M KOH, O₂-saturated solution (solid line), N₂-saturated solution (dash line).



Figure S3. The CVs measured at different scan rates of 100, 120, 140, 160, 180, and 200 mV s⁻¹.



Figure S4. ESCA values calculated from C_{dl} for 2-, 5-, 10- and 20-Ni₃(HITP)₂.



Figure S5. N XPS spectra of 2-, 5-, 10-, and 20-Ni₃(HITP)₂.

References

- 1. Y. Li, W. Zhou, X. Zhao, W. Cheng, H. Su, H. Zhang, M. Liu and Q. Liu, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2019, 2, 7483-7489.
- U. A. Paulus, T. J. Schmidt, H. A. Gasteiger and R. J. Behm, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2001, 495, 134-145. J. Electroanal. Chem.
- T. P. Fellinger, F. Hasche, P. Strasser and M. Antonietti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 4072-4075.
- 4. I. Vincent, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2016, 11, 8002-8015.