Supporting Information

Ultrathin Vanadium Hydroxide Nanosheets Assembled on the

Surface of Ni-Fe Layered Hydroxides as a Hierarchical Catalyst for

Oxygen Evolution Reaction

Jiaruo Tang, Xiaoli Jiang, Lin Tang, Yao Li, Qiaoji Zheng*, Yu Huo,

Dunmin Lin

College of Chemistry and Materials Science, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu 610066

Fig. S1. (a-b) SEM images of NiFe LDHs/NF; (c-d) SEM images of NFV NSs-8h;

Corresponding authors: Tel.: +86 28 84760802; Fax: +86 28 84760802; E-mail:

joyce@sicnu.edu.cn (Qiaoji Zheng)

and (e-f) SEM images of NiFe NFV NSs-12h.

Fig. S2. XPS survey spectra of NFV NSs-4h and NiFe LDHs/NF.

Fig. S3. (a) XRD patterns of NFV NSs-4h after long-term OER process; (b-c) SEM images; (d) TEM image, (e) HR-TEM image and (f) SAED patterns of NFV NSs-

4h after OER.

Fig. S4. CV curves of (a) NFV NSs-4h, (b) NFV NSs-8h, (c) NFV NSs-12h, (d)

NiFe LDHs/NF.

Fig. S5. CVs for NiFe-LDHs and NFV NSs-xh (4,8,12) in faradic capacitance current range at various scan rates: (a) NFV NSs-4h, (b) NFV NSs-8h, (c) NFV NSs-12h, (d) NiFe LDHs/NF.

Catalyst	Electrolyte	$\eta_{j=100}$ (mV)	$\eta_{j=200}$ (mV)	Tafel slope [mV dec- 1]	Reference
NFV NSs-4h	1 M KOH	280	300	65	This work
NFV NSs-8h	1 M KOH	300	320	84	This work
NFV NSs-12h	1 M KOH	320	350	112	This work
NiFe LDHs	1 M KOH	310	330	96	This work
CS-NiFeCu	1 M KOH	-210	~240	33	$\mathbf{1}$
NiFe ₂ O ₄	1 M KOH	-210	$\sqrt{2}$	46.4	\overline{c}
NiCoFe-LDH HP	1 M KOH	332	$~10^{-340}$	56	\mathfrak{Z}
0.1Fe-CoNiO/NF	1 M KOH	~280	\prime	36.8	$\overline{4}$
Fe ₃ O ₄ -FeSe/CoSe ₂	1 M KOH	$~1 - 350$	$~10^{-370}$	68.7	$\sqrt{5}$
$Co_{2.4}Ni_{0.6}Ge_2O_5(OH)_4$	1 M KOH	349	$~10^{-370}$	59.8	6
Cu oxide micro/nano- structures	1 M KOH	$~10^{-350}$	$~10^{-370}$	63	$\boldsymbol{7}$
$NF@NC\text{-}CoFe2O4/C$ NRAs	1 M KOH	~290	\sim 310	45	8

Table S1. Comparison of the OER performance for the obtained materials in this work with other state-of-the-art OER electrocatalysts.

Experimental

1.1 Materials and chemicals

Ammonia fluoride (NH4F, 96.0%), nickel nitrate hexahydrate $(Ni(NO_3)_2.6H_2O, 99.0\%)$ and anhydrous ethanal $(CH_3CH_2OH, 99.7\%)$ were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Vanadium trichloride (VCl₃, 99.0%) and urea (CH₄N₂O, 99%) were obtained from Aladdin. Iron chloride hexahydrate (FeCl₃·6H₂O, 99.0%) was supplied by Kelong Chemical Reagent. Nickel foam (NF) (thickness = 0.5 mm) was provided by Guangdong Candlelight New Energy Technology Co., Ltd.

1.2 Synthesis of NiFe LDHs/NF

The NiFe LDHs/NF was fabricated by a one-step hydrothermal method. Prior to the synthesis, NF (2.5 cm x 4 cm) was pretreated ultrasonically in HCl (3.0 M) for 20 minutes to remove the impurities, and then washed it by deionized water and ethanol for several times until $pH \sim 7$. In a typical process, $Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O$ (1 mmol), FeCl₃·6H₂O (2 mmol), $NH_4F(40 \text{ mmol})$ and CH_4N_2O were dissolved in 40 mL deionized water to form a clear solution and then transferred into a 50 mL Teflon-lined autoclave. A piece of cleaned NF was immersed into the mixture solution. The autoclave was sealed and maintained at 120 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ for 6 h. After cooled down to room temperature, the NiFe LDHs/NF was washed with deionized water several times and dried at 60° C for 8 hours.

1.3 Synthesis of NiFe LDH/VO(OH) $_2$ -xh

VCl₃ (1.6 mmol) and CH₄N₂O (0.3 g) were dissolved in 40 mL deionized water to form a homogeneous solution. Then, the solution was transferred into a 50 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and the NiFe LDHs/NF was completely immersed into the solution. The autoclave was sealed and heated at 120 °C for x hours ($x = 4, 8, 12$). After cooled to room temperature, the NF with catalyst was washed with deionized water several times and dried at 60 \degree C for 8 hours. The as-obtained catalysts are denoted as NiFe LDH/VO(OH) $_2$ -xh (NFV NSs-xh; x = 4, 8, 12).

1.4 Materials characterization

The morphology of the samples was observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM, S-4800, Japan) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, G2F20, USA). The crystal structure and chemical composition of the samples was analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Smart Lab) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, PHI 5000), respectively.

1.5 Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical properties of the materials were evaluated in a three-electrode system on a CHI-660E electrochemical workstation (Chenhua instrument co., LTD., Shanghai) at room temperature. In a standard three-electrode system, the NF (0.5 cm \times 0.5 cm) with different samples was directly used as working electrode, then a Hg/HgO electrode and a graphite rod were acted as the reference electrode and the counter electrode, respectively. All measured potentials were calibrated to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) based on the Nernst equation: $E_{RHE} = E_{He/HeO} + 0.059$ x pH + 0.098. The steady-state linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves were obtained at a scan rate of 2 mV s -1 in 1.0 M KOH solution. The measurements of electrochemical impedance spectroscopies (EIS) were conducted at a frequency range from 10 KHz to 100 mHz by applying an alternating current (AC) voltage with 10 mV amplitude. The chronoamperometric test (40 h) of NFV NSs-4h for OER was carried out at a current density of 100 mA cm-2 and cyclic voltammetry (CV) tests of 1000 cycles were conducted at a scan rate of 100 mV s^{-1} to investigate the durability of the sample. In

order to determine the electrochemical active surface area (ECSA), the double layer capacitance (C_{d}) of the electrode can be obtianed by carrying out the CV measurement and the ECSA can be calculated by C_{dl} using the formula: ECSA = C_{dl} / C_{s} (C_{s} is assuming as 0.040 mF cm 2).⁹

References

1. P. Zhang, L. Li, D. Nordlund, H. Chen, L. Fan, B. Zhang, X. Sheng, Q. Daniel and L. Sun, Nature Communications, 2018, 9, 381.

2. V. Maruthapandian, M. Mathankumar, V. Saraswathy, B. Subramanian and S. Muralidharan, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2017, 9, 13132-13141.

3. Y. Qin, F. Wang, J. Shang, M. Iqbal, A. Han, X. Sun, H. Xu and J. Liu, Journal of Energy Chemistry, 2020, 43, 104-107.

4. Q. Kong, W. Bai, F. Bai, X. An, W. Feng, F. Zhou, Q. Chen, Q. Wang and C. Sun, ChemCatChem, 2020, 12, 2209-2214.

5. L.-C. Zhang, M.-Q. Wang, H. Chen, H. Liu, Y. Wang, L.-Z. Zhang,

- G.-R. Hou and S.-J. Bao, Materials Research Bulletin, 2020, 122.
- 6. B. Yang, N. Zhang, G. Chen, K. Liu, J. Yang, A. Pan, M. Liu, X.

Liu, R. Ma and T. Qiu, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 2020, 260.

7. Y. Li, X. Zhou, W. Qi, H. Xie, K. Yin, Y. Tong, J. He, S. Gong and Z. Li, Chemical Engineering Journal, 2020, 383.

8. X. F. Lu, L. F. Gu, J. W. Wang, J. X. Wu, P. Q. Liao and G. R. Li, Adv Mater, 2017, 29.

9. L. Zhou, M. Guo, Y. Li, Q. Gu, W. Zhang, C. Li, F. Xie, D. Lin and Q. Zheng, Chem Commun (Camb), 2019, 55, 4218-4221.