Selective detection of sulfide in human lung cancer cells with blue-fluorescence "ON-OFF-ON" benzimidazole-based chemosensor ensemble

Chak-Shing Kwan,[†] Tao Wang,[†] Sing-Ming Chan,[†] Zongwei Cai,^{*} and Ken Cham-Fai Leung^{*}

Department of Chemistry and State Key Laboratory of Environmental and Biological Analysis, The Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR, P. R. China.

> *E-mail: zwcai@hkbu.edu.hk Tel: (+852) 3411 7070

*E-mail: cfleung@hkbu.edu.hk Tel: (+852) 3411 2319 Fax: (+852) 3411 7348

Supplementary Information

Experimental Section

General Methods

Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on aluminum plate, and the plates were visualized by UV light, staining with phosphomolybdic acid or ninhydrin with heating. Column chromatography purification was performed on silica gel (SiO₂) 60F (Merck 9385, 0.040–0.063 mm). ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra characterization were recorded at 298K with a spectrometer Bruker Avance-III (¹H: 400 MHz and ¹³C: 101 MHz). Chemical shifts of solvent (CDCl₃, CD₃CN) were calibrated reference to the proton solvent residue peak (CDCl₃ = 7.26 ppm, d₆-DMSO = 2.50 ppm, CD₃CN = 1.94 ppm, D₂O = 4.79 ppm) and carbon solvent residue peak (CDCl₃ = 77.16 ppm, d₆-DMSO = 39.52 ppm, CD₃CN = 1.32 ppm). Coupling constants (J) were reported in hertz (Hz), with standard abbreviations indicating the multiplicity of the peaks (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, quin = quintet, m = multiplet, br = broad).

UV-Vis Absorption Spectrum



Figure S1. Absorption spectra of AQ (0.0 - 20.0 μ M) in water (B), inserted graph is the Absorption of AQ (0.0 - 20.0 μ M) at 328 nm.

Quantum yield measurement



Figure S2. Integrated Fluorescence Intensity against absorbance of **AQ** and quinine sulfate.

The quantum yield of AQ were measured by comparative method with Quinine sulfate as the standard. Quinine sulfate in 0.1 M H₂SO₄ was prepared and used in the measurement with the excitation wavelength of 340 nm.

The integrated fluorescent intensity of the **AQ** and quinine sulfate was determined by integrating the whole as-obtained fluorescent spectrum using an Origin program. The integrated fluorescent intensity of the standard and the samples were plotted against the absorbances. Linear regression was performed on the plot and, and the slope of the plots were obtained. Quantum yield was calculated by the following equation:

$$\Phi = \Phi_{\rm ref} \left(\frac{\rm I}{\rm A}\right) \left(\frac{\rm A_{\rm ref}}{\rm I_{\rm ref}}\right) \left(\frac{\eta^2}{\eta^2_{\rm ref}}\right)$$

I is the integrated fluorescence intensity and A is the absorbance at the excitation wavelength. η is the refractive index of the solution and Φ is the quantum yield. Both 0.1 M H₂SO₄ and water have a refractive index of 1.33. The absorbances of analyte are kept below A = 0.1 in order to avoid interference. The results in graph of integrated fluorescence intensity vs absorbance was used to determined I/A.

$$\begin{split} I/A &= 3.63 \times 10^9 \\ I_{ref}/A_{ref} &= 3.41 \times 10^9 \\ \Phi_{ref} &= 0.54, \ \Phi &= 0.575. \end{split}$$

Fluorescence Spectra



Figure S3. Fluorescence spectra of AQ (5 μ M of AQ, $\lambda_{ex} = 340$ nm, 2% MeCN in H₂O, slit width: 1.5 nm/1.5 nm) upon the addition of Cu⁺ (B), inserted graph is the fluorescence signal of AQ at 425 nm, upon the addition of Cu⁺.



Figure S4. Fluorescence spectra of pre-formed **AQ**-Cu²⁺ (5 μ M of **AQ** and 10 μ M Cu²⁺, $\lambda_{ex} = 340$ nm, pure H₂O, slit width: 1.5 nm/1.5 nm) upon the addition of 20 equiv. of anions.



Figure S5. Fluorescence spectra of **AQ-Cu**⁺ (5 μ M of **AQ**, 10 μ M of Cu⁺, $\lambda_{ex} = 340$ nm, 0.5% MeCN in H₂O, slit width: 1.5 nm/1.5 nm) upon the addition of Na₂S (B), inserted graph is the fluorescence signal of **AQ-Cu**⁺ at 425 nm, upon the addition of Na₂S.

Binding Constant Calculation

The calculation of binding constants (K_a) of AQ was determined by the Benesi–Hildebrand equation: $K_a = 1/(m(I_{max} - I_o))$.^[S1] Where m is the slope of the graph $1/(I - I_o)$ against $1/[Cu^{2+}]$.

 $I_0 = 1824280$ ([Cu²⁺] = 0.0µM, 0.0 equiv.)

 $I_{max} = 57127 ([Cu^{2+}] = 4.0 \ \mu M, 0.8 \ equiv.)$

Table S1. Variable number used in Benesi–Hildebrand equa

Equiv	$1/[Cu^{2+}]$	$I - I_o$	$1/(I - I_0)$
0.00	/	0	/
0.10	2000000.00	-368180.00	-2.72E-06
0.20	1000000.00	-594740.00	-1.68E-06
0.30	666666.67	-814230.00	-1.23E-06
0.40	500000.00	-1019404.00	-9.81E-07
0.50	400000.00	-1261117.00	-7.93E-07
0.60	333333.33	-1473748.00	-6.79E-07
0.70	285714.29	-1666362.00	-6.00E-07
0.80	250000.00	-1767152.90	-5.66E-07



Figure S6. Benesi–Hildebrand Plot of AQ (5 μ M) upon addition of Cu²⁺ and slope = -1.24 × 10⁻¹². Hildebrand equation: K_a = 1/(m(I_{max} – I_o)) K_a = 1/(-1.24 × 10⁻¹²(57127 – 1824280)) K_a = 4.56 × 10⁵ M⁻¹

Responsive Time Study



Figure S7. Fluorescence responsive time scanning at 425 nm of AQ (5 μ M, λ_{ex} = 340 nm, pure H₂O, slit width: 1.5 nm/1.5 nm) after the addition of 10 equiv. of Cu²⁺.



Figure S8. Fluorescence responsive time scanning at 425 nm of pre-formed AQ-Cu²⁺ (5 μ M, λ_{ex} = 340 nm, pure H₂O, slit width: 1.5 nm/1.5 nm) after the addition of 10 equiv. of S²⁻.



Figure S9. Fluorescence respond at 425 nm (5 μ M, λ_{ex} = 340 nm, pure H₂O, slit width: 1.5 nm/1.5 nm) of AQ-Ag⁺ toward different biothiols.



Figure S10. Fluorescence respond at 425 nm (5 μ M, λ_{ex} = 340 nm, pure H₂O, slit width: 1.5 nm/1.5 nm) of AQ-Hg²⁺ toward different biothiols.



Figure S11. Fluorescence respond at 425 nm (5 μ M, λ_{ex} = 340 nm, pure H₂O, slit width: 1.5 nm/1.5 nm) of **AQ**-Cu⁺ and **AQ**-Cu²⁺ toward S²⁻.

NMR Titration



Figure S12. Stacked ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD3CN, 298 K) of AQ (5 mM) upon titration with different amount of Cu^{2+} .



14.5 14.0 13.5 13.0 12.5 12.0 11.5 11.0 10.5 10.0 9.5 9.0 8.5 8.0 7.5 7.0 6.5 6.0 5.5 5.0 4.5 4.0 3.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0 f1 (dot Dm) Figure S13. Stacked ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD3CN, 298 K) of AQ-Cu²⁺ (5

mM) upon titration with different amount of S²⁻.

Confocal Imaging



Figure S14. Confocal fluorescence images of A549 cells after the incubation without **AQ**, A) 24 h, B) 10 μ M Cu²⁺ for 2 h, C) 10 μ M Cu²⁺ for 2 h and 100 μ M S²⁻ for another 2 h. Fluorescence transmission images with bright-field, dark-field and merge; scale bar: 25 μ m.

NMR Spectra



Figure S15. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CDCl₃) of S1.



Figure S16. ¹³C NMR spectrum (101 MHz, CDCl₃) of S1.

 $\begin{pmatrix} 8.17 \\ 8.17 \\ 8.17 \\ 8.17 \\ 7.83 \\ 7.82 \\ 7.26 \\ 7.26 \\ 7.26 \end{pmatrix}$ 2.01 ⊰ 0.97 ∡ 1.00⊣ 0.96⊣ 8.0 7.5 9.0 8.5 7.0 6.5 5.5 5.0 4.5 4.0 f1 (ppm) 3.5 6.0 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0

Figure S17. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, d_6 -DMSO) of S2.



Figure S18. ¹³C NMR spectrum (101 MHz, d_6 -DMSO) of S2.



Figure S19. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CDCl₃) of S3.



Figure S20. ¹³C NMR spectrum (101 MHz, CDCl₃) of S3.



Figure S21. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD₃CN) of **S4** (Asterisk: solvent residual signal).



Figure S22. ¹³C NMR spectrum (101 MHz, CD₃CN) of S4.



Figure S23. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD₃CN) of AQ.



Figure S24. ¹³C NMR spectrum (101 MHz, CD₃CN) of AQ.



Figure S25. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CDCl₃) of glycol azide.



Figure S26. ¹³C NMR spectrum (101 MHz, CDCl₃) of glycol azide.



days in D₂O.

Mass Spectra



Figure S28. HRMS MALDI-TOF of S2.



Figure S29. HRMS MALDI-TOF of S3.



Figure S30. HRMS MALDI-TOF of S4.



Figure S31. HRMS MALDI-TOF of AQ.



Figure S32. HRMS MALDI-ESI of AQ-Cu²⁺.

References

S1 Yue, X.-L.; Li, C.-R.; Yang, Z.-Y. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem. 2018, 351, 1–7.