Supporting Information for

A Reagent for Heteroatom Borylation, Including Iron Mediated Reductive Deoxygenation of Nitrate to a Di-Nitrosyl Iron Complex

Daniel M. Beagan, Veronica Carta and Kenneth G. Caulton*

Experimental	S2-S4
General	S2
Borylation of azobenzene	S2
Borylation of bcc	S2
Borylation competition between azobenzene and bcc	S3
Borylation of TMSN ₃	S3
Borylation of Ph ₂ Tz	S3
Deoxygenation of nitrobenzene	S3
Synthesis of DIMFeCl ₂	S3
Synthesis of DIMFe(NO ₃) ₂ (MeCN) 4	S4
Synthesis of DIMFe(NO) ₂ 5	S4
Oxidation of 5	S4
Spectral Data	S5-S11
Figure S1: ¹ H NMR of borylated azobenzene using 1	S5
Figure S2: ¹ H NMR of borylated azobenzene using (Bpin) ₂ and 4,4'-bpy————	S5
Figure S3: ¹³ C NMR of borylated azobenzene	S6
Figure S4: ¹ H NMR of borylated bcc	S6
Figure S5: ¹ H NMR of competition between azobenzene and bcc	S7
Figure S6: ¹ H NMR of borylated TMSN ₃	S8
Figure S7: ¹ H NMR of borylated Ph ₂ Tz	S8
Figure S8: ¹³ C NMR of borylated Ph ₂ Tz	S9
Figure S9: ¹ H NMR of deoxygenated/borylated nitrobenzene	S10
Figure S10: ¹ H NMR of DIMFeCl ₂	S10
Figure S11: ¹ H NMR of 4	S11
Figure S12: ¹ H NMR of 5	S11
Figure S13: FT-IR spectrum of DIMFeCl ₂	S12
Figure S14: FT-IR spectrum of 4	S12
Figure S15: FT-IR spectrum of 5	\$13
Figure S16: FT-IR spectrum of [DIMFe(NO) ₂] ⁺	\$13
EPR Simulation	S14
General details	S14
Figure S17: Overlaid experimental and simulated spectra	S14
Crystallographic Data	S14-S20
Data collection and refinement of (Bpin) ₂ Bpy 3	\$14-\$15
Data collection and refinement of 4	\$15-\$16
Data collection and refinement of 5	S16-S17
Crystallographic Tables	S17-S20
Computational Details	S20-S30
General details	S20
Corresponding orbital analysis	S21
Figure S18: Corresponding orbital diagram of [DIMFe(NO) ₂] ⁺	S21
Figure S19: Unpaired alpha electron in [DIMFe(NO) ₂] ⁺ with decreased isovalue——	S21

Table of Contents

Figure S20: Optimized structure for (DIM)Fe(ONNO)	–S22
Scheme S1: Calculated thermodynamics for silvlation of (TMS)N ₃	–S22
Table S4: Relative thermodynamics for (Bpin) ₂ Pz, (Bpin) ₂ Bpy, and (TMS) ₂ Pz	
Table S5: Cartesian coordinates for optimized species	-S25-30

Experimental

General. All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of ultra-high purity gas using standard Schlenk techniques under Ar or in a glovebox under N₂. Solvents were purchased from commercial sources, purified using Innovative Technology SPS-400 PureSolv solvent system or by distilling from conventional drying agents and stored over activated 4 Å molecular sieves. Glassware was oven-dried at 170 °C overnight or flame dried prior to use. NMR spectra were recorded in various deuterated solvents at 25 °C on a Varian Inova -400 or 500 spectrometer (¹H: 400.11 MHz, 500.11 MHz, respectively). Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane or the residual solvent as an internal standard: integration multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet, br = broad). All starting materials have been obtained from commercial sources and used as received without further purification. (Bpin)₂Pz was synthesized via the established procedure using THF as the solvent.¹

General procedure for the stoichiometric borylation of azobenzene

To a J-Young tube containing azobenzene (16 mg, 0.0875 mmol, 1 equiv.) in d₈-toluene was added 1 (29 mg, 0.0875 mmol, 1 equiv.) also dissolved in d₈-toluene. The resulting solution was allowed to heat in an oil bath at 110 °C for 36 hours, during which there was a color change from deep orange to yellow. ¹H NMR showed complete consumption of both starting materials after 36 hours of heating. The reported spectrum for borylated azobenzene² was done in C₆D₆; therefore, the completed reaction was brought into the glovebox, dried in vacuo, and redissolved in C₆D₆ for spectroscopic assay.

1,2-diphenyl-1,2-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolan-2-yl)hydrazine: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 298K) δ (ppm) 7.68 (d, J_{H-H} = 8.0 Hz, 4H) 7.09 (t, J_{H-H} = 7.4 Hz, 4H) 6.75 (t, J_{H-H} = 7.1 Hz, 2H) 1.06 (s, 12H) 1.02 (s, 12H).

Catalytic borylation of azobenzene

To a J-Young tube containing azobenzene (16 mg, 0.0875 mmol, 1 equiv.) in d₈-toluene was added (Bpin)₂ (22 mg, 0.0875 mmol, 1 equiv.) in d₈-toluene and 4,4'-bipyridine (4.1 mg, 0.026 mmol, 0.3 equiv.) also dissolved in d₈-toluene. The resulting solution was allowed to heat in an oil bath at 110 °C for 12 hours, during which the color changed from orange to yellow and ¹H NMR showed complete consumption of (Bpin)₂ and azobenzene.

1,2-diphenyl-1,2-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolan-2-yl)hydrazine: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, d₈toluene, 298K) δ(ppm) 7.68 (d, J_{H-H} = 8.2 Hz, 4H) 7.17 (t, J_{H-H} = 7.8 Hz, 4H) 6.83 (t, J_{H-H} = 7.5 Hz, 2H) 1.18 (s, 12H) 1.13 (s, 12H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, d₈-toluene, 298K) δ(ppm) 146.15, 121.12, 120.79, 116.62, 83.11, 24.43, 24.03.

Catalytic borylation of Benzo(c)cinnoline, bcc

To a J-Young tube containing bcc (18 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1 equiv.) dissolved in C_6D_6 was added (Bpin)₂ (25.4 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1 equiv.) in C_6D_6 and 4,4'-bipyridine (4.7 mg, 0.03 mmol, 0.3 equiv.) also dissolved in C_6D_6 . The resulting yellow solution was allowed to heat in an oil bath at 100 °C and the reaction was

monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The bcc was completely consumed after 40 hours of heating, to afford a deep yellow solution.

2,2'-biphenyl-1,1'-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolan-2-yl)hydrazine: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 298K) δ (ppm) 7.67 (d, J_{H,H} = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (d, J_{H,H} = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (t, J_{H,H} = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 6.81 (t, J_{H,H} = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 1.03 (s, br, 24 H).

Borylation competition between azobenzene and Benzo(c)cinnoline

To a J-Young tube containing azobenzene (20 mg, 0.110 mmol, 1 equiv.) and bcc (19.8 mg, 0.110 mmol, 1 equiv.) dissolved in d₈-toluene was added (Bpin)₂ (27.9 mg, 0.110 mmol, 1 equiv.) and 4,4'-bipyridine (5.1 mg, 0.03 mmol, 0.3 equiv.) also dissolved in d₈-toluene and the solution was heated in an oil bath at 110 °C. The orange solution was monitored periodically by ¹H NMR, and after 40 hours of heating there was consumption of all (Bpin)₂. The ¹H NMR shows that the main product formed is borylated bcc, with small amounts of borylated azobenzene seen in the methyl region but almost undetectable amounts in the aromatic region.

Borylation of TMSN₃

To a J-Young tube containing TMSN₃ (12 mg, 0.104 mmol, 1 equiv) in C_6D_6 was added (Bpin)₂ (26.4 mg, 0.104 mmol, 1 equiv) and 4,4'-bipyridine (4.9 mg, 0.104 mmol, 0.3 equiv.). The resulting solution was heated in an oil bath at 100 °C for 12 hours, after which ¹H NMR shows complete consumption of TMSN₃.

Trimethylsilyl-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolan-2-yl) amine: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 298K) δ(ppm): 1.02 and 0.99 (2s, 24H) 0.15 (s, 9H)

Borylation of Ph₂Tz

To a J-young tube containing Ph_2Tz (20 mg, 0.064 mmol, 1 equiv.) in d_8 -THF was added (Bpin)₂ (16.3 mg, 0.064 mmol, 1 equiv.) and 4,4'-bipyridine (3.0 mg, 0.02 mmol, 0.3 equiv.) and the solution was heated to 80 °C. The pink solution slowly changed to a red, then a yellow solution, and after 12 hours of heating all (Bpin)₂ was consumed.

3,6-diphenyl-1,4-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolan-2-yl) tetrazine: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, d₈-THF, 298K) δ(ppm): 7.57 (d, J_{H,H} = 7.7 Hz, 4H) 7.36 (t, J_{H,H} = 7.2 Hz, 2H) 7.29 (t, J_{H,H} = 7.5 Hz, 4H) 1.15 (s, 24H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, d₈-toluene, 298K) δ(ppm) 155.14, 132.80, 129.71, 129.12, 83.22, 24.12.

General procedure for the deoxygenation of nitrobenzene

To a J-young tube containing nitrobenzene (10 mg, 0.08 mmol) in C_6D_6 was added (Bpin)₂bpy (81.8 mg, 0.2 mmol) as a slurry in C_6D_6 . The heterogeneous solution was allowed to mix on an NMR spinner for 30 minutes, and as the (Bpin)₂bpy reacted, the yellow solution became homogeneous. ¹H NMR assay after 30 minutes showed complete consumption of (Bpin)₂bpy as well as PhNO₂. When this reaction is done instead with (Bpin)₂Pz, there is less than 50% conversion to the desired product, with a variety of other unidentified products being formed.

N,O-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenylhydroxylamine: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 298K) δ(ppm): 7.56 (d, J_{H,H} = 8.1 Hz, 2H) 7.10 (t, J_{H,H} = 7.9 Hz, 2H) 6.71 (t, J_{H,H} = 7.3 Hz, 1H) 1.04 (s, 12H) 1.00 (s, 12H).

Synthesis of (DIM)FeCl₂. The established procedure for the synthesis of other (α -diimine)FeCl₂ complexes was followed. To a stirring solution of FeCl₂ as a slurry in THF was added dropwise DIM also dissolved in THF. There was a color change to dark blue upon the addition of DIM, and the resulting solution was allowed to stir for 12 hours, upon which there was a dark maroon precipitate. The blue solution was filtered through celite, and the solid washed with excess THF until the THF was colorless. Removal of the THF in vacuo gave a purple solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN, 298K) δ (ppm) 113.0 (br s, 4H) 15.1 (br s, 6H) 11.32 (br s, 12H) 3.7 (br s, 6H).

Synthesis of (DIM)Fe(NO₃)₂(MeCN) 4. To a stirring solution of DIMFeCl₂ (200 mg, 0.447 mmol) in MeCN was added AgNO₃ (152 mg, 0.895 mmol) also dissolved in MeCN dropwise, resulting in a slight color change to dark purple and the formation of a white precipitate. After filtration through celite to remove AgCl and removal of the solvent in vacuo, the purple solid was redissolved in a minimal amount of MeCN, and ether was added leading to the precipitation of the dark purple solid 4 (199 mg, 90% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN, 298K) δ (ppm) 19.70 (br s, 6H) 14.85 (br s 4H) 14.08 (br s, 6H) 8.38 (br s 12H). IR v_{NO}: 1506 and 1270 cm⁻¹.

Synthesis of (DIM)Fe(NO)₂ 5. To a J-Young tube containing 4 (30 mg, 0.06 mmol) dissolved in d₈-THF was added (Bpin)₂Pz (80.8 mg, 0.24 mmol) also dissolved in d₈-THF, resulting in a color change from yellow to dark purple. After heating at 80 °C for two hours, the reaction was complete. Removal of the solvent in vacuo and rinsing (3 x 5 mL) with cold pentane (-35 °C) gave the purple solid 5 (23 mg, 88% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, d₈-THF, 298K) δ (ppm) 6.86 (s, 4H) 2.23 (s, 6H), 2.16 (s, 6H) 2.11 (s, 12 H). IR v_{NO}: 1697 1645 cm⁻¹.

Oxidation of (DIM)Fe(NO)₂. To a J-Young tube containing **5** (25 mg, 0.06 mmol) dissolved in d₈-THF was added [Fe(Cp)₂](OTf) (19 mg, 0.06 mmol) also dissolved in d₈-THF. A slight color change was observed from dark purple to maroon, and after 30 minutes the ¹H NMR signals for **5** were absent. This solution was then transferred to an EPR tube for data collection.

- 1. K. Oshima, T. Ohmura and M. Suginome, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 8571-8573.
- M. B. Ansell, G. E. Kostakis, H. Braunschweig, O. Navarro and J. Spencer, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2016, 358, 3765-3769.

Spectral Data



Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectrum of borylated azobenzene in C₆D₆



Figure S2. ¹H NMR spectrum of reaction between azobenzene, (Bpin)₂, and 4,4'-bpy in d₈-toluene





Figure S3. ¹³C NMR in toluene of borylated azobenzene. Unlabeled peaks are 4,4'-bpy and toluene





Figure S4. ¹H NMR spectrum of reaction between benzo-c-cinnoline, (Bpin)₂, and 4,4'-bpy in C₆D₆

Figure S5 Aromatic region of ¹H NMR spectrum of benzo(c)cinnoline, azobenzene before addition of 4,4'-bpy (bottom) and after 20 hours of heating with 4,4'-bpy and $(Bpin)_2$ (top) showing almost complete consumption of bcc, formation of borylated bcc, and unreacted azobenzene.



Figure S6. ¹H NMR spectrum of borylated amine in C₆D₆



Figure S7. ^1H NMR spectrum of borylated Ph_2Tz in $\text{d}_8\text{-}\text{THF}$





Figure S8. ¹³C NMR in toluene of borylated diphenyl tetrazine. Unlabeled peaks are 4,4'-bpy and toluene



Figure S9. ¹H NMR spectrum of N/O borylated nitrosobenzene in C_6D_6



Figure S10. ¹H NMR spectrum of DIMFeCl₂ in CD₃CN. Unlabeled peaks are residual acetonitrile and toluene.



Figure S11. ¹H NMR spectrum of (DIM)Fe(NO₃)₂(MeCN) in CD₃CN



Figure S12. ¹H NMR spectrum of (DIM)Fe(NO)₂ in d_{8} -THF



Figure S13. IR spectrum of (DIM)FeCl₂ (KBr press)



Figure S14. IR spectrum of (DIM)Fe(NO₃)₂(MeCN) (KBr press)



Figure S15. IR spectrum of (DIM)Fe(NO)₂ (KBr press)



Figure S16. IR spectrum of [(DIM)Fe(NO)₂]⁺

EPR Simulation

The experimental EPR spectrum was simulated using EasySpin¹ to obtain the coupling constants reported in this manuscript. As seen in Figure S15, despite relative intensities being different, the coupling constants match well. Attempts to model the spectrum with coupling to 3 nitrogens, 2 equivalent and 1 inequivalent, were unsuccessful.



Figure S17. Simulated and experimental EPR spectra overlaid for [(DIM)Fe(NO)₂]⁺

1. S. Stoll, A. Schweiger, J. Magn. Reson., 2006, 178(1), 42-55.

Crystallographic details

MSC#19051 (Bpin)₂Bpy (3)

CCDC: 1951270

Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by slow evaporation of a concentrated solution of **3** in acetonitrile. A yellow crystal (approximate dimensions $0.25 \times 0.23 \times 0.12$ mm3) was placed onto the tip of a MiTeGen pin and mounted on a Bruker Kappa Duo diffractometer equipped with an ApexII CCD detector at 293 K.

Data collection

The data collection was carried out using Mo K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å, graphite monochromator) with a frame time of 10 seconds and a detector distance of 60 mm. A collection strategy was calculated and complete data to a resolution of 0.77 Å with a redundancy of 4 were collected. Six major sections of frames

were collected with 0.50° ω and ϕ scans. The total exposure time was 7.48 hours. The frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT¹ software package using a narrow-frame algorithm. The integration of the data using a monoclinic unit cell yielded a total of 22487 reflections to a maximum θ angle of 27.49° (0.77 Å resolution). The final cell constants of a = 6.5143(6) Å, b = 10.6792(9) Å, c = 16.5422(14) Å, β = 98.808(5)°, volume = 1137.23(17) Å³, are based upon the refinement of the XYZ-centroids of 7792 reflections above 20 σ (I) with 6.277° < 2 θ < 48.60°. Data were corrected for absorption effects using the Multi-Scan method (SADABS).² The ratio of minimum to maximum apparent transmission was 0.878. Table S1 contains additional crystal and refinement information.

Structure solution and refinement

The space group P 2_1 /n was determined based on intensity statistics and systematic absences. The structure was solved using XS (Sheldrick, 2008)³ and refined using full-matrix least-squares on F² within the Olex2 suite. 4 A direct-methods solution was calculated, which provided most nonhydrogen atoms from the E-map. Full-matrix least squares / difference Fourier cycles were performed, which located the remaining non-hydrogen atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The hydrogen atoms were placed in ideal positions and refined as riding atoms with relative isotropic displacement parameters. The final full matrix least squares refinement converged to R1 = 0.0550 and wR2 = 0.1765 (F2, all data). The goodness-of-fit was 1.016. On the basis of the final model, the calculated density was 1.198 g/cm3 and F(000), 440 e- .

Twinning

The structure is non-merohedrally twinned. Two major and one minor domain could be identified and indexed.⁵ In the refinement only two components were considered, with a domain ratio of 56:44. The twin element is 180 degree rotation about 0 0 1 in reciprocal space, twin law by the rows: 1 0 0, 0 -1 0, - 0.791 0 -1.

MSC#19088 (DIM)Fe(NO₃)₂(MeCN) (4)

Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by solvent diffusion of ether into a concentrated solution of **4** in acetonitrile. A red crystal (approximate dimensions 0.42 × 0.17 × 0.12 mm3) was placed onto the tip of a MiTeGen pin and mounted on a Bruker Kappa Duo diffractometer equipped with an ApexII CCD detector at 173.0 K.

CCDC: 1951269

Data Collection

The data collection was carried out using Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, graphite monochromator) with a frame time of 0.5 seconds and a detector distance of 40 mm. A collection strategy was calculated and complete data to a resolution of 0.84 Å with a redundancy of 4 were collected. Four major sections of frames were collected with 0.50° ω and ϕ scans. The total exposure time was 34.20 hours. The frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT¹ software package using a narrow-frame algorithm. The integration of the data using an orthorhombic unit cell yielded a total of 41333 reflections to a maximum θ angle of 25.05° (0.84 Å resolution). The final cell constants of a = 11.6059(10) Å, b = 15.9471(13) Å, c = 17.0224(11) Å, volume = 3150.5(6) Å³, are based upon the refinement of the XYZ-centroids of 9962 reflections above 20 σ (I) with 4.956° < 2 θ < 50.09°. Data were corrected for absorption effects using the Multi-Scan method (SADABS).² The ratio of minimum to maximum apparent transmission was 0.922.Table S2 contains additional crystal and refinement information.

Structure solution and refinement

The space group $Pca2_1$ was determined based on intensity statistics and systematic absences. The structure was solved using XT³ and refined using full-matrix least-squares on F² within the OLEX2 suite.⁴ An intrinsic phasing solution was calculated, which provided most non-hydrogen atoms from the E-map. Full-matrix least squares / difference Fourier cycles were performed, which located the remaining non-hydrogen atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The hydrogen atoms were placed in ideal positions and refined as riding atoms with relative isotropic displacement parameters. The final full matrix least squares refinement converged to R1 = 0.0263 and wR2 = 0.0664 (F², all data). The goodness-of-fit was 1.044. On the basis of the final model, the calculated density was 1.313 g/cm3 and F(000), 1312 e- . The remaining electron density is minuscule.

MSC#19087 (DIM)Fe(NO)₂ (5)

CCDC: 1951267

Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by slow diffusion of pentane into a concentrated solution of **5** in THF. An orange crystal (approximate dimensions $0.57 \times 0.18 \times 0.08$ mm3) was placed onto the tip of a MiTeGen pin and mounted on a Bruker Kappa Duo diffractometer equipped with an ApexII CCD detector at 173 K.

Data Collection

The data collection was carried out using Mo K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å, graphite monochromator) with a frame time of 90 seconds and a detector distance of 40 mm. A collection strategy was calculated and complete data to a resolution of 0.84 Å with a redundancy of 3.5 were collected. The total exposure time was 17.03 hours. The frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT¹ software package using a narrow-frame algorithm. The integration of the data using an orthorhombic unit cell yielded a total of 30859 reflections to a maximum θ angle of 25.10° (0.84 Å resolution). The final cell constants of a = 14.0826(9) Å, b = 17.5521(15) Å, c = 18.3081(17) Å, volume = 4525.4(10) Å³, are based upon the refinement of the XYZ-centroids of 2638 reflections above 20 σ (I) with 4.449° < 2 θ < 45.61°. Data were corrected for absorption effects using the Multi-Scan method (SADABS).² The ratio of minimum to maximum apparent transmission was 0.890. Table S3 contains additional crystal and refinement information.

Structure solution and refinement

The space group Pbca was determined based on intensity statistics and systematic absences. The structure was solved using XT³ and refined using full-matrix least-squares on F² within the OLEX2 suite.⁴ An intrinsic phasing solution was calculated, which provided most non-hydrogen atoms from the E-map. Full-matrix least squares / difference Fourier cycles were performed, which located the remaining non-hydrogen atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The

hydrogen atoms were placed in ideal positions and refined as riding atoms with relative isotropic displacement parameters. The final full matrix least squares refinement converged to R1 = 0.0462 and wR2 = 0.0987 (F2, all data). The goodness-of-fit was 1.005. On the basis of the final model, the calculated density was 1.281 g/cm3 and F(000), 1840 e-. The remaining electron density is minuscule and mainly located around the iron center.

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 19051.

Empirical formula	C11 H16 B N O2	
Formula weight	205.06	
Crystal color, shape, size	yellow block, $0.25 \times 0.23 \times 0$.12 mm ³
Temperature	293 K	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, P 1 21/n 1	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 6.5143(6) Å	₽ = 90°.
	b = 10.6792(9) Å	
	c = 16.5422(14) Å	₽ = 90°.
Volume	1137.23(17) Å ³	
Z	4	
Density (calculated)	1.198 g/cm ³	
Absorption coefficient	0.080 mm ⁻¹	
F(000)	440	
Data collection		
Diffractometer	Kappa Apex II Duo, Bruker	

Theta range for data collection Index ranges Reflections collected Independent reflections Observed Reflections Completeness to theta = 25.242°

Solution and Refinement

Absorption correction Max. and min. transmission Solution Refinement method Weighting scheme

Data / restraints / parameters Goodness-of-fit on F² Final R indices [I>22(I)] R indices (all data) Kappa Apex II Duo, Bruker 2.278 to 27.493°. -8<=h<=8, 0<=k<=13, 0<=l<=21 22487 4619 [Rint = 0.0372] 2692 100.0 %

Semi-empirical from equivalents 1 and 0.88 Intrinsic methods Full-matrix least-squares on F^2 w = [$P^2Fo^2 + AP^2 + BP$]⁻¹, with P = (Fo² + 2 Fc²)/3, A = , B = 4619 / 0 / 141 1.016 R1 = 0.0550, wR2 = 0.1410 R1 = 0.1102, wR2 = 0.1765

Extinction coefficient	n/a	
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.162 and -0.206 e.Å ⁻³	
Twin Details		
Type, twin law	non-merohedral, 1 0 0, 0 -1 0, -0.791 0 -1	

180° rotation in reciprocal space about 1 0 0, 55.9 : 44.1

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for 19088.

Twin element, domain ratio

Empirical formula	C28 H37 Fe N7 O6	C28 H37 Fe N7 O6		
Formula weight	623.49	623.49		
Crystal color, shape, size	red plate, 0.42 × 0.17 >	red plate, 0.42 × 0.17 × 0.12 mm ³		
Temperature	173.0 K			
Wavelength	0.71073 Å			
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Pca2 ₁			
Unit cell dimensions	a = 17.0228(4) Å 🛛 🛛 = 90			
	b = 11.6142(4) Å	? = 90°.		
	c = 15.9579(5) Å	? = 90°.		
Volume	3154.98(16) Å ³			
Z	4			
Density (calculated)	1.313 g/cm ³			
Absorption coefficient	0.528 mm ⁻¹			
F(000)	1312			
Data collection				
Diffractometer	Kappa Apex II Duo, Bru	lker		
Theta range for data collection	2.123 to 25.078°.			
Index ranges	-20<=h<=20, -13<=k<=	-20<=h<=20, -13<=k<=13, -19<=l<=19		
Reflections collected	38729	38729		
Independent reflections	5587 [Rint = 0.0408]			
Observed Reflections	5084			
Completeness to theta = 25.078°	99.9 %			
Solution and Refinement				
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from ed	quivalents		
Max. and min. transmission	0.7452 and 0.6873	0.7452 and 0.6873		
Solution	Intrinsic methods	Intrinsic methods		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squar	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²		
Weighting scheme	$w = [P^2Fo^2 + AP^2 + BP]^{-1}$	w = [🖻 ² Fo ² + AP ² + BP] ⁻¹ , with		

 $P = (Fo^2 + 2 Fc^2)/3, A = , B =$

5587 / 349 / 390

1.044

Data / restraints / parameters Goodness-of-fit on F^2

18

Final R indices [I>2🛛(I)]	R1 = 0.0263, wR2 = 0.0643
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0323, wR2 = 0.0664
Absolute structure parameter	0.007(5)
Extinction coefficient	n/a
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.196 and -0.179 e.Å ⁻³

Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for 19087.

Empirical formula	C22 H28 Fe N4 O2		
Formula weight	reight 436.33		
Crystal color, shape, size	orange plate, 0.57 × 0.18 × 0.08 mm ³		
Temperature	173 K		
Wavelength	0.71073 Å		
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Pbca		
Unit cell dimensions	a = 17.5520(15) Å 🛛 🖸 = 90		
	b = 14.0826(9) Å	₽ = 90°.	
	c = 18.3080(17) Å	₽ = 90°.	
Volume	4525.3(6) Å ³		
Z 8			
Density (calculated) 1.281 g/cm ³			
Absorption coefficient	0.690 mm ⁻¹		
F(000)	1840		

Data collection

Diffractometer	Kappa Apex II Duo, Bruker
Theta range for data collection	2.162 to 25.061°.
Index ranges	-20<=h<=20, -12<=k<=16, -21<=l<=21
Reflections collected	28523
Independent reflections	4007 [Rint = 0.1110]
Observed Reflections	2493
Completeness to theta = 25.061°	99.8 %

Solution and Refinement

Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Solution
Refinement method
Weighting scheme

Data / restraints / parameters Goodness-of-fit on F² Final R indices [I>22(I)] Semi-empirical from equivalents 0.7452 and 0.6632 Intrinsic methods Full-matrix least-squares on F^2 $w = [P^2Fo^2 + AP^2 + BP]^{-1}$, with $P = (Fo^2 + 2 Fc^2)/3$, A = , B =4007 / 0 / 270 1.005 R1 = 0.0462, wR2 = 0.0832

R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0986, wR2 = 0.0987
Extinction coefficient	n/a
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.272 and -0.290 e.Å ⁻³

1 SAINT, Bruker Analytical X-Ray Systems, Madison, WI, current version.

2 SADABS, Bruker Analytical X-Ray Systems, Madison, WI, current version.

3 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., 2008, A64, 112 - 122. G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst, 2015, A71, 3-8

4 O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, **42**, 339–341

5 G. M. Sheldrick, CELL NOW, University of Göttingen, Germany, (2018).

Computational Details

DFT¹ calculations were carried out using Gaussian 16². Geometry optimizations were performed at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory³ for organic molecules, and TPSSH/def2TZVP^{4,5} level of theory for all iron containing species. All optimized structures were confirmed to be minima by analyzing the harmonic frequencies.⁶⁻⁸ Cartesian Coordinates are summarized in Table S1.

- 1. Parr, R.G.; Yang, W. Density-functional theory of atoms and molecules; Oxford University Press: New York, 1989.
- Gaussian 16, Revision B.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- (a) Vosko, S. H.; Wilk, L.; Nusair, M. *Can. J. Phys.* 1980, **58**, 1200. (b) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R.G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, **37**, 785. (c) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1993, **98**, 5648. (d) Stephens, P.J.; Devlin, F. J.; Chabalowski, C. F.; Frisch, M.J. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1994, **98**, 11623
- 4. Staroverov, V. N.; Scuseria, G. E.; Tao. J.; Perdew, J. P. J. Chem. Phys. 2003, **91**, 146401.
- 5. Schäfer, A.; Huber, C.; Ahlrichs, R. J. Chem. Phys. 1994, 100, 5829-5835.
- 6. Becke, A.D. J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 1372.
- (a) Schlegel, H. B.; McDouall, J. J. in Computational Advances in Organic Chemistry; Oeretir, C; Csizmadia, I. G., Eds.; Kluwer Academic: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1991. (b) Bauernschmitt, R.; Ahlrichs, R. J. Chem. Phys. 1996, **104**, 9047.
- 8. Schlegel, H. B. WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci. 2011, 1, 790.

Corresponding orbital analysis

The unrestricted corresponding orbital diagram in Figure S16 of $[DIMFe(NO)_2]^+$ shows much delocalization of the paired electrons across the Fe(NO)_2 fragment, supporting the assignment of antiferromagnetic coupling between iron unpaired electrons and nitrosyl unpaired electrons. Interestingly, the singly occupied alpha electron appears to lie in a d orbital with minimal delocalization. However, when the isovalue is decreased (Figure S17), the orbital shows character on the nitrosyl ligands as well as the DIM ligands, which is supported by the spin density plot, as well as the experimental EPR data.





DIMFe(ĸ²-ON=NO) analysis

Geometry optimization of S = 2 (DIM)Fe(ONNO), starting from a tetrahedral structure, yielded a planar structure (Figure S18). This shows normal N=C-C=N distances within DIM and distances consistent with a dianionic $[O-N=N-O]^{2-}$ hyponitrite bonding.

Calculated distances match well the values, typically N=N 1.24 Å and N-O 1.37 Å, found among 5 structure determinations (KIRPUZ, XOCYEW, MEHQAT, MORSUH, VIKQAI) of coordinated hyponitrite.

While S = 2 would appear to be an unfavorable spin state for a planar structure, it has been shown, by both experiment and DFT energy calculations of this structural change,¹ that the energy penalty for being planar can be overcome by strongly π donating ligands, together with steric preferences for an angle X-Fe-X of 90° vs. 109°. This modest energy penalty is also presumably why a tetradentate ligand can yield planar Fe(II) as the lowest energy structure.



1. X. Wurzenberger, H. Piotrowski, P. Kluefers, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 4974-4978.





Table S4. Thermodynamics for reductive transformations using $(Bpin)_2Pz$, and comparisons to $(TMS)_2Pz$ as well as $(Bpin)_2Bpy$. The value for NO⁻ is for triplet product, and singlet is calculated to be 10 kcal/mol less stable. The absolute energy of anions will certainly be influenced by cations, but we evaluate the free anion thermodynamics for the *trends* that they convey.

To gain insight into the potential reactivity of $(Bpin)_2Pz$, computations were done at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory on reductive borylations that had been previously calculated for $(TMS)_2Pz$. While overall reagent effectiveness hinges on mechanism, knowing thermodynamics is fundamental. The calculated geometry of $(Bpin)_2Pz$ is planar with BO₂ eclipsing the planar nitrogen rings; BO and BN distances, 1.38 and 1.42 Å, respectively, both indicate pi bonding. The same is true for $(Bpin)_2bpy$. Distances agree well with experiment.

We addressed the questions of whether the boron reagent is more or less powerful for deoxygenation, and also whether the 4,4' bpy boron reagent is more or less powerful then the single ring (pyrazine) version. The reaction free energies for borylation of diphenyl tetrazine is favorable, as is deoxygenation

of nitrate. The 4,4'-bipyridine reagent, (Bpin)₂Bpy, is uniformly more powerful than (Bpin)₂Pz by ~ 9 kcal/mol, which is consistent with Mashima's and Suginome's experimental observations. The pyrazine-based data show that silylation is uniformly more exergonic than borylation by 20 - 26 kcal/mol. The constancy of these shifts over change from complexed (see chromium nitrosyl example in Table) to free substrate, and involving transfer between nitrogens in different heterocycles, is remarkable; they certainly show Si is more potent than B, and that the bpy transfer reagent is more potent than the pyrazine analog. Furthermore, perhaps the very favorable thermodynamics of (TMS)₂Pz has contributed to selectivity issues with deoxygenation of our compounds, and the "milder" (Bpin)₂Pz may lead to enhanced selectivity. Both reduction of nitrate to nitrite, then nitrite to NO⁻, are thermodynamically favorable for each reagent, consistent with our expectations for pentavalent and trivalent nitrogen being good oxidizing agents. Deoxygenation of nitrate is more exothermic than nitrite by 26 kcal/mol. Independent of reagent, oxidants ranked according to decreasing exergonic character are CrNO > NO₃⁻ > OPPh₃ > NO₂⁻> Ph₂Tz.

Table S5. Cartesian coordinated (in Å) for all optimized species.

[(DIM)Fe(NO)₂]⁺

Fe	-0.000083 -0.484814 -0.880121	С	-6.918353 -0.227135 -0.426009
Ν	0.256686 -2.191169 -0.994137	Н	-7.092962 -0.909102 -1.263569
Ν	1.296044 0.374468 0.455137	Н	-7.453829 -0.629453 0.437282
0	0.401821 -3.286009 -1.356535	н	-7.359794 0.736571 -0.681958
Ν	-1.295791 0.177043 0.564002	С	-2.672379 2.500111 -0.485132
С	-2.712989 0.106679 0.368707	н	-2.554779 3.123415 0.407427
Ν	-0.258273 0.342839 -2.376476	Н	-1.675969 2.323539 -0.895783
С	2.713425 0.251964 0.287988	н	-3.236743 3.083184 -1.212403
С	5.446442 -0.054028 -0.162856	С	-1.500981 1.494767 2.637139
С	-0.750613 0.819147 1.538626	н	-1.347855 2.577429 2.592544
0	-0.405938 0.631059 -3.492738	н	-2.566515 1.288523 2.563921
С	0.751630 0.849598 1.521474	н	-1.133456 1.160850 3.610474
С	-3.387336 1.210905 -0.170310	С	1.503101 1.411674 2.681500
С	-5.445357 -0.100765 -0.140043	н	1.348079 0.793142 3.570845
С	3.391000 -0.799788 0.921459	н	2.568780 1.458113 2.468366
С	-3.366025 -1.104044 0.650389	н	1.138015 2.413039 2.922322
С	-4.732701 -1.175899 0.399498	С	2.677546 -1.765192 1.833229
С	-4.753652 1.076611 -0.420141	н	2.548362 -1.349492 2.837969
С	3.363559 1.149436 -0.572705	н	1.686065 -2.024280 1.455652
С	4.756914 -0.932080 0.673450	Н	3.248800 -2.687229 1.937411
С	4.731638 0.981868 -0.769672	С	2.613788 2.272438 -1.241198
Н	-5.288349 1.918687 -0.845602	н	1.895865 1.897054 -1.975872
Н	-5.256041 -2.097407 0.630866	н	2.051636 2.872566 -0.520584
Н	5.294494 -1.744765 1.149721	Н	3.302159 2.935763 -1.763745
Н	5.253197 1.680143 -1.415163	С	6.917120 -0.233694 -0.431576
С	-2.618598 -2.278446 1.226932	н	7.072560 -0.795624 -1.358077
Н	-1.910369 -2.698572 0.507202	н	7.420500 0.728294 -0.544624
н	-2.046295 -2.000484 2.116001	н	7.405543 -0.784072 0.373845
н	-3.309746 -3.072094 1.508687		

(DIM)Fe(NO)₂

Fe	0.005517 0.169341 0.929338	Н	3.299093 3.295908 -0.718154
С	4.679816 -1.209027 0.175236	С	2.643318 -0.035837 -0.311402
Н	5.202000 -2.142412 0.360530	С	3.310389 -1.247369 -0.083529
С	-2.628258 -0.067534 -0.302896	с	-1.519033 -0.473828 -2.972891
С	-3.316176 1.148940 -0.415592	н	-2.583264 -0.510256 -2.747632
С	2.556881 -2.550687 -0.115176	н	-1.234801 -1.404882 -3.472201
Н	3.148012 -3.352743 0.327971	н	-1.343749 0.338234 -3.685942
Н	2.310490 -2.844976 -1.141288	С	-3.289646 -1.254565 0.039802
Н	1.615166 -2.466594 0.429843	С	4.695396 1.175287 -0.044881
С	5.390797 -0.009398 0.199715	н	5.232551 2.118506 -0.037409
С	1.528623 -0.453265 -2.979627	С	-4.688650 1.154030 -0.171103
Н	2.592773 -0.338405 -2.781320	н	-5.229229 2.091430 -0.255832
Н	1.235460 0.268495 -3.747623	С	-4.663733 -1.198510 0.272000
Н	1.359749 -1.451221 -3.397019	н	-5.184819 -2.113653 0.534902
С	-2.585246 2.419177 -0.763174	Ν	-1.220690 -0.093435 -0.524960
Н	-1.994226 2.312131 -1.676641	Ν	1.236619 -0.053356 -0.534852
Н	-1.891259 2.699271 0.032447	Ν	-0.037458 1.661220 1.573294
Н	-3.288465 3.240089 -0.908342	Ν	0.002730 -1.014234 2.049637
С	-5.381185 -0.006517 0.175074	0	-0.083776 2.720074 2.087708
С	-2.533340 -2.549450 0.181326	0	-0.039013 -1.789431 2.933844
н	-1.916469 -2.542612 1.083146	С	-6.859808 0.033602 0.468691
Н	-1.860480 -2.722639 -0.661843	н	-7.336617 -0.922595 0.242223
Н	-3.223078 -3.391954 0.247517	н	-7.042334 0.248854 1.526923
С	3.324259 1.189409 -0.297823	н	-7.360470 0.810165 -0.113951
С	-0.715413 -0.271417 -1.725524	с	6.865115 0.011046 0.516200
С	0.728162 -0.255615 -1.729631	н	7.369300 0.837473 0.010195
С	2.593256 2.485645 -0.530062	Н	7.032171 0.134496 1.591543
н	1.988787 2.755634 0.338967	н	7.350179 -0.919752 0.214167
н	1.910830 2.419929 -1.380615		

(Bpin)₂Pz

С	0.667404 1.170377 -0.245824	н	-4.539898 -0.786332 -2.182866
С	-0.667407 1.170388 -0.245764	С	-5.905173 -1.487481 0.926370
С	-0.667404 -1.170400 0.245709	н	-6.944011 -1.196737 0.740611
С	0.667406 -1.170411 0.245649	н	-5.826901 -2.570189 0.796169
н	1.239129 2.068228 -0.433447	Н	-5.654339 -1.251972 1.961421
Н	-1.239135 2.068248 -0.433337	С	4.967868 0.785342 0.047676
Н	-1.239130 -2.068253 0.433324	С	4.967878 -0.785332 -0.047627
Н	1.239134 -2.068273 0.433213	С	5.197401 1.295642 1.473382
Ν	1.430152 -0.000023 -0.000118	н	6.233341 1.151000 1.792476
Ν	-1.430153 0.000001 0.000009	Н	4.970726 2.364233 1.505886
В	2.844624 -0.000007 -0.000044	н	4.539818 0.786343 2.182890
В	-2.844625 -0.000001 0.000005	С	5.905195 1.487493 -0.926302
0	3.599089 -1.115369 0.307080	н	5.826913 2.570200 -0.796105
0	3.599096 1.115367 -0.307108	н	6.944029 1.196754 -0.740506
0	-3.599093 1.115368 -0.307087	Н	5.654398 1.251981 -1.961361
0	-3.599092 -1.115369 0.307100	С	5.905157 -1.487473 0.926404
С	-4.967875 -0.785334 -0.047638	н	5.826892 -2.570181 0.796204
С	-4.967871 0.785340 0.047668	н	6.943998 -1.196724 0.740668
С	-5.197435 1.295637 1.473370	Н	5.654298 -1.251962 1.961449
Н	-4.970766 2.364228 1.505881	С	5.197497 -1.295631 -1.473320
Н	-6.233382 1.150990 1.792442	Н	6.233454 -1.150977 -1.792356
Н	-4.539866 0.786339 2.182892	Н	4.970836 -2.364224 -1.505835
С	-5.905180 1.487490 -0.926327	н	4.539949 -0.786340 -2.182866
Н	-6.944016 1.196747 -0.740554		
Н	-5.826903 2.570197 -0.796127		
Н	-5.654360 1.251981 -1.961382		
С	-5.197459 -1.295630 -1.473337		
Н	-4.970792 -2.364222 -1.505851		
н	-6.233410 -1.150981 -1.792395		

(DIM)Fe(ONNO)

Fe	-0.000872 -0.838945 0.238080	С	-2.548247 -1.050915 -2.178232
Ν	1.283515 0.555242 -0.186421	н	-1.950613 -0.322022 -2.732556
Ν	-1.283788 0.551133 -0.204721	Н	-1.859786 -1.787385 -1.753663

(TMS)₂Pz 2

С	-0.772372	0.974216	-0.095371
С	0.551989	0.974246	0.091958
С	0.551941	3.341323	0.092024
С	-0.772425	3.341302	-0.095272
Н	-1.304079	0.034329	-0.175008
Н	1.083897	0.034366	0.170358
Н	1.083804	4.281228	0.170505
Н	-1.304171	4.281181	-0.174860
Si	3.094751	2.158155	0.058724
Si	-3.315118	2.158104	-0.058463
Ν	1.337646	2.157792	0.213495
Ν	-1.558243	2.157739	-0.215782
С	3.755171	0.608291	0.911276
н	3.463843	-0.312629	0.395479
н	4.850712	0.632646	0.928612
н	3.405712	0.540207	1.946405
С	3.655008	2.160745	-1.749463
н	3.279839	3.043988	-2.277903
н	4.748309	2.161620	-1.833549
н	3.281035	1.278420	-2.280272
С	3.754925	3.705978	0.915217
н	4.850467	3.681606	0.932856
н	3.463758	4.628145	0.401561
н	3.405101	3.771548	1.950384
С	-3.976673	0.607743	-0.909225
н	-3.684596	-0.312864	-0.393295
н	-5.072237	0.632071	-0.925021
н	-3.628701	0.539064	-1.944817
С	-3.872788	2.161675	1.750521
Н	-3.496940	3.045257	2.277909
Н	-4.965968	2.162504	1.836193
н	-3.497961	1.279693	2.281296

С	-3.976595	3.705452	-0.914817
Н	-5.072165	3.681129	-0.930716
Н	-3.684574	4.627892	-0.402133
н	-3.628398	3.770427	-1.950569

(TMS)N₃

Si	-0.665617 0.000075 0.019886
С	-0.759617 1.552969 1.082608
Н	-0.685214 2.457532 0.470787
н	-1.709479 1.593822 1.627902
н	0.046737 1.581910 1.823390
С	-0.759253 -1.546447 1.091919
н	-1.709133 -1.584227 1.637408
н	-0.684642 -2.454647 0.485538
н	0.047073 -1.570740 1.832893
С	-1.962843 -0.004226 -1.334389
н	-1.864384 0.878159 -1.974290
н	-1.864350 -0.890655 -1.968680
н	-2.973159 -0.002919 -0.911129
Ν	0.881815 -0.002337 -0.869500
Ν	1.993250 -0.000983 -0.355375
Ν	3.068573 0.000026 0.033011

N(TMS)₃

Ν	-0.011153 0.006565 -0.0398	40
Si	1.141826 1.362373 0.01864	13
Si	0.622561 -1.658780 -0.0187	52
Si	-1.766407 0.297845 -0.0202	97
С	-0.711929 -2.992661 0.19088	34
Н	-1.548279 -2.925205 -0.5095	08
Н	-0.221101 -3.957191 0.0121	58
Н	-1.117678 -3.023556 1.2050	60
С	1.497399 -2.087754 -1.64380)4
Н	2.388392 -1.485924 -1.83494	3
Н	1.803662 -3.140341 -1.63726	51
Н	0.814912 -1.948898 -2.48960)5
С	1.776812 -1.939473 1.46116	0
Н	1.224178 -1.803950 2.39759	3
Н	2.149690 -2.970525 1.44829	3
Н	2.645875 -1.278331 1.49419	5
С	-2.620675 -0.505314 1.47172	2
Н	-3.635311 -0.099644 1.56139	9
Н	-2.710429 -1.590741 1.40410)5
Н	-2.091922 -0.269007 2.40175	8
С	-2.567317 -0.307893 -1.62757	'5
Н	-3.650891 -0.142876 -1.60035	54
Н	-2.170377 0.248253 -2.48417	9
Н	-2.401446 -1.371284 -1.8227	08
С	-2.229913 2.132833 0.11574	8
Н	-2.050256 2.691953 -0.8050	79
Н	-3.307675 2.171946 0.31333	33
Н	-1.736267 2.658614 0.93836	51
С	0.707714 2.777785 -1.16917	/9
Н	-0.004911 3.495909 -0.7593	26
Н	1.629428 3.326208 -1.39583	31
н	0.310300 2.406778 -2.11980)1
С	1.265753 2.038434 1.78315	5

Н	1.634535	1.269667	2.470652
Н	1.955159	2.889355	1.832493
Н	0.295540	2.376023	2.161920
С	2.895816	0.876919	-0.527423
Н	3.335119	0.016532	-0.019725
Н	2.941842	0.694465	-1.605651
Н	3.542298	1.738425	-0.320910

N_2

N	0.000000	0.000000	0.546000
N	0.000000	0.000000	-0.546000

Pyrazine

С	1.133718	-0.698186	0.000025
С	1.133724	0.698176	0.000037
Ν	0.000004	1.408894	0.000015
С	-1.133715	0.698190	-0.000024
С	-1.133721	-0.698180	-0.000038
Ν	-0.000009	-1.408894	-0.000014
н	2.067983	-1.255573	0.000052
н	2.067994	1.255556	0.000076
н	-2.067985	1.255570	-0.000049
н	-2.067997	-1.255551	-0.000079