Supplemental Information

Coupling Effects of Thermodynamics in Multiple Ion Co-Precipitation for Precursor towards Layered Oxide Cathode

He Zhao^a, Qi Zhang^b, Xuan-Wen Gao^a*, Jian-Zhong Li^a, Hua-Kun Liu^b, Wen-Bin Luo^{a,b,*}

^aSchool of Metallurgy, Northeastern University, Shenyang, 110819, China

^bInstitute for Superconducting and Electronic Materials, University of Wollongong, Squires Way, Fairy Meadow, NSW 2500, Australia

Table S1. Ion concentration of liquid supernatant on manganese carbonate.

Ion	Mn ²⁺	Na ⁺	CO_3^2	SO_4^2	OH-
Concentration (mol+L-1)	1.11×10-5	0.56	0.014	0.2664	5.37×10-5

Table S2. Ion concentration of liquid supernatant on cobalt carbonate.

Ion	Co ²⁺	Na ⁺	CO_3^2	SO_4^2	OH-
Concentration (mol+L-1)	9.11×10-6	0.136	0.132	0.2664	4.27×10-5

Table S3. Ion concentration of liquid supernatant on nickel carbonate.

Ion	Ni ²⁺	Na ⁺	CO_3^2	SO_4^2	OH-
Concentration (mol·L-1)	1.24×10-5	0.136	0.132	0.2664	4.56×10-5

Table S4. Table of different ion concentrations in liquid supernatant.

Ion	Mn ²⁺	Ni ²⁺	Co ²⁺	Na ⁺	OH-	CO_3^2	SO_4^2
Concentration (mol•L-1)	3.96×10^{-6}	7.16×10^{-6}	2.55×10^{-6}	0.412	1.18×10^{-5}	6.30×10^{-6}	0.20

Table S5. Ion concentrations of liquid supernatant.

Ion Al	Na ⁺	Mn ²⁺	Co ²⁺	Ni ²⁺	Al ³⁺	SO ² ₄	OH-	CO ²⁻ ₃
0	0.412	3.961×10 ⁻⁶	2.55×10-6	7.16×10 ⁻⁶	0	0.2	1.18×10 ⁻⁵	0.0063
0.0025	0.4378	1.03×10 ⁻⁶	6.79×10 ⁻⁶	5.28×10 ⁻⁶	3.33×10 ⁻⁶	0.2	8.51×10 ⁻⁷	0.0189
0.005	0.4256	1.24×10 ⁻⁶	1.51×10 ⁻⁵	3.92×10 ⁻⁶	5.19×10 ⁻⁶	0.2011	1.07×10 ⁻⁶	0.0117
0.0075	0.4620	9.1×10 ⁻⁷	2.65×10-6	1.70×10-6	4.08×10 ⁻⁶	0.1996	7.40×10-7	0.031

Table S6. The degree of supersaturation of ions (S) in the solution.

Ion Supersaturation	Mn ²⁺	Ni ²⁺	Co ²⁺	Al ³⁺
SO	1.40×10^4	69	$1.16 imes 10^6$	0
Sa	1081.5	15.12	9.17×105	6.85×10 ⁹
Sb	806	6.95	1.26×10 ⁶	2.12×10 ¹⁰
Sc	1567.2	7.98	5.87×10 ⁶	5.5×10 ⁹

Table S7. The Gibbs free energy (ΔG) change in the co-precipitation.

Product ΔG (J/mol)	MnCO ₃	NiCO3	CoCO3	Al(OH)3
$\Delta G0$	- 23664	- 10495	- 34614	0
ΔGa	-17317.3	-6732.5	-34030.4	-56135.5
ΔGb	-16588.5	-4805.8	-34819.0	-58939.5
ΔGc	-18236.8	-5148.4	-38633.3	-55595.0

Table S8. XRD refinement data.

Al Content	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)
0	4.929196	8.553962	5.020555
0.0025	4.928775	8.554627	5.021639
0.005	4.928503	8.554564	5.021123
0.0075	4.928179	8.554638	5.020807

Table S9. Summary of EIS results.

Samples	$RW(\Omega)$	R1 (Ω)	R2 (Ω)
x=0	2.589E-002	5.148E+000	1.131E+002
x= 0.0025	5.116E-003	3.928E+000	2.051E+002
x=0.005	2.951E-002	4.673E+000	7.351E+001
x= 0.0075	7.342E-003	5.555E+000	1.351E+002



Figure S1. The SEM-EDS spectra of the precursor without Al³⁺ ion.



Figure S2. Various element distributions in the precursor as the added Al^{3+} ion content changes from 0 (a) to 0.0025 (b), 0.005 (c), and 0.0075 (d).



Figure S3. FESEM images of Li[Li0.2Mn0.54Ni0.13Co0.13-xAlx]O2 with different amounts of Al element: 0 (a) to 0.0025 (b), 0.005 (c) and 0.0075 (d).



Figure S4. TEM images and SAED patterns of Li[Li0.2Mn0.54Ni0.13Co0.13-xAlx]O2 with different amounts of Al element: 0 (a) to 0.005 (b) and 0.0075 (c).



Figure S5. TEM-EDS images of Li[Li0.2Mn0.54Ni0.13Co0.13-xAlx]O2 with different amounts of Al element: 0 to 0.005 and 0.0075; (a) Co; (b) Ni; (c) Mn; (d) Al.



Figure S6. Cycling performance of $Li[Li_{0.2}Mn_{0.54}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13-x}Al_x]O_2$ at 2C.