## **Supporting Information**

# Novel Phosphine Sulphide Gold(I) Complexes: Topoisomerase I Inhibitors and Antiproliferative Agents.

Endika Martín-Encinas,<sup>a, d</sup> Verónica Conejo-Rodríguez,<sup>b, d</sup> Jesús A. Miguel,<sup>b</sup> Jesús M. Martínez-Ilarduya,<sup>b</sup> Gloria Rubiales,<sup>a</sup> Birgitta R. Knudsen,<sup>c</sup> Francisco Palacios<sup>a</sup> and Concepción Alonso<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Organic Chemistry I, Faculty of Pharmacy,

University of Basque Country (UPV/EHU), 01006 Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain

<sup>b</sup> IU CINQUIMA/Química Inorgánica, Faculty of Science

University of Valladolid, 47071 Valladolid, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics and Interdisciplinary Nanoscience Center (iNANO)

University of Aarhus, 8000 Aarhus, Denmark

<sup>d</sup> These authors contributed equally

Page	Figures, NMR spectra and Tables
S2	Table S1. TopI inhibitory activity of compounds 1-8 and CPT
\$3	Table S2. Calculated energies and molecular properties computed at B3LYP/6-311G**
55	basis set level of theory for compounds <b>3</b> , <b>4</b> , <b>7</b> and <b>8</b> , CPT and auranofin.
	Figure S1. MEP surfaces mapped from total electron density for compounds 3 and 4.
	Electrostatic potentials are displayed on a 0.002 a.u. isodensity surface. The limits of
	electrostatic potentials for each molecule are under surfaces. Potential increases in the
	following order: red (most negative)/orange/yellow/green/blue (most positive).
S4	Figure S2. MEP surfaces mapped from total electron density for Auranofin and
	compounds having the Au-perfluorophenyl ligand 7 and 8. Electrostatic potentials are
	displayed on a 0.002 a.u. isodensity surface. The limits of electrostatic potentials for each
	molecule are under surfaces. Potential increases in the following order: red (most
	negative)/orange/yellow/green/blue (most positive).
S5-S15	NMR spectra of the gold(I) complexes $[Au(C_6F_5)(SPPh_2R)]$
S16	Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinements for complexes 5, 6 and 8
	Figure S3. Partial view of crystal packing of 5. Centroids of C6F5 rings are shown as red
S17	circles and Centroidcentroid distance is 3.754 Å. Color code: C, dark gray; P, orange;
	Au, S, yellow; F, green and H, gray.
	Figure S4. Partial view of crystal packing of 6. Centroids of C6F5 rings are shown as red
	circles and centroidcentroid distance is 3.554 Å. Color code: C, dark gray; P, orange;
	Au, S, yellow; F, green; N blue and H, gray.
	Figure S5. Partial view of crystal packing of 8. Centroids of C6F5 rings are shown as red
	circles and centroidcentroid distance is 3.790 Å. Color code: C, dark gray; P, orange;
	Au, S, yellow; F, green and H, gray.

Entry	Cmpd	R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	% inhibition		
				15"	1'	3'
1		СРТ		++	++	Θ
2	1	-	-	Θ	Θ	Θ
3	2	-	-	Θ	Θ	Θ
4	3a	2-naphthyl	н	+++	+++	+++
5	3b	$4-CF_3C_6H_4$	F	+++	+++	+++
6	4	2-naphthyl	н	Θ	Θ	Θ
7	5	-	-	Θ	Θ	Θ
8	6	-	-	Θ	Θ	Θ
9	7a	2-naphthyl	н	+++	+++	+++
10	7b	$4-CF_3C_6H_4$	F	+++	+++	+++
11	8	2-naphthyl	Н	+++	+++	+++

Table S1. Topl inhibitory activity of compounds 1-8 and CPT.<sup>[a]</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> The activity of the compounds to inhibit TopI relaxation was expressed semiquantitatively as follows: Θ, no activity; ++ similar activity to camptothecin; +++ strong activity.

Entry	Cmpd.	∆G (g) (in a.u.)	$\Delta$ G (aq) (in a.u.)	Е <sub>номо</sub> (eV)	E <sub>LUMO</sub> (eV)	Gap (-eV)	η (in a.u.)	μ (in a.u.)	ω (eV)	∆Nmax (in a.u.)	Dipole moment (debye)	Polarizability (in a.u.)
1	3a	-2222.26	-2222.28	-0.20443	-0.04402	4.37	0.16041	-0.12422	0.048101	0.77442	4.129	459.406
2	<b>3</b> b	-2505.04	-2505.06	-0.21266	-0.04843	4.47	0.16423	-0.13055	0.051885	0.79489	5.067	420.088
3	4	-2219.89	-2219.91	-0.20865	-0.07914	3.52	0.12951	-0.14389	0.079939	1.11107	5.632	485.264
4	7a	-3085.73	-3085.75	-0.22185	-0.06145	4.36	0.16040	-0.14165	0.062546	0.88310	12.227	570.122
5	7b	-3368.51	-3368.53	-0.23032	-0.06665	4.45	0.16367	-0.14848	0.067354	0.90722	12.173	527.748
6	8	-3083.37	-3083.39	-0.22258	-0.09552	3.46	0.12706	-0.15905	0.099547	1.25177	14.478	594.428
7	СРТ	-1182.22	-1182.24	-0.22711	-0.0929	3.65	0.13426	-0.15998	0.095314	1.191569	6.419	265.418
8	auranofin	-2334.77	-2334.80	-0.20249	-0.01960	4.98	0.18289	-0.11104	0.033711	0.60717	8.0317	328.53

Table S2. Calculated energies and molecular properties computed at B3LYP/6-311G\*\* basis set level of theory for compounds 3, 4, 7 and 8, CPT and auranofin.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>[a]</sup> Abbreviations:  $\Delta G$  (g): Free energy in gas phase[A];  $\Delta G$  (aq): Free energy in aqueous medium[B];Gap: E<sub>HOMO</sub>-E<sub>LUMO</sub>;  $\eta$ : Hardnesses[C];  $\mu$ :Chemical Potentials[C];  $\omega$ :Global Electrophilicities[C] and  $\Delta N$ max: Maximun Number of Accepted Electrons[C].

[A] Computed a B3LYP(PCM)/6-311G\*\* + $\Delta$ ZPVE level; [B] Computed a B3LYP(PCM)/6-311G\*\* + $\Delta$ ZPVE level using water as solvent; [C]Computed at the B3LYP/6-311G\*\* level of theory according to the approach and equations described previously.<sup>1</sup>

Reference:

<sup>1</sup>B. Lecea, M. Ayerbe, A. Arrieta, F. P. Cossio, V. Branchadell, R. M. Ortuño and A. Baceiredo, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 357–366.



Figure S1. MEP surfaces mapped from total electron density for compounds 3 and 4. Electrostatic potentials are displayed on a 0.002 a.u. isodensity surface. The limits of electrostatic potentials for each molecule are under surfaces. Potential increases in the following order: red (most negative)/orange/yellow/green/blue (most positive).



Auranofin

**Figure S2.** MEP surfaces mapped from total electron density for auranofin and compounds having the Au-perfluorophenyl ligand **7** and **8**. Electrostatic potentials are displayed on a 0.002 a.u. isodensity surface. The limits of electrostatic potentials for each molecule are under surfaces. Potential increases in the following order: red (most negative)/orange/yellow/green/blue (most positive).



#### **Complex 5**



<sup>19</sup>F NMR (470.17 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 298 K)





190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 -40 f1 (ppm)

### Complex 6



<sup>19</sup>F NMR (470.17 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 298 K)







#### **Complex 7a**









190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 -40 f1 (ppm)

#### **Complex 7b**



<sup>19</sup>F NMR (470.17 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 298 K)





-- 39.89

#### **Complex 8**



<sup>19</sup>F NMR (470.17 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 298 K)





190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 -40 f1 (ppm)

	5	6	8
Empirical formula	C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>15</sub> AuF <sub>5</sub> PS	C24H16AuF5NPS	C43H26AuF5NPS
Formula weight	658.36	673.37	911.64
Temperature/K	293	293	293.0
Crystal system	triclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
Space group	P-1	$P2_1/n$	P-1
a/Å	7.3678(4)	12.2818(5)	8.9368(3)
b/Å	9.2749(5)	12.6786(5)	13.7072(6)
c/Å	16.2849(8)	15.1093(6)	15.0808(5)
α/°	83.944(4)	90	79.195(3)
β/°	79.946(4)	104.371(4)	78.797(3)
γ/°	89.716(5)	90	88.661(3)
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	1089.53(10)	2279.14(16)	1779.88(12)
Z	2	4	2
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	2.007	1.962	1.701
µ/mm⁻¹	6.973	6.670	4.296
F(000)	628.0	1288.0	892.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.27 \times 0.22 \times 0.08$	$0.41 \times 0.11 \times 0.09$	$0.29 \times 0.18 \times 0.05$
Radiation	MoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )	MoKa ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )	MoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )
2θ range for data collection/°	6.902 to 59.388	6.85 to 58.938	6.796 to 59.488
Index ranges	$-8 \le h \le 10, -11 \le k \le 12, -21 \le 1 \le 22$	$-16 \le h \le 15, -16 \le k$ $\le 13, -18 \le 1 \le 13$	$-12 \le h \le 11, -16 \le k$ $\le 17, -20 \le 1 \le 20$
Reflections collected	8331	13272	13693
	$5059 [R_{int} = 0.0365]$	$5479 [R_{int} = 0.0366]$	$8294 [R_{int} = 0.0289]$
Independent reflections	$R_{sigma} = 0.07611$	$R_{sigma} = 0.05391$	$R_{sigma} = 0.06591$
Data/restraints/parameters	5059/0/289	5479/0/299	8294/0/469
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	0.994	1.024	1.047
$\mathbf{P} = 1 \mathbf{P} = 1 \mathbf{P} = 1 \mathbf{P} = 1 \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P} P$	$R_1 = 0.0423, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0409, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0441$ , $wR_2 =$
Final K indexes $[1 \ge 2\sigma(1)]$	0.0630	0.0714	0.0766
Final D in damas [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0671, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0779, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0736, wR_2 =$
rmark muexes [an data]	0.0742	0.0849	0.0892
Largest diff. peak/hole /e Å-2	0.79/-1.01	0.86/-0.97	0.98/-0.82

**Table S1.** Crystal data and structure refinements for complexes 5, 6 and 8.



**Figure S3**. Partial view of crystal packing of **5**. Centroids of  $C_6F_5$  rings are shown as red circles and centroid…centroid distance is 3.754 Å. Color code: C, dark gray; P, orange; Au, S, yellow; F, green and H, gray.



**Figure S4**. Partial view of crystal packing of **6**. Centroids of  $C_6F_5$  rings are shown as red circles and centroid…centroid distance is 3.554 Å. Color code: C, dark gray; P, orange; Au, S, yellow; F, green; N blue and H, gray.



Figure S5. Partial view of crystal packing of 8. Centroids of  $C_6F_5$  rings are shown as red circles and centroid…centroid distance is 3.790 Å. Color code: C, dark gray; P, orange; Au, S, yellow; F, green and H, gray.